

For Release: Friday p.m.  
November 15, 1957

NEW 'SECRETARY OF SCIENCE' POST IN CABINET PROPOSED BY SENATOR HUMPHREY

A far-reaching program for "up-grading" the role of science in government, including creation of a new "Secretary of Science" post in the cabinet, will be proposed in legislation now being prepared for introduction in the next session of Congress, Senator Hubert H. Humphrey (D., Minn.) revealed today in an address before the Engineers Club of Minneapolis at the Curtis Hotel.

Included in the proposals, going far beyond recent recommendations of the President will be:

1. Establishment of a new Department of Science and Technology consolidating major science and basic research functions under a new cabinet member.
2. Establishment of new standing committees on science and technology in both the Senate and House of Representatives to work with the new Department of Science.
3. Establishment of a broad system of science scholarships and incentives to science instructors and students to encourage greater emphasis on science and engineering in all institutions of higher learning.
4. Establishment of a central clearing house or library for scientific information, and use of counterpart funds in other countries to finance translating and abstracting of scientific writings and reports throughout the world.

The recommendations are the outgrowth of a study made at Senator Humphrey's request by the Staff of the Senate Committee on Government Operations, in cooperation with officials of the National Science Foundation, the Bureau of Standards, and other existing agencies of the government involved in the scientific and research field.

"Before the latest Soviet achievements in breaking through into space -- in fact -- before the end of the recent session of Congress -- some of us recognized the imperative importance of 'upgrading' our government's attention to the basic sciences", Senator Humphrey explained. "For that purpose, late in August, I obtained the approval of Senator McClellan, Chairman of the Committee on Government Operations, for a careful staff study during the recess period as to the needs for better coordination of our scientific effort.

"It quickly became apparent that we need more than just appointment of any new 'advisory committee' of scientists. We have an array of such advisory committees now. The task ahead is to consolidate them into a single department so they have a more effective voice in our government, at cabinet level.

"Congress created the National Science Foundation to coordinate scientific activity and stimulate research and education. But it has never been given the budget it requested since its inception. This year its budget request was cut from \$87,000,000 to \$40,000,000. Actually, on the basis of needs that the Foundation could see, it would have justified use of more than \$100,000,000 in work vital to national interests.

"Our hope is that the National Science Foundation can be made more effective by grouping it with similar agencies such as the office of Technical Services, the National Bureau of Standards, the Patent Office, and the Weather Bureau under a Secretary of Science in the Cabinet. Of course, these are still skeleton suggestions as to just what functions and agencies could or should be brought into

(over)

NEW SECRETARY OF SCIENCE POST IN CABINET PROPOSED BY SENATOR HUMPHREY

A far-reaching program for "up-grading" the role of science in government, including creation of a new "Secretary of Science" post in the cabinet, will be proposed in legislation now being prepared for introduction in the next session of Congress, Senator Robert M. Humphrey said today. The legislation would create the new Department, depending upon detailed hearings which we intend to hold in the Committee on Government Operations."

Senator Humphrey is a member of the Committee on Government Operations and Chairman of its subcommittee on Government Reorganization.

-30-

(131-11/57)

1. Establishment of a new Department of Science and Technology consolidating major science and basic research functions under a new cabinet member.
2. Establishment of new standing committees on science and technology in both the Senate and House of Representatives to work with the new Department of Science.
3. Establishment of a broad system of science scholarships and incentives to science instructors and students to encourage greater emphasis on science and engineering in all institutions of higher learning.
4. Establishment of a central clearing house or library for scientific information, and use of counterpart funds in other countries to finance translating and abstracting of scientific writings and reports throughout the world.

The recommendations are the outgrowth of a study made at Senator Humphrey's request by the Staff of the Senate Committee on Government Operations, in cooperation with officials of the National Science Foundation, the Bureau of Standards and other existing agencies of the government involved in the scientific and research field.

"Before the latest Soviet achievements in breaking through into space -- in fact -- before the end of the recent session of Congress -- some of us recognized the imperative importance of 'upgrading' our government's attention to the basic sciences," Senator Humphrey explained. "For that purpose, late in August, I obtained the approval of Senator McClellan, Chairman of the Committee on Government Operations, for a careful staff study during the recent period as to the needs for better coordination of our scientific effort."

