Mashington, D.C. April 13, 1965

REMARKS TO THE AFL-CIO CIVIL RIGHTS CONFERENCE

I. COMMENDATION OF UNION OFFICIALS.

- 1. AFL-CIO is to be commended for convening this conference even before the Equal Employment Commission is established which demonstrates the willingness on the part of organized labor to cooperate fully with the Commission in implementing the non-discrimination standards of Title VII.
- 2. Also commend President Meany for his letter of October
 5, 1964 asking each international union President to assign
 a national officer and international staff representative to
 be responsible for the development of equal employment opportunity programs within each union. Ready availability of these
 persons will make the job of implementing Title VII much easier.

II. HISTORIC IMPORTANCE OF TITLE VII.

Passage of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of
 1964 marked a turning point in the history of race relations in
 this country. As Mayor of Minneapolis, I participated in enacting

the first municipal Fair Employment Practices Ordinance in the United States so it was with particular pleasure that I was privileged to play a role in the enactment of a National Equal Employment Opportunity law.

One of the principal reasons why we could enact this

legislation, after having been unable to do so for a decade and one-half, was the strong and unwavering support of organized labor. Mun, Ruth, Bumillu, Grek Conung

2. Others at this conference will discuss the details of Title VII. I am here today to express the intention of the Federal government to see this title administered fairly and equitably—to seek voluntary compliance wherever possible, to work closely with State and local employment commissions, and to use fully the enforcement authority which is contained in the legislation.

3. The experience of the past two decades in observing the operation of fair employment commissions in the States has demonstrated one principal fact: if equal employment opportunity is to be a reality, employers and unions must seek voluntarily to promote such opportunities. Mere passive observance of non-discriminatory standards is only the first step in the broader job of promoting true equality of job opportunity.

III. UNION QUALIFICATIONS FOR MEMBERSHIP.

- 1. The promotion of equal employment opportunity does not mean the destruction of reasonable standards for jobs or union membership. It does, however, mean that these standards must be fairly administered to all who apply. To hire a man because he is a Negro is as discriminatory as hiring a man because he is white.
- 2. We must, however, realize that generations of discrimination and inequality have left their mark on minority workers in this country. It is therefore necessary to consider affirmative recruitment of qualified minority group

applicants. It is necessary to support supplementary educational opportunities for those interested in union membership and seeking to meet legitimate qualifications for union membership.

IV. ECONOMIC COSTS OF DISCRIMINATION.

The Council of Economic Advisors recently prepared for the President figures which indicated dramatically the cost to our society of national discrimination.

- 1. If Negroes received the same average pay as whites having the same education, the personal income of Negroes and of the Nation would be \$12.8 billion higher.
- 2. If Negroes also had the same educational attainments as white workers, and earned the same pay and experienced the same unemployment as whites, their personal income--and that of the Nation--would be \$20.6 billion higher.
- The entire economy would benefit from better education of Negro workers and an end to job discrimination.

Industry would earn additional profits. The total Gross
National Product would rise by an estimated \$23 billion, or
an extra 3.7 percent.

- 4. These figures indicate that the entire Nation suffers by racial discrimination. There are less jobs available, less dollars in the pockets of workers, markets are smaller, and the entire economy is stifled.
- V. (OPTIONAL) APPOINTMENT OF EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION.

We can expect the appointment of the Equal Employment
Opportunity Commission in the near future. The President has
been considering these appointments carefully since they are of
such great importance to the Nation. I am confident that he
will nominate and the Senate will confirm an outstanding fivemember commission to implement this historic legislation.

NA. 8-3870

17 (200) 17

Following is the address delivered by Vice President Hubert Humphrey at the luncheon meeting of the AFL-CIO Equal Employment Opportunity Conference at the Willard Hotel

in Washington April 13, 1965

Your conference is dedicated to consideration of the Civil Rights program, and particularly Title VII. I have talked to you so many times that there isn't any need in my trying to pull the strings of oratory and I don't intend to. I want to just visit with you. We are old friends and we have been at this work for many, many years. The people here at your head table are old soldiers in a very real sense in this cause of equal employment opportunities, equal opportunities in civil rights. I only say this: the central theme of the Johnson-Humphrey administration is opportunity. That is what we are talking about. And we want opportunity to be something more than a theory. want it to be a fact. We want people to have the opportunity to make real choices about their life and their life's work. That is why we emphasize the education program.

And I must say, if President Johnson never accomplishes anything else -- and I am sure he will accomplish much -- the fact that we were able to pass this recent aid to education program, elementary and secondary education, this comprehensive program that provides in this first year a billion, three hundred million dollars of additional assistance to the young people of America for education -- if nothing else is done than that, it will be a great record. But much more has been done and much more will be done. We know that training and education is the key to opportunity.

This Sunday I was in my home city of Minneapolis and the second section of our Sunday newspaper had a feature story about the economic growth of our part of the nation. It was an excel-lent story. The headlines said "Manpower Training and Education Key to Economic Progress in Upper Midwest." That is it.

If people that had been displaced by automation had received new training, people who had lost their jobs because some industry had moved or closed up or there had been a shift, were retrained. At tremendous effort in education, the apprenticeship program is well underway in our part of the country as it is in many other parts. Vocational Training. Apprenticeship. Education. This is just another way of spelling out opportunity.

We have got to make sure that when we remove the barrier of inadequate education or of illiteracy, or when we move the barrier of unneeded skills and retraining for new skills, that we do not leave as a barrier to opportunity, race or job discrimination because of race, creed or national origin. The Civil Rights Act of 1964 centered its attention in a large measure, upon this subject of equal employment opportunity.

Humphrey

I want first to note that the AFL-CIO is to be commended for convening this conference, and for your leadership in this effort of equal employment opportunity. A conference, by the way, convened even before the Equal Employment Commission is established. This Commission will be established. The President is weighing very carefully the membership of this Commission.

Those of us that have responsibility in the field of Civil Rights, coordination and effectuation of civil rights policy, feel that the appointments to this commission are of the utmost importance, because how this commission operates in its early stages will determine patterns for future activities and future efforts on the part of the Equal Employment Commission.

I want to take a moment to commend President Meany for his letter of October 5, 1964. That was following the enactment of the Civil Rights Law. The President of the AFL-CIO asked each international union president to assign a national officer and international staff representative to be responsible for the development of equal employment opportunity progress within each union. Ready availability of these assigned persons will make this job of implementing Title VII a good deal easier.

The fact is that Title VII will be just as effective as the cooperation that we get from industry, the cooperation we receive from employer and employee groups, the unions and the willingness of the equal employment commission to apply the standards of the law.

The passage of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act marked a turning point in the history of race relations in this country. As the mayor of Minneapolis back in the middle 1940's -- 1945 to 1949 -- I advocated and was able to have adopted by our city council the first enforceable, municipal fair employment practices commission in the United States. That is the first commission that had real authority. So, it was with particular pleasure that I was privileged to play some role in the enactment of the National Equal Employment Opportunity law. I have long studied the work of the local and state commissions. There are many different commissions; many different ordinances and laws. Therefore, it was important, it seemed to me, for the floor manager of the Civil Rights bill to know a little bit about these variations so that when we finally got down to writing the provisions of Title VII, that we would know what we were dealing with at the local and state levels.

One of the principal reasons why we were able to enact this national legislation, after having been unable to do so for, well, ever since World War II, was the strong and unswerving support of organized labor. I think you may recall that the original message did not include a Fair Employment Practices or Equal Employment Opportunities Commission. In President Kennedy's message, the suggestion of the Commission was there, but the bill, as such, as an administration bill, was not sent down. This was added in the House of Representatives and it was subsequently modified and added in the United States Senate.

It came about because of men like George Meany, Walter Reuther, the men that are here at this head table, the civil rights leader—ship conference, Andy Biemiller, Jack Conway and a host of others. And I see the officers here, Mr. Bill Schnitzler, Mr. Joe Keenan, and Don Slaiman who has done such a great job. And by the way, Don, lest I forget it, let me say one of the best things that has happened insofar as the Civil Rights program is concerned, is your special representation of this program in the councils of the AFL-CIO. I think this has meant a great deal to us in the government and a great deal to the country. We have worked very closely with all of the officers of the AFL-CIO, the Building Trades—our friend Neil Haggerty, we have practically been going steady lately working on these problems. But I am grateful for the cooperation.

Others at this conference will discuss the details of Title VII. I am here today to express the intention of your government, your federal government, to see that this Title is administered fairly and equitably and, above all, to ask for, to plead for voluntary compliance wherever possible. And to set the environment so that that voluntary compliance comes readily, knowing full well that there will be many times — I hope not too many, but we can expect some instances where voluntary compliance will not be forthcoming, and, therefore, the law will have to be applied. But, if we can create the climate for observance of the law, for voluntary compliance of the law, like you are doing in your internationals with the appointment of these special representatives such as this conference is doing, we are going to have a much more workable law and not have our administrative structure glutted with cases and our courts likewise glutted with cases.

We are going to try to work closely with state and local employment commissions and to use fully the enforcement authority which is contained in the legislation. There will be a determined effort made to improve, through consultation, through recommendation, through education, the state and local fair employment practices commissions — or Equal Employment Opportunity Commissions — and their procedures and their activities. I want to emphasize that because we are not going to meet this problem of discrimination in employment by just a federal commission. At least we are not going to make the progress soon enough.

We need to make sure that this spirit of creating federalism that President Johnson speaks of, namely where every layer of government takes on its share of the responsibility, we need to make sure that that happens. And I consider part of the job of the federal establishment to encourage local and state groups to do their job and reminding them if they do their job, there will be no federal interference. But reminding them also that if they fail to do their job, that the government of the United States has, as its duty, to protect the rights of the citizens of the United States, and that we intend to see that that is accomplished.

The experience of the past two decades in observing the operation of Fair Employment Commissions in the states has demonstrated one principal fact: if equal employment opportunity is to be a reality -- and that is what we are talking about -- employers and unions must seek voluntarily to promote such opportunities. I have said this about six times now and I am going to continue to say it because I have been in the law enforcement business long enough to know that you just can't enforce the law enough if everybody wants to resist or drag their feet.

