ves Hallagher REMARKS VICE PRESIDENT ASSOCIATED PRESS NEW YORK CITY It is always a risk to speak to the press: are likely to report what you say. Today I will take that risk. For I have some thoughts I am quite willing to have repeated. Today our America stands as the most powerful... the most prosperous...and the freest nation in the history of the earth. And in our power, wealth and freedom we stand as leader of the western world. As a nation cautioned from the first against entangling alliances, this role is not an easy one. And, indeed, to many other nations of the world we remain a relatively unknown quantity.

For it has been only in recent years that we have ventured into the world with any real seriousness.

And thus we hear questions asked: Are we overreaching ourselves? Will we tire of our tasks?

Will our economy be able to support the burdens we carry at home and abroad? Are we equal to the role of world leadership?

Fair enough questions they are

nations and the great majority of the world's peoples--not only because of the weight of our power, but
because of the things we stand for. In Tom Paine's
words: "The cause of America is in great measure the
cause of all mankind."

In the final analysis, the questions asked about us can only be answered by how we measure up to the challenges before us.

FILL

Today we face three great and interrelated tasks in the world: the pursuit of peace; the effort to narrow the gap between the rich and poor nations; and the necessity of sustaining an American economy able to carry a thousand future burdens here and around the world.

Our search for peace finds its best expression in our support for the kind of world envisioned in the

United Nations Charter --- a world where large and small nations might live alike in harmony without threat of external coercion.

No nation has done more for peace than has an since World War II. The UN...the Marshall Plan...Point Four...the Alliance for Progress...the Peace Corps...the Asian Development Bank...the International Monetary Fund and World Bank...Food for Peace...the Nuclear Test Ban Treaty---these

Billin

leadership. To Has who Gulley - Sack -

These have come from our search for peace.

But other initiatives, too, have come from our search for peace: Firmness in Berlin; aid to Greece and Turkey; the founding of NATO, CENTO and SEATO; resistance to aggression in Korea; the determination that nuclear missiles should not be introduced into the Hemisphere.

we have long since learned that peaceful development cannot exist in an environment of violence, aggression, and fear.

Today peace is at stake in Asia.

Peace is at stake in a hundred thousand Asian villages, in the struggle of peasants against a millenium of poverty, disease and despair.

Vietnam - arguments of Part - (Johnwenten) - French Cauldwitten - we are not the Irener - Should we bethere - We are! (2) we are not fighting against the Rople, or involved in all Indo China. to a civil War Hol MLF - 1959 1 Haut in Saigon-weak - yes. - Unrut-disorder- yes Struggle for Parun But all age on 2 things (1) Do natwant Communist. (2) Do not want us to Abandom not a single leader - Buddhist, Cothalie, laby, Studint , Cuulian or Military has gonous Rysugees go to So. Weet man Great Controlled areas - L. Ki Berliness Vote Will their Jeet Qually - There is hope - nation Bulding Wa will Rupcot those Elections + Grown

Col Hagh

Peace is at stake in a tortured South Vietnam, in the struggle against the classic power tactics of communism.

and, We must not lose the peace in either struggle.

That is why we have committed once more---as we have had to do before---men, money, and resources to help the nations of Asia help themselves toward security and independence.

It won't be easy. It will be frustrating and at times heartbreaking. But, if we are not to deny our leadership...if we are not to deny the principles in which we believe, we must stay and see it through.

the free nations of the world need to know that we have the vision and the endurance to do so.

Those who threaten their neighbors in Asia should know it too. They should know that we will resist their aggression

But they should also know that we bear no consumptive hate against their people, that we have no design on their sovereignty. We look to y toward the day when all nations may choose to live in harmony with their neighbors---when they may turn together their energies to building a better life for their peoples.

#2

For this is, after all, the second great task before us: The desperate need to narrow the widening gap between the rich and poor nations of the world.

