# REMARKS

# VICE PRESIDENT HUBERT HUMPHREY 'LIFE' MAGAZINE SPACE TOUR CAPE KENNEDY APRIL 1, 1966

I am pleased that you good friends of the academic, advertising and publishing worlds have had an opportunity for an orientation-in-depth in the aeronautics and space world.

Eight years ago, our nation fashioned a program designed to put the United States in the forefront of space exploration. We resolved in the Space Act of 1958 to explore space for peaceful purposes — to gain new perspectives about the world we live in and to apply this new knowledge for the benefit of all mankind.

This resolve has been singularly free of political partisanship. The original program was undertaken by President Eisenhower. It was accelerated by President Kennedy, and given new impetus by President Johnson, who was one of the original architects in defining and drawing up the basic blueprints that set the pattern for our entry into space.

As a result of the Space Act, we have channelled the energetic and balanced endeavors of a free economy into the achievement of important national goals.

In developing space capabilities, our country has maintained its prestige and its national security . . . promoted its own economic welfare . . . and stimulated those characteristics vital to the spiritual growth of the nation -- I mean, our determination to seek new knowledge and to strive ahead into the unknown.

Then there is the "spinoff" benefit: Through the space program, our nation has developed an unparalleled scientific, engineering, and managerial talent and capability.

We also have now, not the promise, but the realization of practical space applications undreamed of in Jules Verne's wildest imagination -- weather forecasting and communications are two of many previously unexplored areas.

Our space program has stimulated research into extremely high and low temperature physics . . . miniaturized electronic components . . . and advanced computer techniques.

The Space Age did not create these researches. Many of the scientific marvels we are witnessing today are based on principles that were initially uncovered back in the 18th and 19th centuries.

But sending rockets out beyond the earth did, indeed, stimulate the investigation of many fields previously ignored — ignored because no one could think of an immediate, practical reason for exploring them.

But once the impetus of space exploration was applied, new knowledge and new applications blossomed.

The need to monitor the blood pressure and pulse rate of an astronaut 100 miles up and traveling at the rate of more than 17,000 miles an hour led to the development of techniques that have proved useful for studying and diagnosing ills here on the ground.

A device invented to measure micrometeoroid particles (and, by the way, never used for that purpose) resulted in a research instrument so sensitive it could measure the heartbeat of chicken embryos.

The examples of how space-oriented science and engineering have "spun-off" into non-space uses are too numerous to mention.

As the result of a strong space program, America today has an operational weather satellite program -- our ESSA I and II scan the skies daily and return cloud cover maps of weather all over the world. The availability of advance meteorological information means savings of millions of dollars to farmers and others whose livelihood depends on the weather all over the world.

Early Bird, the first operational satellite of the ComSat Corporation, brings two whole continents -- Western Europe and North America -- into virtually simultaneous two-way communication.

Our scientific satellites have brought back information and data about the mysterious cloud-wrapped planet Venus, and remarkably clear pictures of the Moon and Mars, that in less than a decade have given us more astronomical knowledge than we had obtained in the centuries since Galileo first pointed his telescope toward the heavens.

And in the process of carrying out this program we have stimulated education — this alone would make the program worthwhile — and developed a vast fund of scientific and managerial skills that would serve our country well even if our satellites never got any higher than Charlie Brown's kite.

The Space Age has demanded the careful managing of funds and personnel. We have had to administer wisely a budget of more than 5 billion dollars a year ... FOR NASA, ANOTHER 2 BILLION FOR THE OTHER AGENCIES —

deal with 20,000 private companies who employ more than

400,000 people . . . enlist the aid of more than 150

universities, and build the greatest research and development

program in all history. And our success in space reflects

how well we have managed to master these skills.

Beyond this, the accomplishments in space have had

NIVERSITIES

an effect on attitudes toward innovation in non-space

AND THE fields.

Our researcher in the field quotes various manufacturers in non-space businesses as saying such things as:

"We don't use space technology, but it makes us rethink our old ways of doing things. We don't take anything for granted now. Also the space program makes us measure things, instead of playing our hunches."

Or "If they can get to the moon on time, I can get my transportation system to run on time."

The space program stimulates progress in aeronautics.

For example, many of the advantages the United States has over other countries in developing advanced aircraft in all speed ranges stem from space research and development. This will be much more noticeable as we work toward commercial V/STOL planes and supersonic and hypersonic transports.

Furthermore, the space program has spurred interest in education from Kindergarten to the PhD level.

It has helped to popularize difficult subjects such as mathematics and physics, which large numbers of our population must master if America is to survive in the modern world.

NASA's sustaining university program, for instance, has helped universities in all 50 states participate in valuable space research programs while carrying out their most important function of training the leaders of the future generations.

But beyond all this, the space program has added greater meaning to our lives. I have talked to a great many Americans recently about the benefits of the space program, and I have found that my fellow Americans get a thrill and a sense of accomplishment and satisfaction out of this great undertaking of our nation.

Our young people have a new frontier to explore.

And this comes at a time when we thought all the frontiers were gone. They have new and exciting places to go out in the universe, new ideas to bring home and enrich their lives, new challenges to hone their character.

Yesterday our horizon was limited by the globe of earth, and today, as a result of this new frontier, our horizons are as limitless as the universe itself.

#####

I am pleased that you good friends of the academic, advertising and publishing worlds have had an opportunity for an orientation-in-depth in the aeronautics and space world.

Eight years ago, our nation fashioned a program designed to put the United States in the forefront of space exploration. We resolved in the Space Act of 1958 to explore space for peaceful purposes -- to gain new perspectives about the world we live in and to apply this new knowledge for the benefit of all mankind.

This resolve has been singularly free of political partisanship. The original program was undertaken by President Eisenhower. It was accelerated by President Kennedy, and given new impetus by President Johnson, who was one of the original architects in defining and drawing up the basic blueprints that set the pattern for our entry into space.

As a result of the Space Act, we have channelled the energetic and balanced endeavors of a free economy into the achievement of important national goals.