What we need to do is to get people to lift up their feet a bit and take their foot off somebody's neck in a sense of any discrimination and we need to get people to say, "Look, this is the law, we are not only going to just accept the law, but we are going to embrace it. We know that this has been given due consideration, this is public policy, this is national and state policy and we are going to do something about it." And the best way that I know to get this job done is to make it a part of the program of employer and union. Employers and unions working together to promote voluntary compliance. Not mere passing observance of non-discriminatory standards, which I have seen many times. I have heard people say, "Well we don't have any discrimination; we have written it into the by-laws, or we have written it into our little bulletin that we pass out to our employees, we have told the company officers 'no discrimination.'" That doesn't do the job. That meets the legal requirement but it doesn't meet the working, practical requirements of eliminating discrimination.

I'll tell you how I put it, I have said to some of the federal officers and the President has spoken to me about this, he said, "Mr. Vice President, I want you to see that in the federal establishment there is an improvement in the general pattern of employment opportunities. I want you to see that in every one of the 50 states -- every one of the 50 states -- that there is a policy of non-discrimination, which is activated and not just stated." And I have been busy at it.

I have told some of my friends in the cabinet and in the agencies of government that if we are half as clever getting people jobs, without regard to race, color, or creed, as we were in denying them the jobs, we will be performing a very useful service. Every conceivable trick in the book has been used over the years to deny some people the right to vote; to deny some people the right to enjoy public facilities; to deny people the right to have a job based upon merit. Now, if we will just become as clever and as ingenious in finding ways to adjust this imbalance, to see to it that non-discrimination is more than a phrase and becomes an active, living policy, we will be well on the road to the achievement of our goal of equal opportunity.

Let me say just a word or two about union qualifications for membership. We have talked privately with many of your officers about this. The promotion of equal employment opportunity does not mean the destruction of reasonable standards for jobs or for union membership. Equal employment opportunity legislation was not designed to destroy standards; it was designed for one purpose: to employ people who can meet the standards and to see that standards are not used as a way of denying competent people a job.

I mention this because I have heard people say that some of the literacy tests — they say, you want to abolish literacy tests and we say, "no, it is not the purpose of the federal government to abolish literacy tests as such, but where a literacy test is used not to determine literacy but is used as a gimmick, as a device, discriminatorially to deny a person his exercise of the right to vote, then it isn't a literacy test; it isn't a standard of intelligence. What it becomes is a standard of denial of voting rights.

So, may I say, once again, equal employment opportunities legislation is not designed to destroy reasonable standards or jobs or union membership, but if there are standards for jobs or union memberships which are being twisted and used to deny a person equal employment opportunity, then it is the duty of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission -- federal, state and local -- to do something about that and to correct the situation.

So, to go back again, the promotion of equal employment opportunity does not mean the destruction of reasonable and fair standards for jobs or union membership. It does, however, mean that these standards must be fairly administered to all who apply, to hire a man simply because he is a Negro is as discriminatory as hiring a man simply because he is white.

We must, however, realize that generations of discrimination and inequality have left their mark on minority workers in this country. Many a minority worker just feels that he is not wanted and there is no place for him. And he is filled up with frustrations and irritations and tensions and withdrawal. It is therefore necessary, it seems to me, to consider affirmative recruitment of qualified minority group applicants rather than just simply saying that there is no discrimination.

After a group of people have heard for 100 years "No Negroes Need Apply," after they have been told for 100 years that this is an area of human endeavor in which you are not wanted and you are not going to get in, it isn't giving that man equal employment opportunity to post up in small type a footnote that says, "No job discrimination on the basis of race." You are going to have to do more than that. You are going to have to get up and literally make it crystal clear, almost by shouting it or making it manifestly clear, that a policy has been changed. This is a peaceful change. Now, if a change of violence takes place, they will hear about it. We are hoping that this will be a peaceful change. I hope I make myself clear. I therefore repeat that we must engage in affirmative recruitment of qualified minority applicants to get the best, to encourage them to apply. It is necessary to support supplementary educational opportunities for those interested in union membership and seeking to meet legitimate qualifications for union membership.

We have discussed this matter with many of your international representatives and I am happy to say that we are getting a good deal of cooperation. I want to say that in discussing this with members of the Building Trades that we not only are beginning to get the cooperation that we want, but actually the international officers are saying to me and to the representatives of the President's Council on Equal Opportunity that where you find any interference with the policy of the AFL-CIO and the national policy, you let me know and we will personally go there and see that something is done about it. Now, that is not passive, that is active and I appreciate that.

I can assure the officers of the unions we are going to work together. We are working together to try to make this a much more affirmative approach in recruitment and in training and in particularly in the apprenticeship program and many of these other programs so that we can honestly say we have done our job, and done it fairly. Now, if people don't qualify there isn't much you can do about that except to try to build a program of better education and better training

so that people in the next round do qualify. Finally, I just want to say that whatever we are advocating here is all for the public good.

I was at a meeting last night in New York City where I had been asked a number of questions by some very fine business people about some of the policies of this administration. I was asked, for example, about minimum wage and the easiest thing for me, of course, would have been to have said, "Well, we don't support any increase in minimum wage."

That would have made me a little more popular but I am happy to say that these men are honorable men that I was talking to and they wanted honorable answers from an honorable man. And I tried to be honorable in every sense of the word.

One man said, "Don't you think it is contrary to the administration's policy of trying to hold down prices and trying to prevent inflation to have an increase in the minimum wage?" I said, "I am not sure how much the minimum wage increase will be, but I am quite confident there will be an adjustment and it will be upward and there will be an expansion of coverage." And I said, "I must say to you that the argument that you have used, sir, is the one I have heard every year since I have been in Congress. That every time we make a little adjustment, somebody says, this is the one that is really going to break down the economy." Now, the fact of the matter is minimum wage improvement is good for the country. It is good for the unorganized, it is good for the organized and it is just good for the American people.

The truth is that the elimination of discrimination in employment, in public accommodations, in public facilities, in education, the elimination of discrimination in every area of American life is good not only for the person that is immediately benefitted -- the minority member of the society -- but for the entire society. And once we purge ourselves of this evil racism, it is going to be like we have a new lease on life.

It is like a person who has conquered a kind of residual fever that just drags you down. I think some of us know about this. When you have gone through a period of time in which you have said, "I just can't get over the virus." You feel lousy all winter long and you say, "I seem to be doing all right during the day but about 4 o'clock I start to weaken and I just don't seem to have the old zip and the go." I have heard many a person say that. And everybody has his own remedies, of course, for that particular type of condition. But, when you have a sort of low grade fever that hangs on, you are never really sick enough to be in bed and not well enough to really enjoy being out of bed, when you are just hanging on, you know that you are not producing at full power of doing your best.

Well, this is about the way we are in this problem of discrimination. We are doing pretty good in this country. We are doing very good in many ways. But just about the time that we are getting ready to do as good as we think we ought to be able to do, we sort of just weaken, peter out, just wear down. Why? Because we haven't really developed all the human potential that is here. And the program of your government today, the program of your President and your Vice President and of this Congress, is to try to release the great capacities of the American people for constructive good.

It is to conserve and develop and use human resources and once we rid ourselves of this curse of racism and of racial intolerance — and I said these same words in the state of Louisiana last Friday and I am happy to tell you that thousands of young university students cheered me as I spoke to them as openly as I am speaking to you, in the heart of the South. They know it, they want to do something about it — a whole new generation. And I surely was cheered and,

let me say, deeply moved by the enthusiastic reception of these young people. They know that we must rid ourselves of this and we know we must too and the sooner we get at it and get the job done, the better off we are going to be. Why, economically, the Council of Economic Advisors just told the President two weeks ago in our cabinet meeting — and I will just quote from it and I will leave you with this: "If Negroes received the same average pay as whites, having the same education, the personal income of Negroes of the nation would be twelve billion, eight hundred million dollars higher." If you really wanted to balance the budget, you could balance it mostly out of that, just by the present tax rates. You could pay for two poverty programs. You wouldn't need one, as a matter of fact. We could close up part of it.

But here, you know, we constantly talk about getting new markets. We just simply have to do new things to expand our economy. My fellow Americans, right here at our finger tips, right here in front of us, are people who speak the same language, worship in the same churches, live in the same country, have the same money — if they can get any of it — read the same maps, live in the same cities; we are here, we don't have to go to foreign countries. Here is the greatest economic market that the world has ever known — untouched. And just with a little investment we can do something about it and we are going to do something about it.

That education bill we passed and one is coming up yet to be passed, we will get right at that. It cost us twelve billion, eight hundred million dollars last year on job discrimination. Now, here is the second point the Council of Economic Advisors made -- and by the way, that Council is not made up of a lot of wild eyes -- pretty conservative gentlemen -- and I don't know a single one of them that has been leading the civil rights movement. I haven't read about them going to Selma or Birmingham or any place else. They have been tending to business right here. They are economists and they are respected economists. And, by the way, these figures are verified by some of the great banking institutions of our country. point they made, if Negroes also had the same educational attainments as white workers and earned the same pay and experienced the same rate of unemployment as whites, their personal income and that of the nation would be twenty billion, six hundred million dollars higher. Thirdly, the entire economy would benefit from better education of Negro workers and an end to job discrimination. That was the conclusion of the Council of Economic Advisors. Industry would earn additional profits, the total gross national product, according to a unanimous vote of the Council of Economic Advisors would rise by an estimated \$23 billion if we could truly gain equal employment opportunities and equal educational opportunities.

Now, let me just say this, my friends. I have heard many people say, well, it won't do any good if you give them equal educational opportunity, it won't have the same effect. That is not true. It might not have the same effect the first year because people that are deprived over a decade or a generation or 100 years, are not readily equipped or do not have the same equipment as a person coming from a family, a group or an ethnic group that has had better educational opportunities for 100 years. We have to have not only what we call operation head-start to get the little ones — and that is an important program here, to give our little youngsters a chance from these deprived and these poor homes, to give them a chance to get ready for school next fall for their first day in school, operation head-start, we need operation catch-up. Operation catch-up, and that is what the education bill is about. That is what Manpower Training is about. That is what this is all about. To have people who have been denied for years a chance, who have always been entered in the race by being put back about 25 paces, then they say "race." And if you don't come in first, they say, "See, you are not competent."

