Deo Habima How Rach (will Be here) 000674 Julia Boguski Milwachage Bean Feed Guo Haberman March 24, 1960 Feed Guo Haberman March 24, 1960 Julia Jahr / Reather Jarps may or zeidler I want to talk to you this evening about winning the election Mr and I mean the big one, the one in November. YP, I want to talk about winning it for the worker and the independent businessman, for the family farmer and for our elder citizens, for the schoolchildren and for their teacher, for producers and consumers. / Yes, I want to talk about winning it for the great majority of hard-working Americans. If we want to win, if we deserve to win, we Democrats must offer, right here and now, a clear, straightforward, liberal And and a working straightfalking fight Now, I know it is sometimes considered shrewd political strategy to gloss over the differences between parties and between candidates - to stress polls and profiles, rather than

the record and the issues.

But I don't intend to let this happen. I want the Democratic Party to have a candidate and a program of forward-looking

-2-

L I don't mean the kind of liberalism that blossoms only Rulygin, every four years - what I call leap-year liberalism.

For instance, I hear that efforts are being made to force-feed the Old Guard Republicans in Congress with tranquilizing pills, so that they can swallow some kind of watered-down aid to education and some feeble semblance of medical aid to the aged

without kicking and screaming.

on Governor Rockefeller

as leap-year liberals. I know that there are genuine Republican liberals, as well

He co as

000676 -3-But the Old Guard didn't want the rank-and-file Republican voter to have the privilege of choosing and They They didn't want the issues debatedo between candidates. So they put across their hand-picked candidate. And their candidate is "Mr. Republican" himself - 'Mr. Partisan Republican," at that. I see he has been spending his time lately at sporting events ather than in discussing the issues tively interested in even scen to be at getting big Republican vot in this primary. Contrary to the view of some, I think that his interest in sports is genuine. After all, he is the galloping ghost of the political football field "If we're to win, we'll have to tackle him hard.

-4-000677 10, Hard - but, in the Democratic tradition - fair as well. Yes, Nixon's interest in sport is genuine - and so is his interest in fogging up his reactionary record and evading discussion of the issues. Dem record, bring it forcefully home ToDothis to every voter $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ we need a candidate with a record as different as chalk from cheese - and I mean rancid Old Guard Republican cheese, not the tasty Wisconsin variety Unlike our Republican friends, we Democrats have a choice. Unlike them, we have the chance to discuss records and debate issues. That is, we have the chance - if we insist upon this primary contest being a sober, serious discussion of the issues, not a beauty contest or a personality parade. The Wesconcer residential thimary is not a Hellywood Talen it is are important and

the selection of a President - a potetical Und, I didn't enter this contest just to shake hands and pose for photographs - pleasant as these occupations are for every politician. LI entered it to win, of course - but I entered it also with the sincere desire to arouse America from the siesta and torally our great capacities for the challenges and the opportunities ahead. -A I happen to think that we Democrats Andot afford a candidat is ready made, whose record is paper-thin, no matter ombellishments. colork and ink We Democrats must have, particularly in the Midwest, a candidate whose record and shield are solid, strong, and tested by years on the tobur a solid 100 battle-line. When you find a man changing his positions in an election year, you may well wonder how deep are the springs from which his

-6-

convictions flow.

You must ask yourself: Was this man always on the side of the farm families? Was he against Ezra Taft Benson eight years ago, four years ago, or only now? My record is my shield. It is constant throughout my fifteen years in public life, because my beliefs and my convictions are deep and enduring. Yes, we do well - as the late Al Smith counseled us many years ago - to look at the record. And we must debate the issues as well.) I for one believe that the founding fathers meant exactly what they said when they wrote the preamble of our Constitution They said that one of the grand purposes of these United States is "to promote the general welfare."

Our welfare is not general when, for so many of our senior citizens, the so-called "golden years" are years of poverty, sickness and anxiety. Qur society is not prosperous or affluent when unemployment at the shocking level of four and the second s and the second million is considered "normal" and when little or no attention is given to coping with the impact of automation and of foreign competition. We must look At this ! (Our welfare is not general when 11 million American families have an income of less than

-7-

\$3000 a year - and when a Federal minimum wage

of \$1.25 an hour is opposed by the Administration

on the theory that \$50 a week (\$2500 a year, if

you please) is too extravagant an income the former

in this year of 1960.