In developing space capabilities, our country has maintained its prestige and its national security . . . promoted its own economic welfare . . . and stimulated those characteristics vital to the spiritual growth of the nation -- I mean, our determination to seek new knowledge and to strive ahead into the unknown.

Then there is the "spinoff" benefit: Through the space program, our nation has developed an unparalleled scientific, engineering, and managerial talent and capability.

We also have now, not the promise, but the realization of practical space applications undreamed of in Jules Verne's wildest imagination -- weather forecasting and communications are two of many previously unexplored areas.

Our space program has stimulated research into extremely high and low temperature physics . . . miniaturized electronic components. . . and advanced computer techniques.

The Space Age did not create these researches. Many of the scientific marvels we are witnessing today are based on principles that were initially uncovered back in the 18th and 19th centuries.

But sending rockets out beyond the earth did, indeed, stimulate the investigation of many fields previously ignored -- ignored because no one could think of an immediate, practical reason for exploring them.

But once the impetus of space exploration was applied, new knowledge and new applications blossomed.

The need to monitor the blood pressure and pulse rate of an astronaut 100 miles up and traveling at the rate of more than 17,000 miles an hour led to the development of techniques that have proved useful for studying and diagnosing ills here on the ground.

A device invented to measure micrometeoroid particles (and, by the way, never used for that purpose) resulted in a research instrument so sensitive it could measure the heartbeat of chicken embryos.

The examples of how space-oriented science and engineering have "spun-off" into non-space uses are too numerous to mention.

As the result of a strong space program, America today has an operational weather satellite program -- our ESSA I and II scan the skies daily and return cloud cover maps of weather all over the world. The availability of advance meteorological information means savings of millions of dollars to farmers and others whose livelihood depends on the weather all over the world.

Early Bird, the first operational satellite of the ComSat Corporation, brings two whole continents -- Western Europe and North America -- into virtually simultaneous two-way communication,

Our scientific satellites have brought back information and data about the mysterious cloud-wrapped planet Venus, and remarkably clear pictures of the Moon and Mars, that in less than a decade have given us more astronomical knowledge that we had obtained in the centuries since Galileo first pointed his telescope toward the heavens.

And in the process of carrying out this program we have stimulated education -this alone would make the program worthwhile -- and developed a vast fund of scientific and
managerial skills that would serve our country well even if our satellites never got any higher
than Charlie Brown's kite.

The Space Age has demanded the careful managing of funds and personnel. We have had to administer wisely a budget of more than 5 billion dollars a year . . . deal with 20,000 private companies who employ more than 400,000 people . . . enlist the aid of more than 150 universities, and build the greatest research and development programs in all history. And our success in space reflects how well we have managed to master these skills.

Beyond this, the accomplishments in space have had an effect on attitudes toward innovation in non-space fields.

Our researcher in the field quotes various manufacturers in non-space businesses as saying such things as:

"We don't use space technology, but it makes us re-think our old ways of doing things. We don't take anything for granted now. Also the space program makes us measure things, instead of playing hunches."

Or "If they can get to the moon on time, I can get my transportation system to run on time."

The space program stimulates progress in aeronautics. For example, many of the advantages the United States has over other countries in developing advanced aircraft in all speed ranges stem from space research and development. This will be much more noticeable as we work toward commercial V/STOL planes and supersonic and hypersonic transports.

Furthermore, the space program has spurred interest in education from Kindergarden to the PhD level. It has helped to popularize difficult subjects such as mathematics and physics, which large numbers of our population must master if America is to survive in the modern world.

NASA's sustaining university program, for instance, has helped universities in all 50 states participate in valuable space research programs while carrying out their most important function of training the leaders of the future generations.

But beyond all this, the space program has added greater meaning to our lives. I have talked to a great many Americans recently about the benefits of the space program, and I have found that my fellow Americans get a thrill and a sense of accomplishment and satisfaction out of this great undertaking of our nation.

Our young people have a new frontier to explore. And this comes at a time when we thought all the frontiers were gone. They have new and exciting places to go out in the universe, new ideas to bring home and enrich their lives, new challenges to home their character.

Yesterday our horizon was limited by the globe of earth, and today, as a result of this new frontier, our horizons are as limitless as the universe itself.



# OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

April 7, 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR

Colonel Donald W. Paffel
Military Aide to the Vice President
Room 176, Executive Office Building

Thank you for taking me around the Vice President's Office today when I dropped by. He certainly is an impressive man, and it must be a wonderful experience to work for him.

Attached are two copies of the transcript of the Vice President's speech at Cocoa Beach, Florida. I am also returning the tape.

Dr. Richard de Neufville

Office of The Special Assistant

R'herd de Neufil

Attachments

# ADDRESS OF VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY

# AT COCOA BEACH, FLORIDA

April 1, 1966

CHAIRMAN: I think protocol demands that I should introduce the Vice President. This seems not only unnecessary but somewhat irrelevant, because everybody knows the Vice President, but the Vice President doesn't necessarily know everybody who's here.

so, if I may, sir, I would like to reverse the role and introduce those here to you. Those here consist of approximately six eminent educators from the university field, 20 eminent practitioners of the advertising business, and they have spent now six days moving at a fantastic pace, seeing everything that there is to be seen, and my goodness, dear sir, Vice President, they have survived, which, after all, is one of the only important things there is in life and they've survived brilliantly and they look rather fresh—they look rather fresh and they're prepared to make trips and obviously they'd like to start all over again tomorrow morning for another six days.

(Laughter and applause)

Now this has been an opportunity, quite an extraordinary opportunity for all of us, and we have obviously, as you know, Mr. Vice President, seen all that there is to see, that we are allowed to see, at least, and we have been enormously

impressed ending up this evening with \_\_\_\_\_ which frankly is somewhat depressing in that your own sense of your own importance disappears to practically nothing at all in front of you.

#### (Laughter)

I try to make up for this by saying to Dr. Davis that obviously he had gone insane because either I had to believe in this or he had gone insane and I preferred to have it the other way.