We are going to put everybody on the same starting blocks, the same starting line, and we are going to try to see to it that everybody has a chance to have good nutrition, good health care, educational opportunity, not separate but equal because what you had was separate and unequal -- and unequal for both, may I say; the white and the black suffered. So there you are, that's what Humphrey wanted to talk to you about. You knew I was going to say it anyway, but I tell you, I came to one conclusion a long time ago that if you don't keep pounding away at what you believe, there is so much other noise going on in society that people don't hear you at all.

You are all very busy, and so am I and I believe with all my heart that America will be a better country, morally, politically and economically, when we really practice equality of opportunity and until we do, we are tying one hand behind our back, we are hurting ourselves internationally; we are weakening ourselves economically and, in a very real sense, we are sick morally.

We are in the process of cleansing, we are cleaning up a lot of old bad ideas and cleaning them out, and we are beginning to clean out not only bad ideas but bad practices. And I predict that if we will all buckle down to this task and recognize that we have to make some adjustments, recognize that every one of us is a victim of habit, recognize that every one of us, in a sense, is prejudiced, but recognizing that we can do something about it because prejudice is an attitude of mind and you can change your mind. You can change your attitude.

And if we change our minds and our attitudes, we will change our practices and when we change our practices we are going to change America for the good. And that is the only reason I am in public life, I will tell you that. If I don't think what we were doing was of some good, I would want to get out of it. But I think what we are doing is good and I want to thank you for helping us do the good job that needs to be done.

AS WE SEE IT AFL_CIO Public Service Program

Sunday, April 18, 1965 American Broadcasting Company

SUBJECT:

"Equal Opportunity -- The Goal"

PARTICIPANTS: Vice President Hubert Humphrey

Secretary of Labor W. Willard Wirtz

AFL-CIO President George Meany

MODERATOR:

Harry W. Flannery

Time: 13:20

FLANNERY: AS WE SEE IT.

The Civil Rights movement is a moral issue, but it is more than that.

HUMPHREY: The entire economy would benefit from better education of Negro workers and an end to job discrimination. That was the conclusion of the Council of Economic Advisors. Industry would earn additional profits, the total gross national product, according to a unanimous vote of the Council of Economic Advisors would rise by an estimated \$23 billion if we could truly gain equal employment opportunities and equal educational opportunities.

FLANNERY: That was one of the statements made by Vice President Hubert Humphrey as he addressed the AFL-CIO Equal Opportunity Conference at the Willard Hotel here in Washington.

The Vice President was one of the featured speakers at a conference of representatives of AFL-CIO unions called together to determine what can best be done to promote voluntary compliance with this part of the 1964 legislation.

As reported by this radio station of the ABC network and the AFL-CIO, Secretary of Labor Willard Wirtz, pointed out the steps in the application of the Equal Opportunity section of the law, Title VII.

 $\underline{\text{WIRTZ}}$: This year, as of July 2, it becomes effective with respect to all unions, employers, employment relationships involving 100 or more employees, and in the following year on July 2 that number drops to 75, and then a year later to 50, and then down to 25.

 $\overline{\text{FLANNERY}}$: George Meany, AFL_CIO President, recalled that the AFL_CIO called a national conference of union leaders to help implement the Civil Rights Act within a month after the act was signed. Since then, he reported:

MEANY: Seventy-four national and international unions -- including all those with large membership -- have designated a top officer to develop and operate an affirmative equal job opportunity program within the trade or industry covered by the union, and to deal in a positive way with any problems of discrimination within the union itself.

A special conference on civil rights problems in the South was held by the AFL-CIO Southern Advisory Committee on Civil Rights in Atlanta.

Programs are already under way in a number of key cities, spurred on by our civil rights department, in cooperation with the Department of Labor and other groups. In particular, there has been one breakthrough after another in apprenticeships, in pre-apprenticeship training, in the guidance of young people toward available jobs for which they are fitted, or for which they can be trained.

FLANNERY: The conference, Meany explained, was called to see what can be done to obtain the widest possible compliance with the equal opportunities section of the law.

 $\underline{\text{MEANY}}$: It is our hope that by July 2, the holdouts, those who have refused to comply, will be reduced to the hard core of employers who traditionally have resisted unions and upheld discrimination with equal stubbornness.

AS WE SEE IT

Another purpose of this conference is to prepare for full and prompt cooperation with the Equal Employment Opportunities Commission, established by Title VII, as soon as its members have been chosen.

It must be clearly understood that our goal is not just employment at the bottom, but equal rights as to wages, working conditions and opportunity for advancement.

FLANNERY: The civil rights issue, the drive for equal opportunities and equal citizenship, is presented as a moral issue.

 ${\tt MEANY:}$ I agree that discrimination is a moral issue. It is un-American; it violates the principles of all religions; it is just plain unjust.

But that is not the whole story. This is not an academic exercise we are involved in. It is not just a matter of doing the right thing. It is a practical, down-to-earth problem for us all.

America needs the manpower, the brains, the skill and the potential skill of every citizen. America needs more genius, and yes, more purchasing-power. This nation, carrying the awesome obligations of leadership in the free world, cannot afford to carry as well the burden of a largely impoverished minority.

FLANNERY: Vice President Humphrey paid tribute to the AFL_CIO:

HUMPHREY: I want first to note that the AFL-CIO is to be commended for convening this conference, and for your leadership in this effort of equal employment opportunity. A conference, by the way, convened even before the Equal Employment Commission is established.

The fact is that Title VII will be just as effective as the cooperation that we get from industry, the cooperation we receive from employer and employee groups, the unions and the willingness of the Equal Employment Commission to apply the standards of the law.

FLANNERY: The Vice President told the conference:

HUMPHREY: The central theme of the Johnson-Humphrey administration is opportunity. That is what we are talking about. And we want opportunity to be something more than a theory. We want it to be a fact. We want people to have the opportunity to make real choices about their life and their life's work. That is why we emphasize the education program.

Training. Apprenticeship. Education. This is just another way of spelling out opportunity.

Now, once we have done that, we have got to make sure that when we remove the barrier of inadequate education or of illiteracy, or when we remove the barrier of unneeded skills and retraining for new skills, that we do not leave as a barrier to opportunity, race or job discrimination because of race, creed or national origin. And the Civil Rights Act of 1964 centered its attention, in a large measure, upon this subject of equal employment opportunity.

FLANNERY: Mr. Humphrey.

HUMPHREY: I am here today to express the intention of your government, your federal government, to see that this Title is administered fairly and equitably and, above all, to ask for, to plead for, voluntary compliance wherever possible. And to set the environment so that that voluntary compliance comes readily, knowing full well that there will be many times -- I hope not too many, but we can expect some instances where voluntary compliance will not be forthcoming and, therefore, the law will have to be applied. But, if we can create the climate for observance of the law, for voluntary compliance of the law, like you are doing in your internationals with the appointment of these special representatives,

AS WE SEE IT

such as this conference is doing, we are going to have a much more workable law and not have our administrative structure glutted with cases and our courts likewise glutted with cases.

FLANNERY: Again the Vice President of the United States.

HUMPHREY: Why, economically, the Council of Economic Advisors just told the President two weeks ago in our cabinet meeting — and I will just quote from it and I will leave you with this: "If Negroes received the same average pay as whites, having the same education, the personal income of Negroes of the nation would be twelve billion, eight hundred million dollars higher." If you really wanted to balance the budget, you could balance it mostly out of that, just by the present tax rates. You could pay for two poverty programs. You wouldn't need one, as a matter of fact. We could close up part of it.

But here, you know, we constantly talk about getting new markets. We just simply have to do new things to expand our economy. Why, my fellow Americans, right here at our finger tips, right here in front of us are people who speak the same language, worship in the same churches, live in the same country, have the same money — if they can get any of it — read the same maps, live in the same cities; we are here, we don't have to go to foreign countries. Here is the greatest economic market that the world has ever known — untouched. And just with a little investment we can do something about it and we are going to do something about it.

That education bill we passed here, and one is coming up yet to be passed, we will get right at that. It cost us twelve billion, eight hundred million dollars last year on job discrimination. Now, here is the second point the Council of Economic Advisors made. And, by the way, these figures are verified by some of the great banking institutions of our country.

The second point they made, if Negroes also had the same educational attainments as white workers and earned the same pay and experienced the same rate of unemployment as whites, their personal income and that of the nation would be twenty billion, six hundred million dollars higher.

Thirdly, the entire economy would benefit from better education of Negro workers and an end to job discrimination. That was the conclusion of the Council of Economic Advisors. Industry would earn additional profits, the total gross national product, according to a unanimous vote of the Council of Economic Advisors would rise by an estimated \$23 billion if we could truly gain equal employment opportunities and equal educational opportunities.

Now, let me just say this, my friends, I have heard many people say, well, it won't do any good if you give them equal educational opportunity, it won't have the same effect. That is not true. It might not have the same effect the first year because people that are deprived over a decade or a generation or 100 years, are not readily equipped or do not have the same equipment as a person coming from a family or an ethnic group that has had better educational opportunities for 100 years.

We have to have not only what we call Operation Head Start to get the little ones — and that is an important program here, to get our little youngsters a chance from these deprived and these poor homes, to give them a chance to get ready for school next fall for their first day in school, Operation Head Start, we need Operation Catch-Up. Operation Catch-Up, and that is what the education bill is about. That is what Manpower Training is about. That is what this is all about. To have people who have been denied for years a chance, who have always been entered the race by being put back about 25 paces, then they say "race." And if you don't come in first, they say, "See, you are not competent."

We are going to put everybody in the same starting blocks, the same starting line, and we are going to try to see to it that everybody has a chance to have good nutrition, good health care,

AS WE SEE IT

educational opportunity. And I believe, with all my heart, that America will be a better country, morally, politically and economically, when we really practice equality of opportunity and until we do, we are tying one hand behind our back, we are hurting ourselves internationally; we are weakening ourselves economically, and, in a very real sense, we are sick morally.

You can change your attitude. And if we change our minds and our attitudes, we will change our practices and when we change our practices we are going to change America for the good.

FLANNERY: Vice President Hubert Humphrey to the AFL_CIO Conference on Equal Employment Opportunity at the Hotel Willard here in Washington.