I give you the words of Pope John 23rd in his encyclical Mater et Magistra:

"The solidarity which binds all men and makes
them members of the same family requires political
communities enjoying an abundance of material goods
not to remain indifferent to those political communities
whose citizens suffer from poverty, misery, and hunger,
and who lack even the elementary rights of the human person.

"This is particularly true since, given the growing interdependence among the peoples of the earth, it is not possible to preserve lasting peace if glaring economic and social inequality among them persist . . .

"We are all equally responsible for the undernourished peoples. Therefore, it is necessary to educate one's conscience to the sense of responsibility which weighs upon each and everyone, especially upon those who are more blessed with this world's goods."

We sit here today comfortably examining this situation. But for the disinherited and left-out of this world, it is no matter for examination: It is a matter of day-to-day survival.

Today there are families spending their last day on earth because they haven't the strength or health to keep going.

But those who remain—and you can be sure of this

--those who remain will take to the streets...they

will turn to any master...they will tear the fabric

of peace to shreds, unless they have some reason

to believe that there is hope for life and hope for

justice.

To put this on a more immediate and practical level, let me call to your attention the foreign aid request now before the Congress.

The expenditure for the first year of the Marshall Plan was about 2 per cent of our GNP, and 11 1/2 per cent of the federal budget. Today--thanks to the growth of our American economy--our foreign aid request is for only .29 per cent of our GNP and about 1.9 per cent of the federal budget--that is, about two cents out of every tax dollar. Yet we hear the same doubts and complaints today that we heard 20 years ago.

If someone has a substitute for foreign aid,
I'd like to hear about it. The investment we make in
foreign aid—in preventive medicine, if you will—is
certainly less than that necessary to treat the symptoms
of massive economic crisis and disorder and, yes, of war.

The Marshall Plan saved Western Europe and the peace. It created a great new economic market for us.

But there is more: the revived nations of
Western Europe have not only repaid their Marshall
Plan debts, they have already provided more aid to the
developing countries than they ever received from us.

The rewards can be just as great tomorrow in other continents.

If there are questions asked about our ability to meet this task, I think they must be answered affirmatively and without equivocation.

We do not seek to do this task alone nor should we. But how can we expect others to follow if we do not lead?

#3

President Eisenhower described the third great task we face today: "The firm base for the problem of leading the world toward the achievement of human aspirations--toward peace with justice in freedom--must be the United States."

We must fashion an America so strong, so free, so able to lead, that there may be no question about our purposes or our endurance.

Basic to this is the necessity of building an economy of growth, and opportunity, yet stable in the when it is tested.

Communist belief--I suppose some of them still hold to it--that the United States was teetering on the brink of economic chaos...that it was just a matter of time until our production lines would grind to a halt, until an army of unemployed would seize the state, until economic warfare among the Western nations would open the door to communism.

have come to realize that Lord Keynes was speaking to them as well as others when he wrote: "Practical men, who believe themselves to be quite exempt from intellectual influences, are usually the slaves of some defunct economist."

The American economic miracle is the world's greatest success story. It has had a facus the Communication

It is a Vital factor in Place.

We believe in and encourage Competition. I've believe that the dynamic forces of Competition and profits framelethe Key to Economic growth. I We believe that fiscal + Monetary Palecy Should be the primary agents to encourage expansion buchen needed and to control inflation when required. We believe that Self-restraint & Self descipline desulting from an over-all understanding of the economy are essential Tarton in fireit was Stability of lytuur bush billieut that a continuing dialogue bush Bushing based on Candon and mutual ruspect bushing is absolutely necessary of we are to preserve any sort of balance tond stability in a highly validale economy Land We helicut that alsours and agreement is preferable to congulsion and enforament, We seek to persuade, to reason together, to appeal to national interest as the bust protection of selfintered. Last year alone we increased our GNP by 47

billion dollars, increased our total personal income

by 39 billion dollars, and increased our federal cash

receipts by 8 1/2 billion dollars.

All this did not happen by accident.