The thing that—but you know with all we have seen,
all the marvels of science, the most minute and the most enormous,
the thing that I think everyone of us most had to do with the
peoples that we met—the Air Force, the NASA people, inexhaustable
Jim Webb—

# (Laughter and applause)

--I don't know how anybody does what Jim Webb does.

I don't know how anybody had the wisdom to pick him. I don't know how he survived. All I can do is to take my hat off to him and I know all of you join me in taking your respective non-existent hats off to Jim Webb.

# (Applause)

These people have lived a great week. We all have lived a great week. We are terribly grateful to all of you of NASA and the Air Force for what you are doing--not we just personally, but we as members of the United States, as citizens of the

United States.

And, sir, if I may say so, we are terribly grateful to you for being kind enough to take the time off to have that last bit of additional energy at the end of the week to come down and visit with us.

Gentlemen, may I present the Vice President of the United States.

(Applause)

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Thank you very much.

Thank you Astronaut Heiskill.

(Laughter)

So this is your life, Mr. Hardy, and General Houston and Dr. Kurt Giebis and the No. 1 briefer of all times, Colonel Roco Petrone--

(Cheers and applause)

--Mr. Saturn V himself and may I express a word of thanks from all of us to the very lovely and talented and gifted and able woman that I hear really made the arrangements for this festive evening and many other festive evenings on your six-day journey, Ruth Fowler.

(Applause and cheers)

I just wanted two casual observations by a specialist in space program, namely myself. I know that all of the gentlemen here are very pleased that I saw fit to override all the protests of the Life magazine editorial staff, executive office, in seeing

to it that the ladies were invited tonight. Sometime earlier this week, I was told by someone in my office that this would be a rather formal seminar,--

#### (Laughter)

--in which Jim Webb and Hubert Humphrey would have just a few words.

# (Laughter and applause)

I should have known when I heard that what kind of a party this was going to be. But the truth is I understand you haven't had anybody to explain the space program--

#### (Laughter)

--so Jim Webb called me and said, "Come down, Mr. Chairman, come down from the Space Council.". And I want you to know he said "come down" from the Space Council.

#### (Laughter)

I don't suppose there is any group of people who have seen as much space over as long a period of time as the men in this group that have had this six-day tour, unless it would have been Astronauts Borman and Lovell. They're the only ones that have been in orbit as long as you have.

#### (Laughter)

And I must say that you look better than they did when they came back to earth.

#### (Laughter)

At least you're shaved.

Now I have a speech here and I'm glad to have it mimeographed. Life magazine may reprint it,--

(Laughter)

--as a matter of fact. I'll take this up with Mr. Hardy and Mr. Heiskill and Mr. Thompson and others that are here. You have some of your editorial staff. This really doesn't need much editing.

# (Laughter)

As a matter of fact, if I really were as considerate of you as I ought to be, I'd give this speech because it's shorter than the one I'm about to give.

#### (Laughter)

Quite frankly it's well-documented and I believe that it's even been one that's been cleared, hasn't it, Jim, through NASA?

# (Laughter)

But it dawned on me somewhere around 7:30 or 8 o'clock tonight that possibly you had heard a great deal about space and since Colonel Petrone has decided to let you in on a few of the intimate details—he, of course hasn't told you all; I'll tell you the balance of it—

# (Laughter)

--and Dr. Giebis has told you a bit, and General Houston has told you a little and Jim Webb has had a word or two to say about this--

#### (Laughter)

I just felt that maybe I shouldn't spend all my evening talking about space.

But now having said that, I think I'll say a few words about it.

# (Laughter)

First I want to make a comment or two about this wonderful program of ours, because it is our program. I know that all
of you have had a very valuable experience, and I wouldn't be a
bit surprised but what each and everyone of you about ready to
get your speech kit and start going out on the hustings to tell
of the wonders and the miracles and the promises of this program.

One of the most interesting assignments that I've had as Vice President is to be Chairman of the Space Council, and I can agree with you that the man that you applauded so heartily and genuinely tonight, Jim Webb, is not only a tremendous man, a truly dedicated public servant, an administrator and manager second to none, but he's a great teacher. If you can't learn from Jim Webb, you're a slow learner, that's all I can say.

# (Laughter and applause)

And Jim has insisted that the Vice President as Chairman of the Space Council take a little time to learn, and not only to skim over the surface, and for this I'm extremely grateful because the temptation is to just sort of touch it and run along and then to feel that you have some sort of a book-review knowledge of what

is in the main body of the text. But Jim Webb, our spaceman in the truest sense of the word, has insisted in performance from everybody. And while I am the Chairman of the Council under the Act of Congress as Vice President, I am éternally indebted as a fellow American and citizen, to Jim Webb and to the Department of Defense for their tremendous program of cooperation and scientific endeavor and management of our space endeavors.

And I salute you, Jim, for all your good work.

(Applause)

Mr. Heiskill really stole the line which I wanted to start off with, but I guess that's the way it is. You know--I am--I learned about being Vice President, being No. 2, you just have to--

(Laughter)

--you just have to try harder all the time.

(Laughter)

I want you men in the advertising business to know I read all that stuff you prepare.

(Laughter)

And I believe a good deal of it in the light of which you've been able to sell sometimes, why don't you try me.

(Laughter and applause)

But the line that has--was uttered here tonight about this program, I would like to paraphrase in my own words. It's not the miracles of our machines that astounds us, but truly it's

the quality of our people that really marks us as a great nation.

And this is true in so many endeavors and this is what's impressed you. I know that. We're fascinated. Yes, we're almost intoxicated with the fabulous technology and the engineering that we see, the products that we see in a tour such as this. But when you get right down to it, these are but the by-products of the man's mind, or the minds of men—the minds that generate skills and technology and engineering that make the great discoveries and the breakthroughs of science and technology.

And all day long I've been talking to people about this new wealth and new power that is in the world and of which we're richly endowed in it, is the new wealth of intellect, of education, of developed minds, of enlightenment, brainpower and it's the new power too of the mind and of the spirit—of the mind and the spirit that's developed and trained and enriched, and this is of course, the true strength of a country.