The cost of government is not justly shared when high taxes are meticulously collected from the great majority of working Americans, while a privileged minority avoid billions of dollars in taxation through loopholes which violate every principle (This is why I voted to increase family deduction,) of justice and equity. Our welfare is not general when our schools are overcrowded and our teachers underpaid. We need to build 100,000 new classrooms a year for the next five years, and boost the salaries of our teachers by 50%.

-8-

More than five million boys and girls -

about 15% of our schoolchildren - attend private

schools. These schools are taking a tremendous

load off our public system, and they should not

be neglected when we take steps to raise the

level of American education.

Nor is our welfare general when Republican leaders blacken the good name of all organized labor because of the sins and the shortcomings of a few officers in a few unions and when the President himself takes to the air to put across the Eisenhower-Nixon-Landrum-Griffin bil Instead of such one-sided prejudice, we need action to restore equality of bargaining power, so that labor and management can work together for a better and more productive America.

I could speak again, as I often have, about

COPY

000683

DER REGIERENDE BURGERMEISTER

VON BERLIN

Berlin-Schoneberg March 21, 1960 Rudolph-Wilde-Platz Fernruf

Senator Hubert H. Humphrey c/o Humphrey for President Committee

Dear Senator Humphrey,

Only recently I received a reprint of the speech made by you over the Milwaukee radio on March 6, 1960. In spite of the delay I want to thank you for the heartfelt words of friendship and understanding devoted in that radio address to Berlin and the Berliners. You have fully defined the importance of Berlin in the dispute between the two worlds.

The right of self determination, of the freedom of speech, of the religious confession, of the expression of opinion, and of the dignity of man are on our side. Not only for our sake it is indispensable that the Western World maintains its position in Berlin and does not give up a fraction of an inch of it.

It was reassuring and encouraging to gather from your speech the certainty that the citizens of the United States - Democrats and Republicans alike - are as before determined to stick to the rights and duties agreed upon in regard to Berlin. This is important for the forthcoming summit conference in May. With the assistance of the great American people we shall not only pass through the present crisis, arbitrarily brought about, but shall also safely overcome all future difficulties.

Thanking you once more for your address and the friendly feelings expressed theren I remain,

Yours sincerely,

(signed)

Willy Brandt

00684

the family farm as the pillar of our democratic

way of life. I could speak of civil rights,

Crial RHC

for which I have always fought and will continue

to fight, whatever the political cost. I

could speak of the quest for peace, to which

I have devoted so much of my time and energy

as chairman of the Senate Disarmament Subcommittee

and, in times past, as a delegate to the United

Nations.

We need in this quest, both firmness and a feeling for human brotherhood, my friends.

We need firmness in the face of Soviet threats,

such as Khrushchev's ultimatum to free Berlin

and to its gallant mayor (and my good friend) Yes Standfirm Willy Brandt.

But we need, at the same time, to seize

every opportunity to show that our differences

are with governments, not with peoples, behind

the Iron Curtain.

We need to give these peoples every possible proof that our hearts are with them proofs such as my good friend, the architecht Bur Micht-Bray Biernacki-Poray, is giving in his noble and generous project of an American Children's Hospital in Poland. These are in the deepest and best sense "works for peace" and I was

Congressman Zablocki did in the House.

proud to give him a hand in the Senate, as

I should like, in conclusion, to share with you one of the things that refreshes and

sustains me. It is that glorious song of

Katherine Lee Bates, "America the Beautiful."

Like her, I believe that American can

172

be beautiful, a joy to live in and a beacon

to the world.

Our alabaster cities <u>can</u> gleam, undimmed

by human tears.

Our fruited plains and amber waves of grain <u>can</u> feed the hungry, here and throughout the world.

Our plentitude of material goods <u>can</u> be crowned with brotherhood, transcending race and religion.

All these things <u>can</u> be, as Katherine Lee Bates saw them with her poet's eye, if we have the wit and the will to <u>make</u> them be -now and in November.

Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