Part of it is certainly due to the influence of Mr. Keynes and the so-called New Economics.

But I believe the basic, underlying reason behind our economic success is this: There is today a creative partnership for prosperity among those in our society who used to think of themselves as natural antagonists.

We are dispelling old myths.

How long has it been since we've heard old, empty labels such as "labor boss" and "economic royalist"

The brudent and his advisors have faith in and respect for the Business and finan

leadershop of this Nation. We believe in

It was this spent that Tax laws have been adjuited; depression schedules accelerated. Heraturelance of fayment, and now the fight to Inflation. Capital acciding cut.

The fact is that American government, business

and labor are increasingly united in the premise that a stronger and better America will be to the common benefit of all.

Among other things, we are united in our determination to accomplish something that no nation has previously dared to try: To make every citizen in our society a full and productive member of our society.

And so today we make national investments in our country and in our people---investments in productivity, in opportunity, in enterprise, in greater social justice, in self-help.

That is what our Great Society programs are all about.

Education...medical care...war against poverty
...programs of retraining and redevelopment...better

it all atonce-

agriculture...yes, equality at the ballot box and before the law---these are the most basic investments of all in an America able to keep its commitments both at home and abroad.

As the President has said so often, it is not a matter of Great Society or fulfillment of our international responsibilities. It is not a matter of guns or butter, foreign aid or domestic education. They are tied together and you cannot separate them.

If we can build a society operating on all its cylinders, others in the world may have some hope of doing the same. If we cannot, what hope may others have?

To make our free system work...to sustain it for the long full ... to keep our pledges all the while: This indeed is the way to erase any doubts the world may have about Kuy to our ability to fulfill the responsibility of leadership.

In closing, may I say a word about the nature of that responsibility.

Leadership in today's world requires far more than a large stock of gunheats and a hard fist at the conference table.

Leadership today requires more than the ability to go-it-alone---although we must not be afraid to do so when necessary.

Leadership today requires understanding of the problems we face...of the resources at hand...and of the objectives we seek.

It requires the ability, perhaps even more, to lead and inspire others---to lead and inspire in a sense of common enterprise.

For as strong and rich as we may become, our goal of a just and peaceful world will never be achieved by America alone.

It will be achieved only when the resources of strong and weak, rich and poor alike are allocated, in the most efficient manner possible, to challenges that are far too great for any one nation or group of nations to attempt to overcome.

This, then, is the test of ourselves: Not to march alone, but to march in such a way that others will wish to join us.

will add one caveat: In none of this should we expect either friendship or gratitude.

We have already eaten breakfast to the accompaniment, in our morning newspapers, of too many "Yankee Go Home" signs. . . too many riots. . . too many denunciations of ourselves to believe that leadership can reward us with international laurel wreaths.

I think the most we can expect is this: That those who question us will one day find no reason to question; that in the world there may be no doubt that Americans have the vision, the endurance and the courage to stand and see it through for what we believe in.

#

REMARKS

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY

ASSOCIATED PRESS

NEW YORK CITY

APRIL 25, 1966

It is always a risk to speak to the press: They are likely to report what you say.

Today I will take that risk. For I have some thoughts I am quite willing to have repeated.

Today our America stands as the most powerful . . . the most prosperous . . . and the freest nation in the history of the earth.

And in our power, wealth and freedom we stand as leader of the western world.

As a nation cautioned from the first against entangling alliances, this role is not an easy one.

And, indeed, to many other nations of the world we remain a relatively unknown quantity.

For it has been only in recent years that we have ventured into the world with any real seriousness.

And thus we hear questions asked: Are we overreaching ourselves? Will we tire of our tasks? Will our economy be able to support the burdens we carry at home and abroad? Are we equal to the role of world leadership?

Fair enough questions they are.

For the answers affect the great majority of nations and the great majority of the world's peoples--not only because of the weight of our power, but because of the things we stand for. In Tom Paine's words: "The cause of America is in great measure the cause of all mankind."