Woodrow Wilson once said that a nation is not as rich as its factories or its mines or its industries or its banks or its land. It is as rich as its people. And I think the space program has demonstrated beyond a shadow of a doubt that you can do great things with people, tremendous things. Everyone has a line of scripture or a line of poetry or a line of verse that tells him a great deal and that sort of reminds him of what the possibilities are, and there is one line of scripture, and I didn't mean to get very serious about it, but let me just tell you

1

4

7

9

8

11

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

what it is. "Greater things than I have done you shall do also."

I always feel that the miracles that have been performed, a man
can perform again, and we're performing those miracles, and we're
performing these miracles because that the mind of man has really
no limit. It can be developed far beyond what we know now, and
the space program has given it that incentive, has given us that
incentive for this development.

We've come a long ways in a short time. We celebrated the 40th anniversary here the other night of Robert Goddard's first launching of a rocket, the liquid fuel rocket. And that was the night of the Gemini 8 rendezvous in docking with Agena and then that hour of uncertainty and what some people thought might be tragedy, and what an experience that was. A perfect launch, really a perfect rendezvous and docking; the first nation on earth to do it, a remarkable achievement, and a remarkable feat, and then all at once, a difficulty. But again the human spirit and mind and the training and the discipline that comes in this program overcame the failure of a machine or the momentary limitation of a machine, and I don't know what the proper word is but there was a disengagement of the Agena from the capsule, from the Gemini capsule, and then man took over from the machine. And the reentry and then this incredible, almost-unbelieveable recovery. There you saw in just a few hours man's mastery over machines, and yet you also saw the capability of the machine.

I think you saw the partnership between the mind of man

and the product of that man, the machine. And you then also witnessed, or we did see or hear, of the unbelievable courage and bravery of those who rescued or went to the help of the astronauts, Armstrong and Scott, in the Gemini capsule as it splashed around near the waters and the waters near Japan and Okinawa.

All of this tells us a great story about this program, it's a story that Jim Webb has emphasized to me time after time, the story of teamwork of management, of the coordination of a host of facilities which requires the skills and the techniques of management, and one thing that we Americans can be very proud of, and those that are working with us in this program, is the development of this great competence, this ability of managing the most complex systems—the coordination and the integration of a vast amount of material land and men and it was all there in that one night of Gemini 8 and the Agena.

It wasn't theory, it wasn't practice, it was the stark reality of tragedy on our national doorstep, and we recovered. When I say we, those who represented us, our astronauts and all of the ground services, because it wasn't just the two men in the capsule, even though they surely deserve the great credit: it was everybody, here and in Houston and all around the world, in the tracking stations, the Navy, the Air Force—whever men were involved in this program.

So I think of the space program in terms of our national power, our national prestige, our national honor, our national

promise, and as a great force in a better world in international cooperation.

One of the areas that I have been very interested in in reference to our space program, is the international aspect, because we couldn't do what we're doing without international cooperation. We need the stations in many parts of the world; we couldn't do what we're doing without the fact that many people have come to us from other lands to lend us and give us in this nation of their talents, their brilliance, their intellect, their scientific competence. The United States is the most fortunate nation in the world when it keeps its gates open and its mind open and its heart open, and when we open our doors and our hearts and our minds we are enriched beyond even the word of man to describe it, and there are men here in this room tonight that have made possible America as it is.

And you've heard from others on this six-day journey, men that came to us because America was free, because freedom of inquiry, of research, of probing, of seeking, was available here in this country. Let's never forget that. And let's never forget too that as in science you need men who disagree on a theory, who have different assumptions and battle it out, so to speak, in the conflict of ideas so we need men in the social sciences who do exactly the same thing. Who knows who has the truth.

And this is why we protect jelously this great right of

dissent, even when it's very disagreeable, even when it causes us great distress, because you never know but what those who disagree may be right, and even if you knew they were not right, you still should, as a free man, protect the right of those to be different, to have their point of view.

There are many by-products of our space program, and, by the way, for the members of the press, I like the--have a sense of integrity with you, I stand fully-committed to everything that I've written here and most everything that I have in this text I'm saying now that I paraphrase it to you. I think it's better this way.

(Applause and laughter)

This great space program of ours is, in a sense, the product of great challenge. I wish it wasn't necessarily true, and maybe it isn't necessarily true, it's just been historically true, that free people generally learn from shock. I used to say in politics there are two kinds of politics. There is, or at least one kind, physiological politics—empty stomach, full head. And I didn't want to say, well, you can have empty head and not full stomach, you can't reverse it. But there certainly is a physiological kind of politics. When people get in dire distress, they do a good deal of thinking; they find ways of escape or they find answers, and we've had to learn ourselves this way. We've become a great international nation, a nation with international responsibility with the understanding of international interdepen-

24

25

dence out of the tragedy of two world wars. We really didn't learn it out of the books. Let's face it. We learned it out of suffering, out of blood and sweat and tears. We really became involved in the space program after the challenge of Sputnik and really the shame of it, to our national honor, the nation that prided itself upon inventive genius, the nation that prided itself on its wealth and its power, upon its creativity and all at once Sputnik through the space of the universe. And the Americans were caught up, recognizing that they were second-rate in the new era of discovery, because just as surely as in the 15th Century and the 16th Century there were great explorers and men of discovery in the oceans and on the earth, in the 20th Century and in the 21st Century to come and others yet there will be those who will make their discoveries in the cosmos of the universe, space, and it took the Sputnik and its shock treatment to cause us to reexamine our whole national endeavor in science and particularly in space technology, to look at our school system and our universities and our schools of engineering and life sciences physical sciences, and the government of the people started to respond in 1958. There was the Space Act under the Administration of President Eisenhower, forwarded and advanced under the Administration of President Kennedy, and given new impetus under the Administration of President Johnson. There's no partisanship to this.