"It quickly became apparent that we need more than just appointment of any new 'advisory committee' of scientists. We have an array of such advisory committees now. The task ahead is to consolidate them into a single department so they have a more effective voice in our government, at cabinet level."

"Congress created the National Science Foundation to coordinate scientific activity and stimulate research and education. But it has never been given the budget it requested since its inception. This year its budget request was cut from \$87,000,000 to \$40,000,000. Actually, on the basis of needs that the Foundation could see, it would have justified use of more than \$100,000,000 in work vital to national interests."

"Our hope is that the National Science Foundation can be made more effective by grouping it with similar agencies such as the Office of Technical Services, the National Bureau of Standards, the Patent Office, and the Weather Bureau under a Secretary of Science in the Cabinet. Of course, there are still questions as to just what functions and agencies could or should be brought into

From the Office of  
Senator Hubert H. Humphrey  
140 Senate Office Building  
Washington 25, D. C.  
Capitol 4-3121, Ext 881

FOR RELEASE: Sunday A.M.'s  
November 17, 1957

SENATOR HUMPHREY RAPS PROPOSALS TO PAY FOR MISSILE DEVELOPMENT SOLELY OUT OF  
POCKETS OF AVERAGE AMERICAN

"In the past several days I have noted with deep concern proposals to pay for our badly-needed missile and rockets expansion program by taking money out of the pockets of small farmers, wage-earners, and small businessmen," Senator Hubert H. Humphrey (D.-Minn.) said last night in Minneapolis.

"The President's speech last Wednesday hinted that money could be found by 'cutting out or deferring entire categories of activities'," Senator Humphrey noted, "and I immediately began to wonder what activities he was talking about.

"I am disappointed to hear that on Thursday the President of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, speaking in Washington, suggested that among such cuts to be made were veterans' benefits, farm price supports, and any future increases in social security.

"Now I want to say right here and now," the Minnesota Democrat said, "that I do not intend to stand idly by and see the less fortunate American forced to pay for this missile development all by himself!

"I am opposed to any proposal to pay for increased government missiles expenditures just out of the pockets of farmers and laboring people and pensioners," Senator Humphrey declared. "We must maintain a strong, healthy society in this competition with the Soviet -- a society in which the average family can maintain itself in dignity and comfort and freedom.

"There are already many soft spots in our society which we would do well to remedy as we look forward to perhaps generations of competition with the Soviet system," Senator Humphrey pointed out. "Our farm people, our pensioners, our small businessmen are all in trouble, largely through government policies which already favor large business and great Eastern banking interests. It would be sheer folly to impose additional lop-sided penalties on the sections of our economy which are already suffering.

"The truth is," Senator Humphrey said, "that in the midst of this missiles race, we are already at the same time in competition with the Soviet Union in dozens of areas of non-violence. The Soviets are promising that they will be supreme in nuclear power, in consumer goods production, in cultural activities, in agricultural production, and in education.

"Two social systems, two basic ways of living and thinking are in total competition right now," Senator Humphrey declared, "and I would like to see the day

MORE

come when we both could throw off the wraps of huge armaments and get down to the serious business of seeing which system is the best!

"We in the West would win such a competition hands down -- if we are intelligent enough, determined enough, organized enough, to bring our superior potential to bear.

"It is not a case," he warned, "of first winning the missile race and then setting out to win the peaceful competition. The Soviet is running in both races, and we cannot afford to get left at the post in either.

"While we must press forward strongly to regain parity with the Soviet Union in armed strength," Senator Humphrey said, "we must be ever watchful that we do not destroy the very underlying health and strength of our own society in this effort -- and that health and strength has already been seriously undermined by Government policies which I believe to be thoroughly mistaken.

"When we discuss ways and means of paying for the complacency of these past several years in matters of national security," Senator Humphrey said, "let us approach the problem with an eye to tax loopholes, with an eye to the profits of large defense manufacturers and financial interests, and with an eye to tightened-up procurement procedures, as well as in the spirit of common sharing of the added burden.

"It is clearly going to cost more money to catch up in missile development, but just spending more money is not really getting at the heart of the problem," Senator Humphrey commented. "There must be a new determination to get the job done, a new sense of urgency, and above all a new sense of leadership on the part of those who are responsible for our nation's security. If all these are seriously accomplished, it may well reduce the amount of additional funds actually necessary."

(133-11)



# Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.



[www.mnhs.org](http://www.mnhs.org)