NATIONAL DEMOCRATIC CLUB

Washington, D.C.

April 20, 1960

500812 Mo Fowler National Democratic Club Washington, D.C. April 20, 1960 mus I hilling W. VIRG - Stree The Greek philosopher Plato, over 23 centuries ago, described democracy as a form of government full of "variety and He showed remarkable gifts of prophecy, for he disorder." might well have been describing the process by which we Americans choose our candidates for the Presidency. Just look at the picture tonight. There are declared and undeclared candidates. There are dark horses pawing the straw in their stables. And then there is the minority - perhaps not the most underprivileged minority in America, but certainly the smallest - who are submitting their case to the people in primary elections. I shall tonight refrain from yielding to the temptation to which all political scientists succomb sooner or later -

of devising a more orderly method of choosing nominees for the have a Blan Presidency. to select a I don't doubt that there must be better ways. Afte I'd be the last person to subscribe to the credo of the conservative "Whatever is, is right." But I am far too busy participating in this process to have the time or the detachment to devise a new one. Rather, I shall accentuate the positive. I shall talk about some of the real advantages of primary campaigns, as I have known them from my experience, both for our Party and for our country. And I shall stress ways by which, without any basic changes, they could yield greater dividends. The greatest advantage of these primaries, as I see it, is that, however imperfectly and disjointedly, they put the Democratic case

on the front pages of newspapers throughout the country. Any party

-2-

without the Presidency is handicapped in putting its message across in competition with the superb sounding board of the White House. And a party like ours, which represents the people rather than the publishers, is doubly handicapped. I happen to believe, like all of you here, that our Democratic case is a sound and a comment one. But even if I should wake up one morning fully persuaded that "Father Knows Best")- I should still consider free discussion and free heaven forbid and forceful criticism good for any President. We need to break through the cocoon of obsequious silence in which President Eisenhower has too long been cossetted, and put/him and to the American people some hard and painful questions. We need to know what has happened to the position of strength and of high presitge in the world which he inherited from past Democratic Administrations.

-3- 000814

000815 -4-We need to know how and when the diplomatic initiative has slipped out of our hands. We need to know why President Eisenhower has waited until yesterday to set up a disarmament agency - something which, as Chairman of the Senate Subcommittee (and inadqueto on Disarmament, I have urged for years. We need to know why our so-called "surplus" foods rot in warehouses and storage costs mount to staggering heights, while people go hungry in may parts of the world - yes, and in West Duby wait for 6 yrs fr Peachtor Administry Virginia too. / We need to know why pblic services are starved, while (Why Health Service for Prin, columnt & Corgnes + no care for aged) private pursuits flourish. We need to know, above all, what our national purposes and priorities are - rather than have yet another commission appointed (a Commun a toi to find out.

-5-000816 It is good that our Democratic voices are not only raised but heard - and it is good also that we do not always agree. Whatever may be said of our Democratic Party - and there have been some harsh words during our century and a half of existence we have never, I think, been accused of an excess of conformity It can do us nothing but good to remind our fellow-citizens of Debate, dissent, decision this. I think too that there can be real advantages to an aspect of primary campaigning which has been often criticized - its built-in bias toward state rather than national issues. Whatever may be the balance of gain and loss in other states, for West Virginia I believe this has been pure gain. Recently Congressman Miller, Chairman of the Republican Congressional Committee, had the incredible gall to declare that, if Americans are hungry, it is only because they have been so well fed under the Eisenhower Administration that they are dieting.

-6-200817 By May 10, even the most casual newspaper reader, radio dial twirler, or TV viewer will know that this is arrant nonsense. He will know that there are ugly patches of poverty in this socalled affluent society, parches which it is otherwise far too easy to ignore He willknow that this process of technological progress - or automation, to give it its newfangled name -Neld to Mit to Privation Rublic Son has tragic casualties as well as benefits. Many of our citizens - and I include the first citizen in the White House - know more of the poverty of India than economic troubles of the problems of Cabin Creek As we talk about the problems of tomorrow, we need to be reminded that there are places where the problems of yesterday and today have not yet been solved. I hope that this primary campaign will make it impossible for our MANY State nation to continue to leave West Virginia forgotten and neglected. As for me, I have made a firm resolution. If and when I move into

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, I shall make it my first order of business to work with the people of West Virginia and of the other states who have come on hard times through no fault of their own - to put into action a program to help these fine Americans area Reduelop athme help themselves. So far, so good. But, as a member of the small minority of Presidential primary campaigners, I have some complaints to make, and I'll put them in the form of a bill of rights. First, I respectfully request of the press; television and radio the right to be heard - and heard in quality as well as in quantity. Maybe fights and personal conflict do sell more newspapers. But surely it should not be necessary, in order to receive adequate coverage in the mass media, to hit someone over the head. Just as the politician attempts through responsible behavior and attention to the real issues