As a matter of fact, the present President of the United

3

1

4

5

8

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

States is the author of the Space Act, and he's had a deep and abiding interest in this space program and the purpose, as you well know, was to explore space for peaceful purposes. And we started to channel our resources and the balanced endeavors of a free economy into the achievement of State and national goals.

Now what did we get out of this space program that costs us a great deal? But like most everything else that costs a good deal it's worth it. It's an investment, not an expenditure. Our space program has done more to develop our universities than almost any other single endeavor that we've had. It has put a premium upon excellence. And I'm sure that this premium upon excellence is not only in the academic profession. It's in management, it's in industry, it is in the military, it is in science and technology, all across the board. You can't be half-good. When a thruster or whatever you call it went wrong in Gemini 8 people understood for once and for all the importance of reliability and perfection. The space program compels man to be as close to perfection as man has been able to achieve, and those who are in it become the better for it. It has upgraded our whole national life.

Why I've been in these laboratories where they have these perfectly clean places, you know, where everybody's dressed in white and where they take all the dust out and every—they make it absolutely immaculate. This, within itself, as an experiment, just as from visual observation, makes you know that you have

1

4

6

9

10

12

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

emphasized. That quality is the best, because less than the best is failure, and the program is far too costly in endeavor to tolerate failure, or to endure mediocrity. So we get many things from it. We get new—we get something else that I want to emphasize here tonight just a moment. We have obtained from this program a better understanding between Government and industry and the academic institutions, the scientists and the engineer, the doctor and the mathametician and the military than ever before.

We have been -- we have really had the experience of partnership. This program cannot be a government program, and be successful. This program cannot be an industrial program and be successful. This program that is designed for the exploration of space for peaceful purposes cannot be just a military program, and achieve that national objective. This program cannot be just academic or merely scientific, nor can it be simply technology. It has to be all of these things. And through this synthesis of the many disciplines to what we call the systems approach, a whole new concept in management we are learning partnership, and there is less friction between Government and business in this program than in almost any program that we have, and there is less misunderstanding or less friction between a civilian agency and a military agency than in any combination of programs we've ever had, and when I see men here as I do General Houston, and Dr. Diebis and Jim Webb, I think this is the living proof of what

y

I am saying. These men work together for a common objective, and a common purpose, with national objectives and goals clearly stated, and this is what it takes in this country.

(Applause)

I emphasize this because ours is a mixed system. If I were to be asked to write a description of the American economic system, I doubt that I could really do it. I remember when I had that long eight-hour discussion with Mr. Khrushchev, and my friends of Life magazine were kind enough to let me have a little article in there for which you paid me hansomely--

(Laughter)

I liked both, by the way, I want you to know-(Laughter)

But that was a most interesting discussion and Mr.

Khrushchev a very argumentative and skillful man put me on the spot several times, and he said, "All right, let's--you believe in your capitalism, I believe in our socialism. You tell me about your capitalism. I give you 20 minutes."

I took my 20 minutes and then he told me about his socialism for 20 minutes. And interestingly enough, on careful reflection, his socialism wasn't all socialism, as he calls it, and our capitalism wasn't all Adam Smith either.

(Laughter)

It was a mixture. But our system is one that has great motivation from private initiative, but it requires in the periods

at least of exploration and development, a cooperative relationship with the Government or withoutside forces that can give it
that extra drive, that extra something that may be needed to take
off. And then the system itself, the private system, may well be
able to handle the burden.

you that this has great meaning in all that we seek to do, because the Government, when you speak of what government does in this country, it's like the cap from an iceberg, it's only that little that appears. The real base of this economy of this country is below the surface of the water. And when we speak of what we do with foreign aid, it isn't just what the Government spends, it's all the great private investment that grows too.

And when I read the figures that we spend \$3 billion in foreign aid, I said, well now, that's for the secondary or the elementary children, elementary schools, because anybody that is beyond high school should surely know what we do in foreign aid is far beyond anything that the Government ever thought of doing. It's the billions of dollars of private investment; it's the thousands of private individuals, it's even the missionaries and the 4-H clubs, and the--all sorts of voluntary organizations. Because this is an economy in which Government only supplements and does not supplant, in which government is an addition and not the totality. And the space program surely tells us that.

But it's an important element, and I think everyone

here senses that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now what are some of the spin-offs of this? You know, the products. I shan't burden you with them. But there are some other spin-offs besides batteries and medical spin-offs and all new metals and fabrics and all the new coverings, and the paints and all that comes from it, like on through these laboratories as you have. Those are the tangible spin-offs. But the real spin-off, I think, is the upgrading as I said of our whole national existence, and the new power and the new wealth that comes because of what we're doing. Now that new power and new wealth is realized in such figures as 20,000 companies engaged in this program, 400,000 some people directly employed in it, 150 universities, the beneficiaries of grants or aids or involvement in one way or another. But the real spin-off is the new power and the new strength of this nation. And it's on that, for a moment, before I guit here that I want to say a word.

As you know, I have some responsibilites as a member of the National Security Council. As a member of the President's cabinet. 16 years in the Senate and 12 years in which I served in the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations. Whatever we do here in the United States and what we do here is very important, but all of it is related ultimately to what happens in the world.

As I've said to my friends out in the Midwest where Ray Mathune and I live, what happens in the Middle East is maybe more important than anything that's going to happen in the Middle West as far as my family is concerned. I have less control over what

happens in the Middle East and therefore it's much more dangerous. But the Middle East as the crossroads of civilization, is very explosive. The Middle West, where we live, while it has its troubles, at least is an area where we fully understand and we think manageable. And what happens in the Far East is more important than what happens on our Eastern seaboard. Or should I say it's as equally important, because, of course, we know that the strength that we have in our own country, the strength of our economy, the improvement of our educational structure, the health of our people, the spirit of our people, the determination of our people, the stability of our government, the integrity of our institutions—all of these things relate to our national purpose and our national strength, which, in the short run and in the long run is the best guarantee of peace in this world.

I came back from a rather long journey here recently.