Also heard were Secretary of Labor Willard Wirtz and George Meany, President of the AFL_CIO.

This is Harry W. Flannery, your reporter, who invites you to be with us next week at this same time when AS WE SEE IT again comes as a presentation of the AFL-CIO and ABC public affairs. This program has been brought to you by the ABC network and the affiliated station to which you are listening.

INFORMATION

PRESIDENT'S COMMITTEE

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY
Washington 25, D. C.

AS DELIVERED

REMARKS OF VICE PRESIDENT HUBERT HUMPHREY AT THE LUNCHEON MEETING OF THE AFL-CIO EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY CONFERENCE AT THE WILLARD HOTEL, WASHINGTON, D. C., APRIL 13, 1965

Your conference is dedicated to consideration of the Civil Rights program, and particularly Title VII. I have talked to you so many times that there isn't any need in my trying to pull the strings of oratory and I don't intend to. I want to just visit with you. We are old friends and we have been at this work for many, many years. The people here at your head table are old soldiers in a very real sense in this cause of equal employment opportunities, equal opportunities in civil rights. I only say this: the central theme of the Johnson-Humphrey administration is opportunity. That is what we are talking about. And we want opportunity to be something more than a theory. We want it to be a fact. We want people to have the opportunity to make real choices about their life and their life's work. That is why we emphasize the education program.

And I must say, if President Johnson never accomplishes anything else -- and I am sure he will accomplish much -- the fact that we were able to pass this recent aid to education program, elementary and secondary education, this comprehensive program that provides in this first year a billion, three hundred million dollars of additional assistance to the young people of America for education -- if nothing else is done than that, it will

be a great record. But much more has been done and much more will be done.

We know that training and education is the key to opportunity.

This Sunday I was in my home city of Minneapolis and the second section of our Sunday newspaper had a feature story about the economic growth of our part of the nation. It was an excellent story. The headlines said "Manpower Training and Education Key to Economic Progress in Upper Midwest."

That is it.

If people that had been displaced by automation had received new training, people who had lost their jobs because some industry had moved or closed up or there had been a shift, were retrained. At tremendous effort in education, the apprenticeship program is well underway in our part of the country as it is in many other parts. Vocational Training. Apprenticeship. Education. This is just another way of spelling out opportunity.

We have got to make sure that when we remove the barrier of inadequate education or of illiteracy, or when we move the barrier of unneeded skills and retraining for new skills, that we do not leave as a barrier to opportunity, race or job discrimination because of race, creed or national origin. The Civil Rights Act of 1964 centered its attention in a large measure, upon this subject of equal employment opportunity.

I want first to note that the AFL-CIO is to be commended for convening this conference, and for your leadership in this effort of equal employment opportunity. A conference, by the way, convened even before the Equal Employment Commission is established. This Commission will be established. The

President is weighing very carefully the membership of this Commission.

Those of us that have responsibility in the field of Civil Rights, coordination and effectuation of civil rights policy, feel that the appointments to this Commission are of the utmost importance, because how this Commission operates in its early stages will determine patterns for future activities and future efforts on the part of the Equal Employment Commission.

I want to take a moment to commend President Meany for his letter of October 5, 1964. That was following the enactment of the Civil Rights Law.

The President of the AFL-CIO asked each international union president to assign a national officer and international staff representative to be responsible for the development of equal employment opportunity progress within each union. Ready availability of these assigned persons will make this job of implementing Title VII a good deal easier.

The fact is that Title VII will be just as effective as the cooperation that we get from industry, the cooperation we receive from employer and employee groups, the unions and the willingness of the equal employment commission to apply the standards of the law.

The passage of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act marked a turning point in the history of race relations in this country. As the mayor of Minneapolis back in the middle 1940's -- 1945 to 1949 -- I advocated and was able to have adopted by our city council the first enforceable, municipal fair employment practices commission in the United States. That is the first commission that had real

authority. So, it was with particular pleasure that I was privileged to play some role in the enactment of the National Equal Employment Opportunity law. I have long studied the work of the local and state commissions. There are many different commissions; many different ordinances and laws. Therefore, it was important, it seemed to me, for the floor manager of the Civil Rights bill to know a little bit about these variations so that when we finally got down to writing the provisions of Title VII, that we would know what we were dealing with at the local and state levels.

One of the principal reasons why we were able to enact this national legislation, after having been unable to do so for, well, ever since World War II, was the strong and unswerving support of organized labor. I think you may recall that the original message did not include a Fair Employment Practices or Equal Employment Opportunities Commission. In President Kennedy's message, the suggestion of the Commission was there, but the bill, as such, as an administration bill, was not sent down. This was added in the House of Representatives and it was subsequently modified and added in the United States Senate.

It came about because of men like George Meany, Walter Reuther, the men that are here at this head table, the civil rights leadership conference, Andy Biemiller, Jack Conway and a host of others. And I see the officers here, Mr. Bill Schnitzler, Mr. Joe Keenan, and Don Slaiman who has done such a great job. And by the way, Don, lest I forget it, let me say one of the best things that has happened insofar as the Civil Rights program is concerned, is your special

representation of this program in the councils of the AFL-CIO. I think this has meant a great deal to us in the government and a great deal to the country. We have worked very closely with all of the officers of the AFL-CIO, the Building Trades -- our friend Neil Haggerty, we have practically been going steady lately working on these problems. But I am grateful for the co-operation.

Others at this conference will discuss the details of Title VII. I am here today to express the intention of your government, your federal government, to see that this Title is administered fairly and equitably and, above all, to ask for, to plead for voluntary compliance wherever possible. And to set the environment so that the voluntary compliance comes readily, knowing full well that there will be many times -- I hope not too many, but we can expect some instances where voluntary compliance will not be forthcoming, and, therefore, the law will have to be applied. But, if we can create the climate for observance of the law, for voluntary compliance of the law, like you are doing in your internationals with the appointment of these special representatives such as this conference is doing, we are going to have a much more workable law and not have our administrative structure glutted with cases and our courts likewise glutted with cases.

We are going to try to work closely with state and local employment commissions and to use fully the enforcement authority which is contained in the legislation. There will be a determined effort made to improve, through consultation, through recommendation, through education, the state and local fair employment practices commissions -- or Equal Employment Opportunity Commissions -- and their procedures and their activities. I want to emphasize that because we are not going to meet this problem of discrimination in employment by

just a federal commission. At least we are not going to make the progress soon enough.

We need to make sure that this spirit of creating federalism that President Johnson speaks of, namely where every layer of government takes on its share of the responsibility, we need to make sure that that happens. And I consider part of the job of the federal establishment to encourage local and state groups to do their job and reminding them if they do their job, there will be no federal interference. But reminding them also that if they fail to do their job, that the government of the United States has, as its duty, to protect the rights of the citizens of the United States, and that we intend to see that that is accomplished.

The experience of the past two decades in observing the operation of Fair Employment Commissions in the states has demonstrated one principal fact: If equal employment opportunity is to be a reality -- and that is what we are talking about -- employers and unions must seek voluntarily to promote such opportunities. I have said this about six times now and I am going to continue to say it because I have been in the law enforcement business long enough to know that you just can't enforce the law enough if everybody wants to resist or drag their feet.

What we need to do is to get people to lift up their feet a bit and take their foot off somebody's neck in a sense of any discrimination and we need to get people to say, "Look, this is the law, we are not only going to just accept the law,

but we are going to embrace it. We know that this has been given due consideration, this is public policy, this is national and state policy and we are going to do something about it." And the best way that I know to get this job done is to make it a part of the program of employer and union. Employers and unions working together to promote voluntary compliance. Not mere passing observance of non-discriminatory standards, which I have seen many times. I have heard people say, "Well we don't have any discrimination; we have written it into the by-laws, or we have written it into our little bulletin that we pass out to our employees, we have told the company officers 'no discrimination.'" That doesn't do the job. That meets the legal requirement but it doesn't meet the working, practical requirements of eliminating discrimination.

I'll tell you how I put it. I have said to some of the federal officers and the President has spoken to me about this, he said, "Mr. Vice President, I want you to see that in the federal establishment there is an improvement in the general pattern of employment opportunities. I want you to see that in every one of the 50 states -- every one of the 50 states -- that there is a policy of non-discrimination, which is activated and not just stated." And I have been busy at it.

I have told some of my friends in the cabinet and in the agencies of government that if we are half as clever getting people jobs, without regard to race, color, or creed, as we were in denying them the jobs, we will be performing a very useful service. Every conceivable trick in the book has been used over the years to deny some people the right to vote; to deny some people the right to enjoy

public facilities; to deny people the right to have a job based upon merit. Now, if we will just become as clever and as ingenious in finding ways to adjust this imbalance, to see to it that non-discrimination is more than a phrase and becomes an active, living policy, we will be well on the road to the achievement of our goal of equal opportunity.

Let me say just a word or two about union qualifications for membership.

We have talked privately with many of your officers about this. The promotion of equal employment opportunity does not mean the destruction of reasonable standards for jobs or for union membership. Equal employment opportunity legislation was not designed to destroy standards; it was designed for one purpose: to employ people who can meet the standards and to see that standards are not used as a way of denying competent people a job.

I mention this because I have heard people say that some of the literacy tests -- they say, you want to abolish literacy tests and we say, "no, it is not the purpose of the federal government to abolish literacy tests as such, but where a literacy test is used not to determine literacy but is used as a gimmick, as a device, discriminatorially to deny a person his exercise of the right to vote, then it isn't a literacy test; it isn't a standard of intelligence. What it becomes is a standard of denial of voting rights.

So, may I say, once again, equal employment opportunities legislation is not designed to destroy reasonable standards or jobs or union membership, but if there are standards for jobs or union memberships which are being twisted

and used to deny a person equal employment opportunity, then it is the duty of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission -- federal, state and local -- to do something about that and to correct the situation.

So, to go back again, the promotion of equal employment opportunity does not mean the destruction of reasonable and fair standards for jobs or union membership. It does, however, mean that these standards must be fairly administered to all who apply, to hire a man simple because he is a Negro is as discriminatory as hiring a man simply because he is white.