In the final analysis, the questions asked about us can only be answered by how we measure up to the challenges before us.

Today we face three great and interrelated tasks in the world: the pursuit of peace; the effort to narrow the gap between the rich and poor nations; and the necessity of sustaining an American economy able to carry a thousand future burdens here and around the world.

Our search for peace finds its best expression in our support for the kind of world envisioned in the United Nations Charter--a world where large and small nations might live alike in harmony without threat of external coercion.

No nation has done more for peace than has ours since World War II. The UN . . . the Marshall Plan . . Point Four . . . the Alliance for Progress . . . the Peace Corps . . . the Asian Development Bank . . . the International Monetary Fund and World Bank . . Food for Peace . . . the Nuclear Test Ban Treaty --- these have come from initiatives worthy of our position of leadership.

These have come from our search for peace.

But other initiatives, too, have come from our search for peace: Firmness in Berlin; aid to Greece and Turkey; the founding of NATO, CENTO and SEATO; resistence to aggression in Korea; the determination that nuclear missiles should not be introduced into the Hemisphere.

For we have long since learned that peaceful development cannot exist in an environment of violence, aggression, and fear.

Today peace is at stake in Asia.

Peace is at stake in a hundred thousand Asian villages, in the struggle of peasants against a millennium of poverty, disease and despair.

Peace is at stake in a tortured South Vietnam, in the struggle against the classic power tactics of communism.

We must not lose the peace in either struggle.

That is why we have committed once more-as we have had to do before-men, money, and resources to help the nations of Asia help themselves toward security and independence.

It won't be easy. It will be frustrating and at times heartbreaking. But, if we are not to deny our leadership . . . if we are not to deny the principles in which we believe, we must stay and see it through. And the free nations of the world need to know that we have the vision and the endurance to do so.

Those who threaten their neighbors in Asia should know it too. They should know that we will resist their aggression.

But they should also know that we bear no consumptive hate against their people, that we have no design on their sovereignty. We look only toward the day when all nations may choose to live in harmony with their neighbors—when they may turn together their energies to building a better life for their peoples.

For this is, after all, the second great task before us: The desperate need to narrow the widening gap between the rich and poor nations of the world.

I give you the words of Pope John 23rd in his encyclical Mater et Magistra:

"The solidarity which binds all men and makes them members of the same family requires political communities enjoying an abundance of material goods not to remain indifferent to those political communities whose citizens suffer from poverty, misery, and hunger, and who lack even the elementary rights of the human person.

"This is particularly true since, given the growing interdependence among the peoples of the earth, it is not possible to preserve lasting peace if glaring economic and social inequality among them persist. . .

"We are all equally responsible for the undernourished peoples. Therefore, it is necessary to educate one's conscience to the sense of responsibility which weighs upon each and everyone, especially upon those who are more blessed with this world's goods."

We sit here today comfortably examining this situation. But for the disinherited and left-out of this world, it is no matter for examination: It is a matter of day-to-day survival.

Today there are families spending their last day on earth because they haven't the strength or health to keep going.

But those who remain—and you can be sure of this—those who remain will take to the streets——they will turn to any master——they will tear the fabric of peace to shreds, unless they have some reason to believe that there is hope for life and hope for justice.

To put this on a more immediate and practical level, let me call to your attention the foreign aid request now before the Congress.

The expenditure for the first year of the Marshall Plan was about 2 per cent of our GNP, and $ll \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the federal budget. Today--thanks to the growth of our American economy--our foreign aid request is for only .29 per cent of our GNP and about 1.9 per cent of the federal budget--that is, about two cents out of every tax dollar. Yet we hear the same doubts and complaints today that we heard 20 years ago.

If someone has a substitute for foreign aid, I'd like to hear about it. The investment we make in foreign aid--in preventive medicine, if you will--is certainly less than that necessary to treat the symptoms of massive economic crisis and disorder and, yes, of war.

The Marshall Plan saved Western Europe and the peace. It created a great new economic market for us.