If fact I've made three trips to the Far East since December 27th, and if you don't think that's a tiresome experience, why you try it—and some of you have. But it's also an invigorating experience. It isn't that you learn so much new; it is that what you have already learned comes into real sharp focus. I would hate to have anyone believe that a tour of 3 or 4 days in Saigon taught me about Vietnam. To do that would be an affront to the intelligence of the people and would be sheer mockery, of man's purpose in life and his responsibilities. But after having read for years about Southeast Asia and surely in recent months the voluminous details

1

3

4

6

7

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

in cables and reports about Vietnam when I came there and when I saw it, and when I came in contact with the people, all at once it came alive. I'm sure it's very much the same as the astronauts feel after they've read all about the wonders of outer space and then they are there. What is that they constantly exclaim—"It's so beautiful: It's so beautiful: Why surely they knew it was beautiful before they went there; they had all the theoretical understanding that anyone could have, but all at once it hit them and it was unbelievable, beyond their fondest dreams or imagination.

My experience was very much the same. I'd read about it, I'd studied about it, I argued about it, I'd read so much that I was weary of it, with all the confusion, the complexity and the uncertainty about it too, running through my mind, and then I came there and saw it and met the people and it suddenly took form. It' wasn't beautiful, but let me tell you it was visible and very meaningful and I went from country to country--14 countries-Mrs. Humphrey joining me in most all of this with the exception of Thailand, Laos and Saigon, areas that are under serious attack these days, but we were in Japan and Pakistan and India and Korea and Taiwan the Philippines, Saigon, and Thailand and Laos and New Zealand and Australia and I guess 1 or 2 other places, and every place I went I found one common dominator, and this is something that ought to be manifestly clear to everyone and it ought not to be even a point of argument, the fact of Asian-Chinese-Communist militancy, aggressiveness. Some people openly admit it; others are

much more subtle in their discussion, but it is a fact. The Prime Minister of India was here just this past week, and if you want to find out about Chinese Communist militancy ask her. And may I say that it didn't do much good to try to placate the Chinese either, because if any government ever tried to arrange its policies to be pleasing to Communist China it was India. India not only tried to rearrange its policies to direct its energies and its publicity and its information to please India; it even went so far as to sponsor China in the United Nations even when China didn't want to be a member, and twice in three years, the Indians have been the victim of Chinese Communist aggression.

In the Far East Communist activity is not a subject for academic discussion; it's a matter of life and death and I'm not one given to being a sort of a--dragging the red herring across people's doorsteps. I've been accused of other things, but not that.

# (Laughter)

But I can say without any doubt at all, that there is a virus loose and at work in the Asian areas and we see its most vivid manifestation and its greatest degree of evidence of its diriment nature in South Vietnam. I'm not going to give you a discourse on all of our programs and policies in South Vietnam, I can frankly tell you that this is certainly no civil war, and anybody that thinks it's a civil war has flunked his course in history and the elements of political science. It's a war that's

fed from the North, the Vietcong controlled from Hanoi. To be sure, most of the members of the Vietcong are from the South.

Many of them came from the North ten years ago and were left there for the very purpose that they now perform. The National Liberation Front is neither national nor a liberation movement.

It is internationally controlled and it is a movement of oppression. The only honest word in that phrase "National Liberation Front" —the only honest word is "Front", and we ought to know what that means.

Mr. Kosygin whom I met in India, really had a better understanding of what was going on there than some of our fellow Americans. When we talked with Mr. Kosygin--and when I say "we", the Secretary of State, Mr. Rusk, and myself--I've spent now approximately four hours in discussion with the Chairman of the Council of Ministers of the Soviet Union, and I talked with Mr. Kosygin--he didn't talk about peace with the Vietcong. He never even mentioned them. He said if you want peace you'll have to get it in Hanoi. He knew where the power was. One thing about the Communists, they do understand the centers of power. And they're not dancing around the bon fire. They go right to the heart of the problem.

I came back from this journey insofar as Vietnam was concerned, expressing restrained optimism on the military front.

I said there was reason for encouragement, and there is, despite the unbelieveable perplexities the fragility of the government,

10

11

7

13

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the uncertainty of the situation; the present situation is so much better than it was a year ago that any man of reason and judgment would have to say that we have a right to be encouraged. This doesn't mean that we may not suffer some temporary defeats, or doesn't mean that a madman cannot take a truck and drive it into a hotel and destroy himself and the hotel. You can do that in Miami or Orlando or Cocoa Beach, and we'll have to face this kind of a war in which the Vietcong leaves no real front line in which the people are a part of their attack, and their people are like the ocean and they swim within it, leaving themselves and the people almost as if one using terror as a method of control and new war, entirely new, just as new as the exploration of space, and any nation that can find its way to the Moon and any people that can rendezvous and dock in outer space, and any people that can develop an environment so that two men can live 14 days in outer space and come back healthy, ought to be able to find the answer how to defeat wars of national liberation, how to defeat wars of querilla-like activities.

It'll take time, it'll be costly, but we have to do it.

If we don't do it, the new forms of aggression will have to--will become the patterns of international conduct. And every small nation on the face of the earth will face the possibility of being destroyed, devoured or absorbed by the giants who have uncontrollable appetites of aggression.

Now we're not in South Vietnam, my friends, merely to

15

16

19

18

20

22

23

24

25

selves.

defend a piece of geography. I want to make it quite clear.

There's good enough reason to do that. We're there, of course,
because of treaty; we're there, of course, because of a resolution
of Congress; we're there because of commitment; we're there, as
people say, because of honor. All of that has a certain degree
of credibility, but we're basically there because we are trying
to demonstrate that the price of aggression comes too high for
the aggressor to pay it. We're there to defeat aggression; we're
there to make sure that aggression does not become a rule of conduct in international relations. We're there, just as we went
to Europe, and just as we went after PearlHarbor, to make it
crystal clear that aggression shall not be a means or force
shall not be a means of establishing or gaining national objectives
or political power.

That's what it's all about.