We must, however, realize that generations of discrimination and inequality have left their mark on minority workers in this country. Many a minority worker just feels that he is not wanted and there is no place for him. And he is filled up with frustrations and irritations and tensions and withdrawal. It is therefore necessary, it seems to me, to consider affirmative recruitment of qualified minority group applicants rather than just simply saying that there is no discrimination.

After a group of people have heard for 100 years "No Negroes Need Apply," after they have been told for 100 years that this is an area of human endeavor in which you are not wanted and you are not going to get in, it isn't giving that man equal employment opportunity to post up in small type a footnote that says, "No job discrimination on the basis of race." You are going to have to do more than that. You are going to have to get up and literally make it crystal clear, almost by shouting it or making it manifestly clear, that a policy has been changed. This is a peaceful change.

Now, if a change of violence takes place, they will hear about it. We are hoping that this will be a peaceful change. I hope I make myself clear. I therefore repeat that we must engage in affirmative recruitment of qualified minority applicants to get the best, to encourage them to apply. It is necessary to support supplementary educational opportunities for those interested in union membership and seeking to meet legitimate qualifications for union membership.

We have discussed this matter with many of your international representatives and I am happy to say that we are getting a good deal of cooperation. I want to say that in discussing this with members of the Building Trades that we not only are beginning to get the cooperation that we want, but actually the international officers are saying to me and to the representatives of the President's Council on Equal Opportunity that where you find any interference with the policy of the AFL-CIO and the national policy, you let me know and we will personally go there and see that something is done about it. Now, that is not passive, that is active and I appreciate that.

I can assure the officers of the unions we are going to work together.

We are working together to try to make this a much more affirmative approach in recruitment and in training and in particularly in the apprenticeship program and many of these other programs so that we can honestly say we have done our job, and done it fairly. Now, if people don't qualify there isn't much you can do about that except to try to build a program of better education and better training so that people in the next round do qualify. Finally, I just want to say that whatever we are advocating here is all for the public good.

I was at a meeting last night in New York City where I had been asked a number of questions by some very fine business people about some of the policies of this administration. I was asked, for example, about minimum wage and the easiest thing for me, of course, would have been to have said, "Well, we don't support any increase in minimum wage."

That would have made me a little more popular but I am happy to say that these men are honorable men that I was talking to and they wanted honorable answers from an honorable man. And I tried to be honorable in every sense of the word.

One man said, "Don't you think it is contrary to the administration's policy of trying to hold down prices and trying to prevent inflation to have an increase in the minimum wage?" I said, "I am not sure how much the minimum wage increase will be, but I am quite confident there will be an adjustment and it will be upward and there will be an expansion of coverage." And I said, "I must say to you that the argument that you have used, sir, is the one I have heard every year since I have been in Congress. That every time we make a little adjustment, somebody says, this is the one that is really going to break down the economy." Now, the fact of the matter is minimum wage improvement is good for the country. It is good for the unorganized, it is good for the organized and it is just good for the American people.

The truth is that the elimination of discrimination in employment, in public accommodations, in public facilities, in education, the elimination of discrimination in every area of American life is good not only for the person that is immediately benefitted -- the minority member of the society -- but for the entire society. And once we purge ourselves of this evil racism, it is going to be like we have a new lease on life.

It is like a person who has conquered a kind of residual fever that just drags you down. I think some of us know about this. When you have gone through a period of time in which you have said, "I just can't get over the virus." You feel lousy all winter long and you say, "I seem to be doing all right during the day but about 4 o'clock I start to weaken and I just don't seem to have the old zip and the go." I have heard many a person say that. And everybody has his own remedies, of course, for that particular type of condition. But, when you have a sort of low grade fever that hangs on, you are never really sick enough to be in bed and not well enough to really enjoy being out of bed, when you are just hanging on, you know that you are not producing at full power of doing your best.

Well, this is about the way we are in this problem of discrimination. We are doing pretty good in this country. We are doing very good in many ways. But just about the time that we are getting ready to do as good as we think we ought to be able to do, we sort of just weaken, peter out, just wear down. Why? Because we haven't really developed all the human potential that is here. And the program of your government today, the program of your President and your Vice President

and of this Congress, is to try to release the great capacities of the American people for constructive good.

It is to conserve and develop and use human resources and once we rid ourselves of this curse of racism and of racial intolerance -- and I said these same words in the state of Louisiana last Friday and I am happy to tell you that thousands of young university students cheered me as I spoke to them as openly as I am speaking to you, in the heart of the South. They know it, they want to do something about it --- a whole new generation. And I surely was cheered and, let me say, deeply moved by the enthusiastic reception of these young people. They know that we must rid ourselves of this and we know we must too and the sooner we get at it and get the job done, the better off we are going to be. Why, economically, the Council of Economic Advisors just told the President two weeks ago in our cabinet meeting -- and I will just quote from it and I will leave you with this: "If Negroes received the same average pay as whites, having the same education, the personal income of Negroes of the nation would be twelve billion, eight hundred million dollars higher." If you really wanted to balance the budget, you could balance it mostly out of that, just by the present tax rates. You could pay for two poverty programs. You wouldn't need one, as a matter of fact. We could close up part of it.

But here, you know, we constantly talk about getting new markets. We just simply have to do new things to expand our economy. My fellow Americans, right here at our finger tips, right here in front of us, are people who speak the

same language, worship in the same churches, live in the same country, have the same money -- if they can get any of it -- read the same maps, live in the same cities; we are here, we don't have to go to foreign countries. Here is the greatest economic market that the world has ever known -- untouched. And just with a little investment we can do something about it and we are going to do something about it.

That education bill we passed and one is coming up yet to be passed, we will get right at that. It cost us twelve billion, eight hundred million dollars last year on job discrimination. Now, here is the second point the Council of Economic Advisors made -- and by the way, that Council is not made up of a lot of wild eyes -- pretty conservative gentlemen -- and I don't know a single one of them that has been leading the civil rights movement. I haven't read about them going to Selma or Birmingham or any place else. They have been tending to business right here. They are economists and they are respected economists. And, by the way, these figures are verified by some of the great banking institutions of our country. The second point they made, if Negroes also had the same educational attainments as white workers and earned the same pay and experienced the same rate of unemployment as whites, their personal income and that of the nation would be twenty billion, six hundred million dollars higher. Thirdly, the entire economy would benefit from better education of Negro workers and an end to job discrimination. That was the conclusion of the Council of Economic Advisors.

Industry would earn additional profits, the total gross national product, according to a unanimous vote of the Council of Economic Advisors would rise by an estimated \$23 billion if we could truly gain equal employment opportunities and equal educational opportunities.

Now let me just say this, my friends, I have heard many people say, well, it won't do any good if you give them equal educational opportunity, it won't have the same effect. That is not true. It might not have the same effect the first year because people that are deprived over a decade or a generation or 100 years, are not readily equipped or do not have the same equipment as a person coming from a family, a group or an ethnic group that has had better educational opportunities for 100 years. We have to have not only what we call Operation Head-Start to get the little ones -- and that is an important program here, to give our little youngsters a chance from these deprived and these poor homes, to give them a chance to get ready for school next fall for their first day in school. We have Operation Head-Start, we need operation catch-up. Operation catch-up. and that is what the education bill is about. That is what Manpower Training is about. That is what this is all about. To have people who have been denied for years a chance, who have always been entered in the race by being put back about 25 paces, then they say "race." And if you don't come in first, they say, "See, you are not competent."

We are going to put everybody on the same starting blocks, the same starting line, and we are going to try to see to it that everybody has a chance to have good nutrition, good health care, educational opportunity, not separate but equal because what you had was separate and unequal -- and unequal for both, may I say; the white and the black suffered. So there you are, that's what Humphrey wanted to talk about to you. You knew I was going to say it anyway, but I tell you, I came to one conclusion a long time ago that if you don't keep pounding away at what you believe, there is so much other noise going on in society that people don't hear you at all.

You are all very busy, and so am I and I believe with all my heart that America will be a better country, morally, politically and economically, when we really practice equality of opportunity and until we do, we are tying one hand behind our back, we are hurting ourselves internationally; we are weakening ourselves economically and, in a very real sense, we are sick morally.

We are in the process of cleansing, we are cleaning up a lot of old bad ideas and cleaning them out, and we are beginning to clean out not only bad ideas but bad practices. And I predict that if we will all buckle down to this task and recognize that we have to make some adjustments, recognize that every one of us is a victim of habit, recognize that every one of us, in a sense, is prejudiced, but recognizing that we can do something about it because prejudice is an attitude of mind and you can change your mind. You can change your attitude.

And if we change our minds and our attitudes, we will change our practices and when we change our practices we are going to change America for the good.

And that is the only reason I am in public life, I will tell you that. If I don't think what we were doing was of some good, I would want to get out of it. But I think what we are doing is good and I want to thank you for helping us do the good job that needs to be done.

Reel #1
Office of Economic Opportunity
Vice-President Hubert Humphrey

WALTER REUTHER: I'm certain that we all appreciate the fact that we are privileged to have as our principal speaker at this conference the Vice-President of the United States. I think, in a real sense his acceptance of our invitation not only meets our problem but meets his problem because, for Hubert Humphrey to have to be told, as he was told, that he can't make any further speeches on the floor of the Senate is a matter, I'm sure, of some concern. (Laughter) And so our invitation to him not only meets our need at this conference but helps him meet his need because he has to find other platforms, and we are very happy that we are able to make a contribution because I believe we can all agree that we want the voice of Hubert Humphrey to sound loud and clear on the platforms of this country on the great issues that face our society. (Applause.)

Now, when you assemble people who have great concern about what America should be doing about its unfinished work, it is not necessary for the chairman of such a meeting to go into extended remarks about the Vice-President of the United States. I think it most appropriate that the Vice-

President of the United States is the principal speaker here when we are dealing with the problem of human poverty, because Hubert Humphrey has been in the forefront of every struggle to wipe out poverty and social injustice, and there's not been one meaningful struggle for human betterment in which he has not lent his efforts and his spirit in the last 25 years. When the great debate on that great moral issue of civil rights was about to begin on the floor of the United States Senate, all of the people in this country who were concerned about the future of that legislation, who were praying that somehow we could enact meaningful civil rights legislation, were all reassured when they learned that that bill was placed in the competent hands of Hubert Humphrey. (Applause.)

