5 6

ADDRESS BY THE HONORABLE HUBERT H. HUMPHREY
VICE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AT THE CENTENNIAL CONVENTION OF THE MINNESOTA
NEWSPAPER ASSOCIATION, ST. PAUL HILTON HOTEL,
ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA, ON MARCH 4, 1967.

PRESIDENT ADAMS: Ladies and gentlemen, it is our privilege and pleasure at this time to introduce a man who is a very familiar figure to all of the Minnesota Press as well as to the rest of the citizens of our State.

As Mayor of Minneapolis, he attended a number of sessions of what was then the Minnesota Editorial Association. He was a familiar figure as the Senior Senator from Minnesota at subsequent meetings of the Minnesota Newspaper Association. And now, we are pleased that at this Centennial Convention we are able to present to you the Vice President of the United States, The Honorable Hubert Humphrey.

(Standing Applause)

THE HONORABLE HUBERT H. HUMPHREY (Vice President of the United States of America): Thank you, Mr. Adams, for your gracious and generous introduction and the warmth of your reception here today. I just pulled some papers out of my pocket. These are sort of props. I haven't the slightest idea what I am going to talk to you about. I thought I had better take a look and see what was in there.

One is a bill from Daytons, but I won't talk to you about that.

When I first heard from your Manager, Bob Shaw, about the possibilities of coming here, and he suggested last evening, I wanted very much to accept that invitation, but we had a rather busy day yesterday and a very busy evening. Therefore, we had already committed ourselves to some other

engagements and simply couldn't get away. So I started bargaining with him through one of my office associates to see if we couldn't come in some time today. I was told, of course, that you have Mr. Norman Isaacs as your luncheon speaker and he is, without a doubt, one of the outstanding men in the field of journalism in our nation. No man who is Vice President wants to compete with that. That is just too much. So I just suggested maybe in between coffee breaks they might let Muriel and I come by so we might shake hands and say "Hello."

We were greeted today by Mr. and Mrs. Mills. We are so pleased to see them once again. I haven't been at Cambridge for a long time and I guess they are just as happy about it -- but I want Mr. and Mrs. Mills to know that we surely are very appreciative of their courtesy and extending the hand of welcome to us. When you get back to Cambridge and you find a soul here and there that is willing to remember my name, extend a greeting, if you will.

What impressed me particularly was the number of vice presidents that this organization has. The other day I was privileged to present a certificate to the twenty-fifth millionth worker that had participated in a collective bargaining election by the National Labor Relations Board. I know a good deal about the National Labor Relations Act, or at least I thought I did. One thing that I knew about it

is that you can always come to them with a charge of unfair labor practices. When I go out and address organizations such as yours, I generally find they have anywhere from two to 20 vice presidents. You seldom find any organization that is worth anything today which has one vice president.

I was speaking to the International Ladies' Garments Workers Union up in New York and their President said they have twenty-one vice presidents. I asked if he didn't think that was "featherbedding" and he said, "No, it is just a good way to take care of those that think they ought to be President."

(Applause)

The purpose of my observation about the three vice presidents that you have (and of course the splendid Board of Directors) is that quite obviously, the Minnesota Newspaper Association carries a tremendous responsibility and has all sorts of things it must do which require the kind of

administrative, executive talent and association.

I might also observe, President Adams, that you maybe have a more difficult time than President Johnson. President Johnson sometimes feels that one vice president is too much. So if you start to feel that three is too much, you will have a kindred soul in the President of the United States.

(And I am speaking only symbolically here. I know nothing about your politics.)

I have looked over your program and I have noticed that

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you have had some imports in from Washington. You have been privileged to hear one of the outstanding editors of the nation and, of course, one that was here in the City of St. Paul for a considerable period of time, J. Russell Wiggins. He is a gentleman that we hold in high esteem and respect. I trust that Russ was able to get here yesterday. You have had a very distinguished servant of the State of Minnesota, one that I had a wrestling match with here a few years back, but we came out good friends. He kept his job and I kept mine, and I think that is the way it ought to be. I notice that you had my old friend Val Bjornson speak to you. And yesterday, if my memory serves me correctly, you had our Junior Senator, Walter "Fritz" Mondale speak to you. When I came in here I see you have the best of all worlds because you had the Senior Senator, Senator McCarthy, out here visiting and talking with you individually. He is persuasive collectively or individually, so beware! You have had a very fine program and I doubt that there is a thing I can add to it -- but since I am standing on my feet I thought I would just take a few moments of your time.

Many things have been said about the Office of Vice

President. I thought today I would just visit with you

briefly about it. I know there are questions on your mind

about the economy, about the C.I.A., about Viet Nam, and of

course all of those matters are less important than the things

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am about ready to talk to you about -- I let Muriel handle those subjects. But since you do have a rich program today and a big program, there is no desire on my part to take over for Mr. Isaacs, and I thought I would just talk to you a little bit about the vice presidency.