(Applause)

And this—and this space power that we're developing today has a great deal to do with it too. As a matter of fact, we're able to communicate today in South Vietnam because of space. And we're able to do many things today for the welfare, the well being of our troops because of the achievements in space. And we're able to be in Vietnam because of the many factors that make up American power and let me just leave this thought with you. We have unlimited power. We have power enough to frighten any civilization, any nation, any society, and our-

3

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

The amount of power we have is in fact so incredibly great that it imposes a tremendous world responsibility upon us of self-discipline. We have the power to literally destroy all of God's creation. And I think we ought to keep that very carefully in mind. So what America is doing today with this unbelieveable source of power and this incredible amount of wealth that is ours, is limiting the use of that power for a very limited and selected objectives. There is no problem in this country to get America into a war frenzy. The task of statesmanship toda and the task of your President is how to use this power with measured restraint; how to keep this nation from becoming inflamed into a war spirit, and what a task it is for our men overseas. They don't have any brass bands; they don't have any parades; there isn't anybody singing songs for them as they leave these shores. These young men, these 235-40 thousand men are there because of duty. They're there in a sense because they're professionals; they're there because they know what it's all about. This is the wisest, the most intelligent, the most informed generation of Americans that ever lived, and it's to the eternal credit of those better than 200,000 Americans that are there that they know why they're there and what they're doing and what their purpose is. They're disciplined; they're trained, they're effective, they're courageous, they're brave, they're everything that you would want. They give an account of themselves in the battlefield that's second to none and the same men that can fight

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

to the death for three days at battle will come home and spend the next four days in a village, to rebuild it, to care for the children, to teach the adults, to build a school, to hold classes, to help the little children, to heal the sick. It's a great tribute to this society that we can produce men, who, on the one hand, understand the responsibilities of citizenship and of world leadership, and who can fulfill their duties at command and know why they're doing it, and then the same man that has that uniform and the gun, who is capable of using force with unbelieveable effectiveness, that same man becomes a citizen at the same time and he carries out programs of civic action, of compassion, of humanitarian concern. The same Marine, the same Infantryman, the same Paratrooper, the same pilot, the same Navy officer, the same Coast Guard man does the same thing that I'm speaking of.

There's never been a finer group of men in the field

I have visited, and there is less complaint, less misunderstanding

amongst these men as to why they're there than any Army we've ever

had and may I say, less than there is in the civilian population

that is supposed to be supporting them in their endeavors.

So I came back encouraged; I came back because of the quality of our men, once again. Not of our machines, because of the quality of our leadership, because of the strength of our people and because we have a plan of action, and because that plan of action is not merely military; it is integrated with what

we call economic and political progress.

Now we're going to win on the battlefields of Vietnam. The question is can we win in the rice fields, and in the villages, and here's going to be the test of our patience, because just as surely as we're gathered in this dinner tonight, My Fellow Americans, there is no power on the face of this earth that can drive us out of Vietnam and there is no combination of power that can defeat us. The enemy knows it if you don't. And one thing I think Americans ought to understand is, that you don't win by selling yourself short, and you don't win by going into a struggle saying "I wonder why we're here?". I'm a man that's been in politics a long time and I can tell you that if you really want to win in a campaign you don't start out by saying "Well, I think they're going to defeat us and I wonder if I should have run?".

I tried that once and I know what happened to me.

## (Laughter)

You have to start out, my good friends, believing in your cause, knowing that your cause is right and having indomitable will and unbelievable faith. We have reason for it.

This is not braggadocio. This is not being bellicose or belligerent. This is not false modesty. The fact is, we do have these qualities that I speak of, but the test will be, can we help build a nation, and tonight, as I speak to you, that little country over there, has a very weak government. I don't even know whether it will survive, and I know that there will be people who will say,

"Well, how can you win, if you can't even have a government?".

Let me give you some words of reassurance, not that I'm recommending it, but let me give you a little history. In Greece, in the Greek civil war, which was no civil war either, when the flow of supplies stopped from Moscow to Belgrade over the Yugo-slav border, the Greeks were able to handle what was called the civil war.

But in the Greek civil war, as General van Fleet told
me who came to visit me here just three days ago on a matter,
there were 11 changes of government in 18 months. There's only
been four in Vietnam, and Vietnam has been under foreign rule for
a thousand years by the Manderins, for several generations under
the French, and in constant war for 25 years, since 1940.

South Vietnam lost 61,000 of its local officials through assassination since 1959. It's a wonder they have any leadership left. These are a strong people, believe me. The human resources there are good and even though this government is weak and even though there may be changes and there may well be, the people are there. The Army is there, the will to fight is there, and I wonder what other people could say that after 25 years of constant war you could still put an army in the field, and give as good account as these people are.

So may I suggest that we not lose our willingness to persevere.

I'm not much of a warrior myself. I am a man of peace.

And I believe that the other war that we're fighting there is the one that we really have to win. We really have to win the war against disease and pestilence and ignorance and instability and hopelessness. We have to help these people modernize their country, improve their agriculture, and we are—and we're not alone—39 other countries are helping. Not as much as many of them should, but more each day, and I'm happy to tell you that we have allies, and brave allies—the Koreans, the Australians. I went to Australia. I visited there with the cabinet and the people—and, by the way, I had a wonderful reception. When we arrived, Mrs. Humphrey and I, it was an evening, there was a Texas sunset; it was simply beautiful.

(Laughter and applause)

AND in the morning as we awakened, there was a--we opened the window at Ambassador Clark's residence--I opened a window and--swish--in came a nice cool breeze just like a Minn-esota breeze in November--

(Laughter)

-- maybe December.

(Laughter)

But surely not January.

(Laughter)

So we felt very much at home. And when I went up to the parliament they had a demonstration outside--just like back home.

(Laughter)

And I spoke that Noon at the luncheon, and I mentioned to the Parliament, to the Parliamentarians, and to the citizenry that was gathered there for this luncheon how hospitable the new Prime Minister, Prime Minister Holt had been. I said it was just wonderful. As I recited to you, a Texas sunset. A morning breeze that was like the balmy breezes of Minnesota and as I came to the Parliament there were the people with the placards marching up and down. They spent a week trying to get 39 of them.