VICE-PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Thank you very much,
Walter, for your generous introduction. I should tell each
of the delegates here that I just whispered to Walter that
he's getting better on introductions all the time, and I'm
so glad that he's exercising this channel of improvement
when he introduces me. It's a genuine privilege to be
here this morning. I'm sure you recognize that we all
have plenty to do these days and, for some reason or other,
the hope that was expressed by Walter Reuther that the VicePresident might have an opportunity to speak occasionally

in other forums, since he's been denied the opportunity to speak in the United States Senate--that that hope has been realized again and again and again (laughter). In fact, it's almost gotten more than the Vice-President hoped for. (Laughter) Tomorrow I journey to Des Moines, Iowa, to address Midwest National, I should say, National Conference on Agricultural Policy. It seems like it was just yester-day--I guess it was, as a matter of fact--that I was in Wyoming (laughter) and I flew back--it was Monday night--and we left there at 3:45 A.M. Tuesday morning to fly back so I could attend a meeting at the White House at 10:30 and last evening we had another set of meetings, and then Walter came over to see me yesterday. We had quite a day. I had one meeting after another. I'm not at all sure that we accomplished too much, but I had a number of meetings.

Today I have the privilege of appearing at this citizens' crusade against poverty, and I've looked forward to this meeting. I didn't come here to give you any stirring address. I came here to share some thoughts with you, because you may know I have some limited responsibility for this program of the Economic Opportunity Act and this battle against the ravages of poverty in our nation. I'm delighted that we have here, in Washington, delegates from many many national organizations—non-governmental delegates—men and women that are willing to join up for the duration and to

give us the benefit of your boundless energy, your wide experience, and your great talent.

Now, let me proceed with the discussion of what I believe are some of the tasks before us and what I hope might be an outline of how we can work together. It's always good to quote history, but it's better to make it, and if you make some history, you won't have to study it because you will have been a part of it. You're making some history, and every day of our national existence is another page in some history book somewhere.

In the year of 1620 John Winthrop, later to be the first Governor of Massachusetts, assembled the Puritans on the deck of that little ship called the Mayflower and told them, and I quote him, "We must consider that we shall be as a city set upon a hill, and the eyes of all people will be upon us." Now the Puritans heard that message while they were yet in the middle of the Atlantic; yet, John Winthrop told them of their special place in history. I'm always amazed, and yet overjoyed, by the fact that these men and women that gave this nation guidance in its early days seemed to be moved by both eloquence and simplicity. They set such high standards and such noble goals that history has recorded their utterances. And John Winthrop was surely one of those that gave us high standards.

Now, relating history to current events, I think it's fair to say that today the eyes of all people, not only here in America, but everywhere, are still upon us. And we are a city on a hill, but our city is not yet complete. Like the Puritans, we are still in mid-passage and we cannot continue our journey, nor can we complete our city, until we achieve the proudest goal to which any nation has yet aspired, and that is the goal of equal opportunity for all Americans. The passage of the Economic Opportunity Act was a firm and determined step toward that goal, toward the creation of that city on the hill.

Let me digress from my notes here to say that
the one danger that I see in this effort is that so many
of us have been engaged so long in so many endeavors that
we have lost some of the sparkle, the zest, the effervescence, for getting the job done. And let me also add
that if I ever find any of you that do not have that,
please get out of our way, because we do not have time
for people that have had it. We need people who want it.
We need people who are going to get in and work and consider this the first noble experiment, the greatest experiment and experience of their life. And if we can't have
people who are that enthusiastic, then the battle has been
lost before we start. I guess I'm one of those congenital

optimists. Somebody said the other day that my main weakness was over-exuberance. Well, in this city of Washington, you can't have too much of it, I'll tell you. (Laughter) (Applause)

weary here in the Nation's Capital about the joy of living and the excitement of modern life than they do elsewhere.

When I'm just a little tired--a little overly wrought and concerned--all I need to do is just get away about 50 miles --go to Hagerstown--it will help (laughter) and all at once I begin to feel that people are really excited about something. So, with all due deference to our beautiful Nation's Capital--and it is beautiful--and may I add that those of us that come to it and those of us that live here should try to make this city not only be beautiful in the eye of the viewer, but beautiful also in the heart and spirit of those who live here and work here.

I welcome you today as you come here, then, to offer the Nation your time, your talents, your matchless experience, and your exuberance. We are going to need all of them as we, together, take the next step in our journey and take the next step in the achievement of our goal of equal opportunity. That journey is the eradication of poverty in all of its forms. I think this is one of the most exciting challenges that we've ever had.

Now, the annals of the poor are neither short nor simple in mid-century America, if, indeed, they ever were. An understanding of the dimensions of the problem we face requires not merely our compassion, but comprehension -comprehension of the incomprehensible. To those persons grown accustomed to the face of a smiling America, the facts of poverty are baffling. To understand them requires a realization that in the midst of the richest nation in all history -- and this is a topic that would occupy the time and energies of many an orator, telling about our richness-but in the midst of these riches 35,000,000 Americans, almost one fifth of this nation, are poor by the generally accepted standards of our community. We need to realize that the average income of almost 10,000,000 American families in poverty is less than \$1,800 a year. This, then--just these two facts -- is the far side of our Paradise. I know we want to get up there and take a look at the other side of the moon, and we're going to do it, but I want to venture this thought, that if we will be willing to put in the same amount of time, energy, and resources in taking a look at and doing something about the other side of our so-called Paradise -- the poverty side -- we will have achieved a goal and have arrived at an accomplishment that will even be more meaningful than getting a look at all sides of the moon. (Applause)

Now, as the Chairman of the Space Council, I do not want to be misunderstood. (Laughter) I happen to think we can do both. As a matter of fact, I believe Walter Reuther would tell me that you won't have much chance, Mr. Vice-President, of seeing the moon and doing anything about it unless you can do a little bit more about the earth. So they're interrelated, interdependent. I'm not one of these either, you know, this or that fellows. I'm one that believes you can have both—you can have all the good things, if you set yourself to the task.

Now, until recently, the cruelest aspect of poverty in America seemed to be its sheer hopelessness. Somebody said—and it's been repeated throughout history—that the poor are always with us. But someone said the other day the difference is that while the poor are always with us, this is the first time we've been able to do some—thing about the problem. And the fact that we can do some—thing about this situation of the poor and the poverty—stricken makes it all the more imperative that we can for—give our grandfathers and our great—grandfathers and grand—mothers for not having done more. They didn't have the means to do it. But you can't forgive this generation because this is the first generation in all of recorded history that can

do something about the scourge of poverty. We have the means to do it. We can banish hunger from the face of the earth. Never before could it be done. We can house those that are poorly sheltered or poorly housed. We can teach the illiterate—we have the means. We can, in fact, help the blind to see, through modern medicine. In other words, all the challenges of Scripture, with few exceptions, can be realized in this generation. So, as a sort of midwestern Fundamentalist, let me say that I don't see much excuse for forgiving people for not doing what they ought to do. We can do it.

For many persons, the future appeared no brighter than the past, as they lived in poverty. And for many persons, even now, in this land of milk and honey, some people appear destined to taste only the bitter dregs of this elixir. It has been demonstrated, for example, that a child born in poverty in an American city is likely to become—I underscore the word "likely," not always, but likely—to become a slow learner, an illiterate, a reject, a dropout, a delinquent, and even a criminal. He is likely to live miserably, die young, and leave no legacy but off—spring fated to meet the same ignominious destiny. Now, there is a concise statement of the grave social problems that affect thousands of families in large American cities.

And you people from New York, you read it in your paper everyday. I read it as I pick up the New York newspapers. I read about how they talk about the generations of families that have been on relief, and I'm sick of reading it. I think it's about time we did something about it--kind of old news.

Now, it's both incredible and intolerable that 40% of the families receiving aid for families, from Federal state, or local or voluntary resources, with dependent children -- either the father or the mother of those families were raised in families which had also received public assistance. We need to break this vicious cycle of poverty. Our goal must be to free millions of Americans from the bondage of that tragic equation which often decrees that the poor shall beget poor and ignorance shall beget misery. This is why we declared war on poverty. And this is a war to which we are unconditionally committed. It's a war in which we save lives and don't destroy them. It's a war that, rather than to cost you, actually builds for you. But we have to recognize that we have not finally or irrevocably selected our strategy or tactics. The battles are to be fought on largely uncharted terrain where armies have never before clashed. We know, of course, some of the weapons that we've already chosen. But I might add that, just as the military is constantly trying to find new weapons systems to meet

enemies or the threat of aggression, those of us that are engaged in this war better be doing a little research on new weapons systems of how to meet this ancient old enemy, because the old weapons have either been inadequate or too few, because the enemy seems to be with us.

I do know of some of the battles we are now waging. You know, for example, that the President has called for a doubling of the present program levels of the Office for Economic Opportunity. You know of the community action programs. Most of you are involved in them. and by July 1965 we will have funded about 400 local antipoverty plans and programs, and the 1966 budget will support about 600 such programs. You know of the Job Corps. By July we will be well along toward the training of more than 25,000 youths. I saw the first motion pictures of the opening of the first Job Corps camp, and can I just add that it was a thrilling moment for me when I think that we started to try to get those camps in operation back in 1956, passed the Senate three times, and each time was broken up on the rocks of intolerance and prejudice. At long last, the camps are a reality, and what a joy it was for this man speaking to you now to see fulfillment of a dream. So, when I speak of having 25,000 young people in these camps by July, I must say that this to me is very

very gratifying, but it's only a beginning. By 1966 the Corps will have established more than 100 urban and rural residential centers for young men and women, to give them basic education, skilled training, and sound working experience. You know of the Neighborhood Youth Corps, and by July we will have enrolled more than 175,000 young men and women, and by 1966, in the 1966 budget, we will provide work opportunities for the training of 300,000 youths. And then VISTA, the Volunteers in Service to America. More than 5,000 volunteers will be molded into a domestic Peace Corps. I think this is one of the most exciting adventures of our time -- the VISTA program. You ought to be out recruiting people for the VISTA program because it will help your communities, it will help your families, it will help your state, it will help your Nation. In the VISTA program people will have the opportunity to see the fruits of their labor, right there.