But there is more: the revived nations of Western Europe have not only repaid their Marshall Plan debts, they have already provided more aid to the developing countries than they ever received from us.

The rewards can be just as great tomorrow in other continents.

If there are questions asked about our ability to meet this task, I think they must be answered affirmatively and without equivocation.

We do not seek to do this task alone nor should we. But how can we expect others to follow if we do not lead?

President Eisenhower described the third great task we face today: "The firm base for the problem of leading the world toward the achievement of human aspirations -- toward peace with justice in freedom -- must be the United States."

We must fasion an America so strong, so free, so able to lead, that there may be no question about our purposes or our endurance.

Basic to this is the necessity of building an economy of growth, and opportunity, yet stable in time when it is tested.

I need not remind this audience of the Communist belief -- I suppose some of them still hold it -- that the United States was teetering on the brink of economic chaos... that it was just a matter of time until our production lines would grind to a halt, until an army of unemployed would seize the state, until economic warfare among the Western nations would open the door to Communism.

I think by now some of the Communist doctrinists have come to realize that Lord Keynes was speaking to them as well as others when he wrote: "Practical men, who believe themselves to be quite exempt from intellectual influences, are usually the slaves of some defunct economist."

The American economic miracle is the world's greatest success story.

Last year alone we increased our GNP by 47 billion dollars, increased our total personal income by 39 billion dollars, and increased our federal cash receipts by 8 1/2 billion dollars.

All this did not happen by accident.

Part of it is certainly due to the influence of Mr. Keynes and the so-called New Economics.

But I believe the basic, underlying reason behind our economic success is this: There is today a creative partnership for prosperity among those in our society who used to think of themselves as natural antagonists.

We are dispelling old myths.

How long has it been since we've heard 16 empty labels such as "labor boss" and "economic royalist"

The fact is that American government, business and labor are increasingly united in the premise that a stronger and better America will be to the common benefit of all.

Among other things, we are united in our determination to accomplish something that no nation has previously dared to try: To make every citizen in our society a full and productive member of our society.

And so today we make national investments in our country and in our people - investments in productivity, in opportunity, in enterprise, in greater social justice, in self-help.

That is what our Great Society programs are all

Education...medical care. . war against poverty ..programs of retraining and redevelopment better cities and transportation. an even more productive agriculture. yes, equality at the ballot box and before the law -- these are the most basic investments of all in an America able to keep its commitments both at home and abroad

As the President has said so often, it is not a matter of a Great Society of fulfillment of our international responsibilities. It is not a matter of guns or butter, foreign aid or domestic education. They are tied together and you cannot separate them.

If we can build a society operating on all its cylinders, others in the world may have some hope of doing the same. If we cannot, what hope may others have?

To make our free system work...to sustain it...to keep our pledges all the while: This indeed is the way to erase any doubts the world may have about our ability to fulfill the responsibility of leadership.

In closing, may I say a word about the nature of that responsibility.

Leadership in today's world requires far more than a large stock of gunboats and a hard fist at the conference table.

Leadership today requires more than the ability to go-it-alone -- although we must not be afraid to do so when necessary.

Leadership today requires understanding of the problems we face...of the resources at hand...and of the objectives we seek.

It requires the ability, perhaps even more, to lead and inspire others -- to lead and inspire in a sense of common enterprise.

For as strong and rich as we may become, our goal of a just and peaceful world will never be achieved by America alone.

It will be achieved only when the resources of strong and weak, rich and poor alike are allocated, in the most efficient manner possible, to challenges that are far too great for any one nation or group of nations to attempt to overcome.

This, then, is the test of ourselves: Not to march alone, but to march in such a way that others will wish to join us.

I will add one caveat: In none of this should we expect either friendship or gratitude.

We have already eaten breakfast to the accompaniment, in our morning newspapers, of too many "Yankee Go Home" signs...too many riots...too many denunciations of ourselves to believe that leadership can reward us with international laurel wreaths.