### (Laughter)

But they had them. But I said, "Mr. Prime Minister,

I never realized that you'd go so far to make me feel at home."

(Laughter)

Texas, Minnesota, and demonstrators, and mind you, the organizer of the demonstration was a young lady from New York that was a graduate of the University of California, in Australia on a Federal Government scholarship.

### (Laughter)

It was unbelievable, what courtesy.

### (Laughter)

Now this is what I call really advancing. I don't know who made those arrangements, but I do know that after we left the Australians decided to send 4500 instead of 1500. And I do know that this last week the Ambassador from New Zealand came to me and said they were strengthening their artillery battery and they were sending five medical teams and two teams for the refugees

and the orphans. And I do know that Korea has two divisions in a brigade, and I do know that President Marcos of the Philippines who a year ago faught and opposed sending troops to South Vietnam walked out of a conference where we were together and I didn't ask him and he came on out and I asked him only if he would walk out with me since I had to meet the press and I thought it would be well, since I was his guest, if he would be along side of me. He said I'd be pleased. And I said if you have anything to say, surely it's in your country, I would like to accede to your wishes and I shall follow whatever you may have to say.

He took the microphone, and he said, "I have sent to my Congress a request to send a construction battalion and supporting troops and if I have my way, I want to send combat troops." And why? Because he said this battle in Vietnam is not one for the United States; it's one for the Philippines. If the Communists lose (sic) there then the Huks are activitated in the Philippines and they're activated now, just exactly as the Thias found the subversives are working their area too, and all of these governments understand clearly that it is not military force alone that can save them. That they must have a better day, and there's a new spirit in Asia, and I ask my fellow Americans who are now so busily engaged in exploring outer space to also explore the earth.

I happen to believe that a nation that can do what

5

6

7 8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're doing to explore the mysteries of space, to put a man on the Moon, and we must, we will, we shall, we have the resources, we ought to do it, its imperative that we do it, but any nation that can put a man on the moon can help put a man on his feet right here on earth.

And any nation that can discover the mysteries of Mars and Venus and talk about Jupiter and can send great machines that will travel around the Moon and take pictures and land on the Moon and return can learn about Asia. And just as Sputnik shook us out of our lethargy so that we were determined to move and explore the stars, so Vietnam -- the tragedy of Vietnam, the pain the sorrow, the cost, the misery, and it's every bit of that -is going to compel us at long last to learn about the other part of the world.

You cannot be a world leader with a half world knowledge. And my fellow Americans we know very little about this world; we know about Europe, we know a little about Latin America, something about the Western Hemisphere, period. Yes, a little about Japan, not even too much. Because this is the same America that thought it could defeat Japan in 90 days. We know so little of Southeast Asia, so little if you please, about India and Pakistan, so little about China, and at long last we are having an awakening and just as we were shocked into action and performance by the demonstration of a foreign power that was not our friend, we are now shocked into action and I think can do a better understanding of the

world in which we live by tragic circumstances in a far-away place. So we're going to have our universities and our great institutes of learning not only teach of the wonders of science, but about Asia, the cultures and the people, the religions, the economic and social forces that are at work. Yes, sir, and we need to learn about friend and foe alike. And we need to understand clearly that the same principles that are applied in thwarting aggression in Europe, must be applied in Asia. The same principles of containment without isolation that were applied on the Soviet Union, must be applied in Asia. The same determination to rehabilitate a continent that were applied in the Marshall Plan in Europe must be applied in Asia. The 500 million people of India, my fellow Americans, is a greater population than Africa, Latin America, Canada and Mexico put together and if you add Pakistan with its 100 million and the subcontinent of India and Pakistan it's a greater total population than Africa, South America, Central America, Canada, New Zealand, Australia and Korea. That's a lot of people.

And those people are going to determine a great deal what happens to us.

So I don't find that there's any conflict of interest in being interested or trying to probe the mysteries of the stars and the uncertainties of the earth. The same minds must do it. This general right here (indicating), General Houston, that has a responsibility for the defense of this country, is a man that

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

gives of his talents to this great endeavor in the field of science and research in space, but he also must know of the geography, of the ethics, of the ethnic origin, of the social-economic forces on this earth. And the same is true of my friend, Dr. Kurt Giebis and Jim Webb and everyone of us. So you see, it's quite an advantage to be Vice President. You can skip over all of these things and talk to you about many.

And my job tonight is not to give you the answers.

My job this evening is to pose the problem, because if we had a job to do all the answers, I don't think we'd have a very healthy people. The task of leadership is not only to lead to solutions, but to have the courage to lead to face the realities, and I believe that one in public life today has to be both a leader and an educator. He has to educate to the best of his ability, and he has to lead. He has to ask the people to face up to what is real, and not the myths. And to find the solutions to the real problems rather than to avoid difficulties.

Thank you very much.

# (Applause)

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Vice President, I think that applause is a demonstration of our feelings about what you had to say, and although you may possibly come in second best to Jim on words per minute-
(Laughter)

--you certainly are his equal in enthusiasm and in eloquence and in staying power.

1 I have one bit of business here--oh, but first, although 2 you haven't gotten up at 6:30 every morning for a week--3 VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Oh, I wouldn't be so sure 4 a bout that. 5 (Laughter) 6 -- and you haven't had 12 briefings a day--7 (Laughter) 8 -- and you haven't tramped around all those hard pads, 9 with the permission of this fraternity, I would like to declare 10 you a full-fledged member of the Life Space Tour and present you 11 with your own hard hat. 12 (Laughter and applause) 13 VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: No conflict of interest here. 14 (Laughter) 15 CHAIRMAN: We'll discuss it later when I find out 16 what we paid you for that article. 17 (Laughter) 18 Mrs. Humphrey, Mrs. Houston, Mrs. Diebis, I do have 19 one other bit of business I would like to do with your kind 20 permission. General Houston, \* \* \* 21 22 (At this point the transcription concluded) 23 ###### 24 25

# Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