I do know of the small business program which, by July, will have disbursed up to \$5,000,000 to very small firms, placing disadvantaged entrepreneurs back on their feet or putting them into business for the first time. I want to commend here Mr. Foley of the Small Business Administration for his courage and his adventuresome spirit in moving out into certain areas where the Small Business

Administration had never before gone to work. Helping in Harlem; helping in some of the neighborhoods in Philadelphia; helping people who were small entrepreneurs who wanted to get in business, who were colored, never had a chance, never received a loan; and yet, the Small Business Administration moves forward, and you will be interested to know that the program, limited as it is, is working well. Those who have obtained some assistance are doing well.

I do know of the work study programs, the adult basic education programs, and all those other weapons in the arsenal which Sargent Shriver and his dedicated associates are so carefully and conscientiously administering. I think we'll have to change Sargent Shriver's name to General Shriver. (Laughter) I don't think a sergeant can just take care of all of this. But be this as it may, he has a tremendous array of forces, and he's putting them to work. But you also understand that the programs contained in the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 cannot provide the total answer. They represent only several combat teams or several divisions in the army that must be recruited to achieve total victory in this war.

The President has noted that poverty has many roots, but the taproot is ignorance. Today two thirds of the families headed by those with less than a grammar school education

live in poverty. Our education program, therefore, must be an integral part of a nation-wide attack on the causes and the effects of poverty. The causal relationship here is established. It just goes almost without repeating it that those who have been denied the opportunities of education or for some reason didn't take advantage of those opportunities of education--those people today represent the hard core of the enemy of poverty. They're the ones, and when I think of how little it takes to do something in education as compared to what it takes to do something in modern scientific weapons of so-called regular warfare, I'm amazed that we haven't been at the task a lot sooner.

The President's proposal for medical care for the aged is inseparably tied to the war on poverty. The median income of families headed by persons over 65 years of age is less than \$3,000, and I want to point out that just as Franklin Roosevelt once identified certain unemployed in our country as the "forgotten man," or the "forgotten men," the forgotten people in all too many communities today are the elderly. If we can find attics, or little homes to put them aside, to get them out of sight, some people think that the problem has been overcome. This is merely blinding oneself to reality. A society that is worth surviving is one that offers opportunity to

its young and one that offers care to its elderly. If you can't offer opportunity to the young and care to the elderly and rehabilitation to the maimed and the crippled, then you are an immoral society, and that's the kind of immorality that ought to worry us. (Applause)

So it is with the Appalachia program. The minimum wage, unemployment insurance, and area redevelopment -all are joined by a common thread--the determination to offer to every American, old or young, wherever situated, however engaged, the opportunity to share fully and freely in the fruits of American progress. I'd like to repeat this because, Walter, this is what we've been talking about for 25 years. This is what Franklin Roosevelt talked to this Nation about better than 30 years ago, when he was calling for a New Deal, not for himself, not merely for the economy, but for every American. And we're still engaged now in the realization of many of the goals of that period. No two programs could more clearly demonstrate this determination and this interrelationship that I've spoken of than the Economic Opportunity Act and the Civil Rights Act. Negro Americans today are twice as likely to be illiterates, twice as likely to be unemployed, and twice as likely to be poor as other Americans.

Economic opportunity without full civil rights means the chance to become taxpayers but not citizens. It

is still taxation without representation, and there's far too much of that in this country-far too much. And may I say, we intend to do something about it in this Congress. (Applause)

opportunity means, as Whitney Young has said, a mouthful of civil rights and an empty dinner pail. So we're not going to try to make choices—we're going to have both economic opportunity and the full rights of citizenship. The President has charged me with the responsibility of coordinating federal programs in both of these areas. That's why I'm here this morning, and I will exercise every effort to see these programs move forward in a complementary and constructive manner. It is my view that the key to accomplishment in the field of civil rights in many areas is the Economic Opportunity Act.

We can talk about people without even mentioning civil rights and obtain full Constitutional human rights, and the key to the fulfillment of the civil rights objectives, or I should say the economic opportunity objectives in many areas is in implementing civil rights. So if we put the two together

(end of tape)

Reel #2
Office of Economic Opportunity

Vice-President Hubert Humphrey

... one-fifth of our population to the point where they can become full-fledged producers, consumers, purchasers, and providers in the American society. We want them to share in not only the benefits of progress but also to be capable of contributing more directly to that progress. And, my fellow Americans, when you can put good morals and good economics in the same package, you have a winner.

This poverty which has gripped us is the greatest drag on our economy of any one single economic and social development. The elimination of poverty is not only good for the poor, it's good for the rich. It's not only good for the unemployed, it's good for the employed. It's not only good for the person that receives the benefits, it's good for the automobile industry, Walter, and it's good for the drug industry, and it's good for a lot of other things. When you can put together moral commitment and economic opportunity, you have a program that should rally the support of millions of people.

Let me speak a little bit about the economy. The nation's economy is directly, intensely, and senselessly affected by this wanton waste of human resources which we see all about us. I look upon this anti-poverty program as

a great conservation program. I've always supported our endeavors in the conservation of land, water, and minerals and forests. I've been a Teddy Roosevelt-Governor Pinch ot type of conservationist. But today we're engaged in a broader program of conservation, a program of the conservation and development of human resources. That's what the Civil Rights program is about, that's what the Economic Opportunity Act is about, that's what most of this legislative program is about.

It has been estimated that the lost production from wasted human resources--people who do not produce up to full potential due to lack of education, due to prejudice, due to lack of opportunity--amounted to \$549,000,000,000 from the first half of 1953 to the first half of 1964. Now, that is the estimate of the President's Council of Economic Advisors. \$549,000,000,000 of lost income. What could we have done with that in this world and this America? Thus it is not only true that we can afford to wage the war on poverty, the truth is that we cannot afford not to wage it. But the statistics, however shocking or enlightening, can never depict the lifelong scars on the individual who grows up in racial or in economic ghettoes, or the long range consequences of inadequate medical care and deficient education, or the psychological effects of sustained deprivation and

lack of opportunity. No geometric curve can adequately demonstrate the corrosive acid of poverty, an acid which destroys all in its path -- hope and dignity, faith in oneself, in one's fellow man, and in one's country. In these challenging years we simply cannot afford to continue freezing out of the mainstream of American life any potential talent or ability. No flower can be allowed to blush unseen on the desert air. These, then, are our goals, our tools and our motivations, but who are our warriors? Let there be no mistake about the identity of the real field commanders and the real troops. The President said the war against poverty will not be won in Washington. It must be won in the field -- in every private home, in every public office, from the courthouse to the White House. Therefore, every battle in this war on poverty is being carried on, in a large part, through the enthusiastic, deeply committed, volunteered services of men and women from all walks of life in every community across this land. The success of the community action programs, the Neighborhood Youth Corps, VISTA, the Small Business Development Centers -- indeed, the success of every aspect of the war on poverty depends on vigorous, constructive, creative leadership and participation by individuals, by voluntary groups, by states and localities, and the American public. And here it is that you can help us.

I noted yesterday -- and I have a copy of the telegram sent by Mr. Shriver to the American Bar Association -where the American Bar Association passed a resolution calling upon the legal profession to enlarge the availability of legal services offered to the poor. This is an example of new effort and of helpfulness, and I want to join Mr. Shriver in commending the American Bar Association on volunteering their professional services in this war. I said that this is something that we must all engage in. We must remember that we have neither the funds, the facilities, nor the personnel to assume this burden as solely a governmental function. Nor would we wish to if we could. No war in history was less suited for conscription as its source of manpower, or confiscatory taxation for its source of funds than this war on poverty. Our war must be fought at the local level and, with your help, it will be fought there.

Now, what can you do? You can disseminate information about the President's program to the organizations and individuals whom you represent. I am shocked and amazed how little people know about what we're trying to do. You know, there is so much news that people don't get any of it. It's sort of like being overfed. You're never hungry. This is one of the real problems that we have today in the field

of public education. There is just a constant barrage of news and there's so much of it most people just reject it out of hand. Therefore, you're going to have to really be ingenious to get the message of what this program is all about and what you can do about it to the people of your community. You can join with us in a mammoth recruitment effort to reach those for whom this program represents an opportunity to serve and those to whom it represents the last best hope of survival. You can help us spark the creation of neighborhood groups and associations and block clubs and community councils to support efforts by the poor to help themselves; and you can tell the people in your own community that they don't need to look at the poor in Philadelphia. If you live in Peoria, just look at Peoria; or if you live in Minneapolis you don't need to talk about the poor in Cleveland, we have some in Minneapolis.

where they know the terrain, where they can identify the enemy, where they've watched the enemy day in and day out, and declare war in that community, we will start to win the total victory that we seek. In your own communities you can provide that special element of personal commitment and concern, that precious ingredient which is the distillation of years of deep involvement in humanitarian causes. The impersonal hand

of government, however well motivated, can never replace the helping hand of the neighbor. And might I add here that when you start to identify the problem at home, name names, name places. I can recall when I was the mayor of my city of Minneapolis, I used to go down and speak to young people's groups in the southwestern part of our city. It was the higher income level area of the city-the upper middle income and the high income area -- the best schools, beautiful churches, finest country club -- they had all that was great. Every time I'd go there they'd want to talk about China. (Laughter) Or they'd want to talk about Africa. One time I went to this young people's group--it was at the Mayflower Congregational Church-and I said. "How many of you have been up on the other side of Olson Boulevard?" "Olson Boulevard? Where's that?" Oh, they knew about Shanghai and they were strong on Hong Kong (laughter) but to get on the other side of Olson Boulevard where we had poverty, or to get up on Madison Avenue where many of our Chippewa Indian people lived in abject poverty--never been there.

Travel the world. Discuss the world problems, but never come to grips with the one right back in your neighborhood within ten minutes by automobile. Well, I'm happy to say that I shouted long enough, that's where I started becoming rather noisy and we finally got something done

about it. What I guess I'm trying to say is that when we speak of government being impersonal, it isn't good enough to identify the Federal Government as an impersonal entity unless you're going to make yourself personal about the problems in your area. So when you leave Washington and go home, remember there are people here in Washington who will be taking care of the problems in Washington. You take care of the problems where you live, and then we'll add it all up, and I wouldn't be a bit surprised but what Washington then will be able to claim some success if you don't fail in the task that you are to undertake.