I think the most we can expect is this: That those who question us will one day find no reason to question; that in the world there may be no doubt that Americans have the vision, the endurance and the courage to stand and see it through for what we believe in.

#######

of two men who punched and kicked two Liverpool, England, policemen in 1962. They were sentenced to 18 months in jail, promptly appealed the judge's harshness, only to have the Court of Criminal Appeals double their jail term. "There must be deterrent sentences to ensure that police officers in Liverpool can safely carry out their duties," the court declared.

Attacks on police have become so frequent that the American Law Institute has recommended that states pass a model law clearly emphasizing the duty of every citizen to come quietly when arrested by a badgedisplaying officer. Any questions of mistaken arrest would then be settled in court rather than in the street. The New York Times, urging the state legislature to pass such a law, declared, "In these days of increasing hoodlumism and street crime, the community rightly expects the police to assume risks, but in return it owes them reasonable protection. Policemen forced to make instantaneous decisions under trying circumstances should not become fair game for a mob."

The well-publicized vilification of America's policemen is hiding the fact that the police themselves are becoming the victims of brutality. The FBI's Uniform Crime Reports shows that one out of every ten po-

licemen was assaulted in 1964 (its most recent year of record). In five years, 225 officers were killed, and most of them left wives and children. In 1964 alone, felons killed 57 policemen.

The Stakes. The harsh fact is the our nation is besieged by crime During an average week, one city of 1,600,000 has 566 hurglaries, 114 for beries, 15 rapes and about four killings. The situation is just as grim a suburban and rural areas, when nearly one third of all serious crime occur among only one fifth of the population.

Statistics, however appalling, far to tell the private horror of them attacked. A Los Angeles man going about his daily business is shot to death near his truck. A U.S. Congressman working late in his Cantol Hill office is knifed and robbed A woman kneeling in prayer a dragged to a confessional and raped

Anyone who has ever called to help in such danger knows the feeting of terror, and what it can make to have police officers who do to hesitate to respond instantly as forcefully. Protecting the police for unjust "brutality" smears is actually protecting yourself. The state could be your home—or your literature.

For information on reprints of this article, see page 24

WEGENT graduate is finding many of June's promises illusory. The world doesn't belong to him, his unbreakable watch is broken, and his lifetime pen is out of ink.

VIETNAM: Af lunchean 4-25-66 Why We Stay

A forthright declaration from the Vice President

Adapted from an address by Hubert H. Humphrey Vice President of the United States

Should not have intervened.
Well, listen to these words of John Shart Mill, the great 19th-century English philosopher and economist:

The doctrine of non-intervention, to be a great principle of morality, must be accepted by all givernments. The despots must consent to be bound by it as well as the the states. Unless they do, the protion comes but to this: that the arong side may help the wrong, but the right must not help the right."

Here is a clear statement of why are in Vietnam. It is not of our an volition, but by request, by reaty, by obligation and by comment.

If we had applied the doctrine of maintervention in Greece after had War II, that country would controlled by the communists to the British had applied it in

Malaya, the same thing would have happened there. In both cases, but for timely outside aid, militant and determined communist minorities would have seized and held power. In both cases, subsequent elections proved that the communists were indeed a minority—and a small one at that.

And, I might add, if we had left South Korea alone in the face of communist aggression from the North, there would be no South-Korea today. History should have taught us by now that communists are dedicated to seeking power in whatever way they can get it. If they succeed in seizing power by force in one country, they will be tempted to try it in others. Indeed, "Liberation Fronts" have already been set up for both Thailand and Malaysia.

Then I've heard that the struggle for Vietnam is a civil war. The National Liberation Front (the members of it are the Vietcong) is exactly

ADAPTED FROM AN ACORTECS AT THE ANNUAL LUNCHTON MECHING OF THE ASSOCIATED PARTS. (N. H. H. YORK, CITY, APRIL 25, "ED

what it says it is—a front. That is the only honest word in its title. It is not national. It liberates no one. It is a front of He Chi Minh from Hanni. And he says so. The only people who seem to misunderstand him are some here in the United States, where communism is a matter of academic discussion rather than of life and death.