The first thing I should note to you about it is that most everybody says it isn't anything really too much that you ought to fight about or worry about. I tend to agree with that. That is why I have gone to my friends in the Republican Party that gathered in Washington recently and said that because they already had signs of tension and dissent and splitting amongst their ranks with Romney and Reagan and Nixon and Percy and Goldwater and all of them, I said, "Since you want to heal these wounds, I don't see any reason in the world why you should fight over who is going to be Vice President." I have decided that since America needs something along the lines of continuity -and since there ought to be something that will unite us (obviously it won't be the candidates for President that will unite us or even the prospective candidates in either Party) I have decided to offer myself on either ticket for (Applause) re-election.

As we say, in Washington, this is "under study," which obviously means there will be no action. In case you get a letter from Washington which says, "This is under study" you wil

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now know what it means.

I have truly had a wonderful experience in this office. I served for sixteen years as one of your two Senators and those were years that I will never forget -- and years that some of you won't either. They were years of valuable experience in government. The role of a United States Senator is a very unique role. I don't suppose there is any finer office where you can give to the people than that office. The United States Senator represents the Federal System. He is the representative of the state as well as the nation. He is independent to a very large degree, controlled only by his own conscience and his own commitments. He has, of course, a degree of party loyalty, but you will find I am sure that as you examine the votes, most of those men that really have a good record, one that you can be proud of in each party or either party, you will find there is a great deal of what we call "voting together" or "switch voting" -- picking and choosing. Most of the issues in the Congress are settled by unanimous votes. Only a limited number of issues are settled by what we call "split votes" or "highly partisan votes." Even when they are partisan, there are breaks within the party ranks.

This is the unique quality of our system. We don't demand rigid discipline of our parliament or our Congress, but what we should demand, however, is genuine application to the duties

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of office and high performance in that office -- unstinting, unselfish service to the people that send you there. Party regularity, yes, is something to be desired. Party discipline is something we do not have. Really, concentrating on the issues and the tasks of the day and the years ahead is what you can do when you are in the Congress of the United States. I have said many times that I think a good Senator (and fortunately we have good Senators) is one who is not thinking only of the year 1967, but he is really thinking of the years five, ten years ahead. Because most every proposal that becomes public law and public policy requires an incubation period. It requires a period of public relations, so to speak, a period of the planting of the seed, of the turning of the soil, of the nourishment of the plant in the hope that it will flower. That is why I think we need men in the Congress of the United States that do have vision.

Your Executive Branch of Government, likewise, needs to have that quality of vision. Those who are the administrators of Government are required to do the day-to-day chores, the day-to-day administrative duties, and those are tremendous in number and many of them are very burdensome in terms of their importance.

I am no longer Senator and I have been very well aware of it for a long time. Some of my friends are not -- but people have said, "I think the Vice President, or Hubert

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Humphrey has changed." I hope so, for the good, but I would say what is more important is that the job has changed and people need to know about the tasks for the job.

A man takes on an assignment, whatever it may be, and he should discipline himself to that assignment and to its qualifications and its characteristics. There is only one President of the United States at a time. It is very hard for most people to remember that, but that is a fact -- that is, one elected President at a time. Of all the people who should not try to be President, is the Vice President, because you cannot have two voices at the top level of this Government. There are enough voices anyway in a free society to disconcert any of us. But that is one of the prices of freedom, and a very worthwhile price, I might add. At the top levels of government there must be one voice, and that is why there is what we call executive leadership; there is what we call the President's Program, and this is why we have always said that in matters particularly of national security and foreign policy, the President must speak for the nation. At least he must speak for the Government. This doesn't deny others the right to speak their mind. It doesn't deny others the right of dissent, which is a precious right, nor does it deny others the right to advance proposals and suggestions. To the contrary, those who are in the Legislative Branch and those who are in the private arena, and

I think have a definite responsibility to offer other suggestions. I don't believe any one person or group has a monopoly on wisdom or virtue. I happen to believe that the cross-fire of ideas is what makes possible a good idea. I happen to believe that protest and dissent that are within the fields of law and order, not violence but law and order, have a legitimate place and indeed a much-needed place in a democracy. It is from this ferment of ideas that we really get the forward movement that has made this country what it is.

I mentioned that I want to tell you a little about the Vice Presidency. The Vice President is a member of the President's Cabinet. He is not the Cabinet, nor is he Secretary of State or Secretary of Defense. He is one of the President's advisors. He was not a member of the Cabinet until about 20 years ago. Sometimes when I have been before certain groups I have listed out some of these great, illustriou characters that have been in the Vice Presidency -- names that you or no-one else has ever heard of. But I think it is fair to say that since the time of the 30's, this office has taken on increasing importance or increasing responsibility by the very nature of things -- and it should. After all, you pay the bill. After all, the office is provided for by the Constitution. The Constitution only lays down two requirements: The Vice President shall be the Presiding Officer

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the Senate, and in case of a tie he may -- he is not required -- he may break the tie by his vote. Other than that, except to stand in the curtains and on the sidelines, so to speak, waiting in case he is needed, the Vice President has no Constitutional responsibility. This is a very unique office in the field of government. No other country has an office quite like this -- because for literally generations, unless a President was the victim of assassination or death, the office was of little or no consequence. It remained static and idle and frequently the Vice President didn't even live in Washington, nor did he attend any of the meetings of the Cabinet, nor was he seen for months on end by the President of the United States. I have been reading the history of this office and it is a fascinating one: The people who served in it, why they served in it, and what, if anything, they did in it, and what they did once they had responsibility.