Now, we will also look to you in this room for a kind of constructive and continuing searching evaluation of the program, and I want to underscore the importance of this. We're going to make some mistakes—in fact, we expect to. But the only way, of course, to avoid mistakes is to do nothing or, as some people say, to play it safe, and we do not intend to follow either course of action. We intend, rather, to explore, to innovate, to experiment, and we will not only tolerate criticism, we will welcome it. And I might add, we're expecting it, because the minute that you innovate, the minute that you experiment, the minute that you seek to be creative, you can rest assured that the forces of reaction and the forces of the status quo will say this is dangerous—won't work. My answer to

them is that what you've been doing hasn't been working either, so we start on an even basis. You've been a failure and we apparently are going to be one, so let's all move together and see if we can do something about our lives. I'm an innovator. Remember this -- I saw in the paper the other day where the New York Yankees had signed up Mickey Mantle, I believe for \$102,000. That's more than you earn, Walter. (Laughter) That's more than I earn. Very discouraging. Much more than I earn, and more than I get -- together, yes (laughter). Now, I want to be very clear about this again. I think Mickey Mantle is a great fellow and if I were the Manager of the Yankees I'd want to hire him, too. As one that comes from the Twin Cities I'm not so sure the Yankees ought to have him, but Mickey Mantle gets, let's say, approximately \$100,000, if press reports are correct. Well, what was his batting average? About -- well. if he hits 350, he's doing good. If he gets a hit once out of every three times at bat -- now I want the Economic Opportunity Program to do much better than that. We expect to have Mickey Shriver do a whole lot better than that. (Laughter) But what I'm saying is, that we do not expect to always be able to hit the ball out of the park, but we're going to be up there swinging. If we keep missing the ball, we're going to find out why. We expect some people

to say that we haven't done our job well. As long as we accept that criticism as a challenge and don't go around sulking, we'll be all right. So I want you to help evaluate this program. I want you to be a constructive critic of this program. I want you to offer your suggestions -- don't go around mumbling to yourself. You're a poor listener -- to yourself. Speak out loud to us and let us hear what you think ought to be done. If you're going to criticize what we're doing, have an alternative. I haven't ever really enjoyed meeting these people that sit in the bleachers and tell the coach and the captain and the team what they ought to be doing on the field. but never have the guts to get down and put on the uniform and get out in the field themselves. So if you're going to be a Monday morning quarterback, at least be willing to sit on the bench on Saturday afternoon in case we should need you.

Now, your contribution should not be limited to evaluation of steps which have already been taken. We'll need your suggestions for the next battles to be waged, for the new weapons which must be forged. I'm sure you recall many of the critics of World War II military strategy insofar as the French were concerned, and you could have criticized anyone. They said the French generals had corrected for World War II all the mistakes they had made in

World War I. Mr. De Gaulle, himself, was the sharpest critic of French defensive and offensive military strategy. Now, I want to be sure that we're not only trying to look over the past and see what mistakes were made yesterday—what are our tactics and strategy for the future?

For generations there has been a gentle tapping at our chamber door. It was the sound of millions of fellow citizens, young and old, north and south, white and black. It's the sound of these citizens seeking to intrude on the smug and cozy security of American life. They have been, by and large, faceless, nameless, invisible, and, too often, ignored. Our countrymen ask to cross this threshold, not only to warm their hands by the fire of human kindness, not only to sup at this groaning board of American affluence, but also our fellow Americans ask to contribute, to join with the rest of us in building a finer house, a greater America, a city on the hill. The goal of the Great Society, my dear friends, is not one that is to be achieved just by the few, or for the few. The Great Society is one in which there will be a place for everyone, and the Great Society can only be achieved if everyone, to the best of his or her ability, makes some contribution to it. We Americans have to understand the difference between bigness and greatness, between wealth and a rich and beautiful life.

Our President and the leaders of our country are now asking that America give some attention to the quality of its life, to the meaning of it, to the soul and the spirit of American life, and not just to its adornment or its luxury. I believe that at this time of our history, when we've been privileged to be a richer nation than any other nation on the face of the earth, we need to make it crystal clear that this richness is not merely for the perpetuation of luxury, but rather for the achievement of justice. I believe that at a time when we are the strongest nation on the face of the earth we need to make it manifestly clear that this strength is not for conquest, not for warlike ambitions, but rather for the blessings of peace and the good and the meaningful life.

clarion call to the best that's in us. I want to say that I don't recall any time in the history of our country when there have been more noble goals outlined for the American people than in recent days. Everything from the beautification of the terrain, of the surface of America, to the enrichment of the life and the soul and the spirit of Americans. It's here. We've been challenged to great economic growth. We've been challenged to beautify our cities,

our homes, our neighborhoods, and our highways; to conserve our great natural resources. We've been challenged to bring the possibility of enlightenment and education to every boy or girl who wants an education. What a wonderful period in which to live! How great it is to live at a time in human history when the major challenge is to the better life, rather than to a quicker death!

So, I'm very proud to be a part of an administration that, in its own way, is asking the American people to do something about themselves. We're not going to do it from the Office of Economic Opportunity. We're not going to remake America from the White House or the Congress. We can establish standards. We can issue the call to action. We can sign the papers. We can join with you in the blueprint, but the war will be won by the volunteers -- the people at home, the people in the localities, the people in the church, the cathedral, the synagogue, the union, the trade association, the community council, the youth groups. ever there was a time when there was a revitalization of the democratic spirit, it's now. The question is, will we answer that call? I think we can. We might well ask ourselves, for what is a nation profited if it shall gain the whole world and lose its soul? And we're really talking this morning about soul. We're talking about the things

that we've been taught through the years of our lives. At long last, it is a matter of public policy to make personal commitment public policy, to take the teachings of the great men of history, the teachings of the prophets, and make them the realities of the citizens.

For you here today, there's a great opportunity beckoning you. You're here to launch a crusade and, believe me, this country needs one--a crusade whose noble purpose is no less than to help America keep its own soul. In that crusade, I volunteer. I join you. I'm in the ranks and, believe me, you'll have to step fast or I'll be out in front.

Thank you very much. (Applause)

WALTER REUTHER: Members of the National Committee, we have worked to make that as representative and broadly based as possible. Following the election of the National Committee, we are ... (Applause)

Following the election of the National Committee, we will hear a very brief statement by Sargent Shriver, the Director of the Economic Opportunities Program. He can only come for a few minutes. If the time permits, we might have Mr. Conway--Mr. Jack Conway--who's working with Mr. Shriver, answer questions. If time does not permit, we will move on because we want to finally wind up as the final item of the agenda a broad general discussion of specific kinds of

projects that you want the National Committee to consider as we begin to get into action. We also need to set up at the National Committee--and we're hopeful of getting a start tonight--three commissions, and we're going to pass out little cards, and if any of you are interested in being a part of any of these three commissions, we would like to have you indicate that so that when we try to assemble the membership of these various commissions, we will have the benefit of your desires.

Now those three commissions are the following: one dealing with public information and education. This is the broad problem of disseminating information, of doing as effective a job as possible of raising the level of public understanding—what we're trying to do—being a clearing house. There are many organizations waging continuous struggle on some narrow aspect of the poverty program, and they work so lonely and in such total isolation. We want to be a clearing house so we can get the sort of things they're doing and the information they publish out to everyone. These are the sorts of things we can do.

Now the second commission will deal with national programs and policies. In other words, this will be the commission that will have the responsibility of coming up with new programs, new policies, both for private non-governmental action and for governmental action. This

would be the commission that would work primarily in the area that Vice-President Humphrey has suggested. It's very refreshing to have a person in government say, "We'll do our best, but we know we won't do well enough, and your task is to help us find a better way to do it." This commission will be working in this broad area.

The final commission will deal with community activity and organization. Now, the word "organization" there also is based on the understanding of the executive committee discussion last evening. We believe it is not sufficient for the assault against human poverty to be based upon a kind of glorified welfare program attitude. We believe the poor and disadvantaged must be involved in this struggle, and we have got to find ways of creating the mechanisms and the organizations that will draw in active participation in this great struggle and crusade the people who are the victims of poverty. This committee dealing with community activity will deal with the broad areas of community activity and this other aspect of the problem -- how we make people who are the victims of poverty a part of this great crusade so that they will have a sense of self-help in this struggle.

Now, we will pass out the (do you have the cards ready? Are the cards being distributed? Very good.) I ask

you to indicate your preference. Now, you will find that the wording on the cards indicates that there are four commissions. The Executive Committee is recommending that we consolidate the last two into one. That committee will be called "Community Activity and Organization."

What is your pleasure concerning the tentative agenda that I have suggested?

It has been moved and supported that we approve the agenda. Are there any comments? If not, all signify by saying, "Aye." (Chorus of "ayes") Opposed? So ordered.

Copies of the constitution are being distributed.

(Background conversation inaudible)

I'd like to announce that there's a message for Mr. Eugene Johnson. If you will come to the platform, we'll give you the message.

We'll just wait a minute so everyone will have a copy of the proposed constitution.

Yes. Could we ask the television people to cooperate. I don't think you are making any film of the discussion of the constitution and by-laws, and if you would be kind enough to turn the lights out, it would be much more convenient. We can't see the people that are in this section over here. If you reduce the cord of the microphone, it might be helpful.

Does everyone now have a copy of the proposed bylaws? Will you please hold your hands up so we can see where we need additional copies?

While we're waiting, I'd like to introduce two
people who have been very helpful in getting our work underway: Rabbi Richard Hirsch, Director of the Religion Action
Center of the Union of Hebrew Congregations, who has been
acting as the secretary of our group. Rabbi Hirsch. (Applause)
Mr. Clyde Ellis, who's Executive Director of the National
Rural Electrical Cooperative Association. Mr. Ellis. He's
the treasurer. (Applause) These two gentlemen will be in
charge of our money, if we get any.

Are we all set now on the distribution of the bylaws? These proposed by-laws are the product of the effort of the Executive Committee. We had a draft proposal which, last evening, the Executive Committee spent, I think, at least $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours going over, section by section, article by article, and I think that we ought to proceed with the discussion of these by-laws with a clear understanding that this organization essentially is a voluntary association

end of tape

Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