000819

to avoid demagoguery, so, too, the press has an obligation to cover the efforts of the politician to lead and to educate. Just after the Wisconsin primary, my good friend Walter Lippman philosophized in print about the primary system - and I can sum his observations up by saying that, like Queen Victoria, he was not amused. Among other things, he wrote: 2 "If either Kennedy or Humphrey made one serious, considered speech on the great national issues it was, so far as my reading of the newspapers goes, not reported to the nation." I speak only for myself, but the fact is that I made a number of such speeches. I can remember one with particular vividness -

-8-

the Humphrey Family Party at Wausau.

Catholic School

000820 -9-

Some of you may remember this as the town where that "impartial" campaign reporter, Joe Alsop, could not - in spite of the most diligent and dedicated research - find a scratch handful of Humphrey supporters. I have news for you, brother Alsop. Humphrey carried Wausau. And surrounding Marathon County! This meeting drew the biggest crowd they'd ever had in Wausau, and they had to feed them in relays. When the last plate of beans had been handed out, and I finally arose to speak, many of my audience had been waiting for over an hour. I delivered on that occasion a serious, thoughtful, carefully written speech on disarmament - a subject to which. I have given much time and thought over a period of years. I knew very well, even before my wife and most candid critic told me so, that it would not be the "right" speech for a "beanfeed" political

raļļy.

But I happen to believe, with Walter Lippmann, that the discussion of the great issues is an essential part of a primary campaign. I was that night using the Wausau meeting as a forum for this purpose.

-10-

The people in Wausau heard me. Few others did. Walter Lippmann didn't see it in the papers - and it obviously wasn't because he is a casual or a careless newspaper

reader. He is not.

Yes, I think that we have the right to have the important things we say from time to time reported - not just the daily banter and small change of campaigning.

We have a second right, too - the right to be judged on our records, and not on the frivolous or unworthy reasons some people may give to pollsters for voting for us. The great majority of citizens vote seriously, soberly, and intelligently. But, in the nature of things, a good many votes

are cast in a somewhat less lofty spirit.

Recently a writer in a serious and highly respected liberal magazine reviewed our Democratic aspirants for the White House. He dismissed Humphrey's chances out of hand because, he said, I didn't look like a President.

Now, I am just old enough to remember the handsomest man

who ever sat in the White House. For the benefit of the youngsters here, that was Warren G. Harding - and I don't

believe even the most fervid Republican partisan would claim

that he was their greatest gift to the nation!

We can laugh at frivolities of this sort - but there are voters and even self-announced supporters who force us to spend

valuable campaign time disavowing them, when we should be out

-11-

000823

-12-

pounding away at the real issues.

I am often reminded of a convention where someone was speaking in favor of a resolution. A stentorian voice rang out from the floor: "Point of order!"

"What's your point of order?" the chairman asked.

"My point is that I'm in favor of this resolution too, but he's ruining our case!"

Unfortunately, we candidates can't be everywhere, and we can't guarantee that fouls won't be committed on our behalf. We can only blow the whistle every time it happens - whether in a sincere but sadly misguided effort to help, or with subtle malice to hurt us.

Yes, we have the right to be judged on our record - and we also have the right to talk about the record of our opponents.

"Record" is not a dirty word - and Postmaster-General Summerfield has not yet proposed banning it from the mails. Every decision we make in public life, every vote we cast, makes us enemies as well as friends. We pay a high price for our record. It is our sword and our shield. Is it seriously maintained that we should hang it up on the wall before we go into battle? I believe not. I stand squarely with the late and great Al Smith and his watchword: "Look at the record!" And I remind you that he didn't say "over" or "under" or "around" and least of all "away from" - he said "at the record." Yes, there is - as Plato said - a great deal of "variety and disorder" in democracy. Unlike Plato - who was no great

admirer or democracy - I like it that way. There is a lot of

of which - even if it takes rather more than the chaos ou Lord's six days - a better world can be fashioned. These primaries do, at least if properly used - give the people a chance to express themselves. And they give our Democratic Party a chance to express itself - an opportunity to clarify and sharpen our purposes, so that we can offer the kind of dynamic and creative leadership which America so urgently needs - and which the free world has waited these seven years, patiently although sometimes almost despairingly, for us to give.

-14-

Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