I hear it said that the government in Saigon is weak. This is true. And then I hear people say, "How can you expect us to do anything with all of the unrest, the demonstrations?" Well, there is disorder. And understandably so. A nation which for 1669 years was under Mandarin rule, 100 years under French colonialism, 25 years in war, crumbled and driven to the ground time after time by terror and armies, with thousands of government leaders and officials assassinated or kidnapped by the communists since 1950-is it any wonder that there is disorder? The wonder is that there is any order.

Dut I would rather have the disorder of a vital, vigorous people such as the South Vietnamese than the silence of a people who have been subdued by communism as in Hanoi. I'd rather by a long shot.

There is a struggle for power in South Vietnam today, and this disturbs us all. But put it in perspective. That struggle indicates how well the military operation has gone. A year ago there was no struggle for power because a year ago it was very doubtful that there would

be any South Vietnam. Today at the world knows there will be a South Vietnam. And the power groups within South Vietnam armow positioning themselves to see who is going to run the country. They know that the United States has brought in vast amounts of man power and resources, that they are not going to lose.

So when the Buddhists, the Cainolics, the students, the labor people and the peasants ask themselves "What kind of government will we have? What kind of constitution? this is not a sign of weakness. It is indeed the best evidence that considerable progress has been made in defeating the enemy.

There are two things upon what all these groups agree: They do not write them. And they do not want us it abandon them. What is more, no one leader of any group in Soul Vietnam has yet defected to Handor to the National Liberation From Not one. A remarkable record, I must say.

Meanwhile, during the past year and a half, 800,000 refugees from Vietcong held areas have come to government-controlled territory in South Vietnam. Why? Because the did not want to live under communism.

In the future, there is going to lean election in South Vietnam. The people are going to adopt a new constitution. There will be an electricivilian government. We support this with all of its uncertainties present the support of th

the right of dissent, the right of free choice and self-determination. The press of America and of the whole world will observe these elections.

I should like to have those who are most concerned about what happens in South Vietnam ask North Vietnam to conduct a free election under the same ground rules, under the same observation. If the communists win in South Vietnam, it will be the first time in history they ever won a free and open national election.

It is well and good that we criticize our own efforts when these efforts are wrong. It is well and good that we point up our shortcomings. But, by the same token, if we believe in the principles of freedom, we must apply them to friend and foe alike. And if we do, I have a feeling that the people of South Vietnam will do quite well.

We are being watched. We are being watched very carefully by the

whole world to see whether or not, in this period of our affluence and power, at this time when certain communist nations seem to be less irritating than before, we have our old will. The free nations of the world need to know that we have the vision and the endurance to fulfill the American commitment. And those who threaten their neighbors need to know that we take our commitments seriously, that we will resist aggression, and that we will stay and see it through in Vietnam.

But they should also know that we bear no consumptive hate against their people, that we have no design on their sovereignty. We look only toward the day when all nations may choose to live in harmony with their neighbors—when they may turn together their energies to building a better life for their peoples.

For information on raprints of this article, see page 24



Headline Hils

On New York World-Telegram and The Sun story of a strike in New York's garment district: "GARMENT AREA BUTTONED UP."

On Washington Post story about a trusty who departed while washing down the jail lobby: "CLEAN GETAWAY."

On Garden City, N.Y., Newsday story about a Russian cosmetics institute: "MOSCOW COSMETIC CLINIC GIVES A LIFT TO RED FACES."

In Washington Post: "MARYLAND U. BEER ISSUE COMING TO A HEAD."

On New York Daily News story of hijacked truckload of dresses: "\$50,000 WORTH OF LADIES' SKIRTS LIFTED." -Jerome Beatty, Jr., in Seturday Review

Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