The office is important enough, in the way American people have treated their Presidents, so people ought to be a little concerned about who is in it -- because no country on the face of the earth has had a greater record of violence toward its Chief of State, than the United States. This is a sad thing to say, but we have had a record of attacks, of violence, of assassination, that is really terrifying. Therefore, I think it has become ever more important that those persons that

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

occupy this office of Vice President, know what goes on in government. In these few moments that I have, I would just like to tell you, this is what I try to do.

I am not a policymaker of the Government, even though I am privileged to advise and counsel on policy. I am one of the men that has an input into that policy, but I have said a number of times, I would rather have ten minutes inside the White House, talking to the heads of departments and the President, and the leaders of the Congress who join with him, than to have a week outside carrying a sign. Because I think the inside work is that much more important. I think it is very important for the Vice President of the United States to know all that goes on in this world and every morning of my life, to the best of my limited ability. I have somebody -and not only somebody but sometimes several -- come by, spend an hour, a half hour, an hour and one-half, to do what we call the "briefing," all of the information that we can gather around the world. This leads me to say this -- that with all of the difficulties we have had in the Central Intelligence Agency, it is needed, it is vital, this country would be crippled without it, it is every bit as important as any part of our Armed Services, if not more important, because at least it affords us information that we gather from many places in the world and from many sources so that we are in a position to know what our adversaries are up to

1

3

4 5

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and even what our friends are up to. Otherwise, we would be like the blind leading the blind -- stumbling around on this troubled continent.

The Vice President of the United States is also, by Act of Congress, the Chairman of the Space Council. I wish I had time to tell you what we do. I had a Space Meeting yesterday. We are just completing the review of the entire Apollo Program, the lunar program. We have been reviewing the recent President's Science Advisory Report on "after the man on the moon, then what?" We are answering questions that come into your mind every day. "Is it all worth it?" As Chairman of the Space Council, I have as my associates the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of State, the Director of the National Aeronautics and Space Agency, the Director of the United States Information Agency, the President's Science Advisor, and the Chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission. We coordinate the space program, defense and civilian. We are responsible for international cooperation in space, working with our friends in Western Europe, Japan, India, and many other countries; setting up the tracking stations so when we put a man in orbit we know a little bit about what is happening. This is one of the most fascinating programs I have ever been engaged in and it takes a lot of time.

Just this last summer Congress decided we should have another program, called Oceanography, or Marine Sciences,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Engineering and Development, very important to the Great Lakes where we have the largest body of sweet water in the world. Oceanography is the study of the seas, the currents, the food of the seas, the minerals of the sea beds. Seventy-one (71) percent of the earth's surface is water and we know very little about it, except the surface. You will notice that every time the Congress gives me an assignment, these are by statutes. Both of these are by statute and relatively new -- Oceanography just within the last year and the other one within the last ten years. Both of them are enough work for any one man, either one of them. But whenever the Congress gives the Vice President an assignment, it is either out of this world or in the bottom of the ocean. I am not sure if I should read anything into that, but I do know that is a fact. I want to come back to Space and Oceanography but I just want to tell you two or three other things, and I want to conclude on the other.

My other assignments are to be Chairman of the Advisory Council on the Peace Corps. This is a fascinating one. I was the man that introduced the legislation for the Peace Corps and handled the bill which was passed in the Senate, held its hearings and advanced it early in 1958. It became law when Mr. Kennedy became President. I have the job of coordinating that program in an advisory capacity with many top people in America that serve on that Advisory Council,

working with the returnees who have completed their two years of volunteer service, trying to interest other young people to join the Peace Corps. It is a tremendous enterprise — six years now, over 250,000 applicants and well over 10,000 that have served in over 50 countries. It is possibly the most acceptable program that we have overseas and I think does one of the finest jobs.

I have been given the opportunity to serve as the Chairman of the Advisory Council of the Office of Economic Opportunity. This, without a doubt, is one of the most difficult assignments because we are working with people, we are working in areas where there have been no solutions in the past and very little progress.

I will only say this -- I know many of you have criticism on what we call the "War on Poverty." There is a misconception about it. I was just reading some reports this morning where people say, "The trouble with the War on Poverty is that the people who are supposed to be getting the money don't get it." It isn't a program to give people a lot of money. That is called "welfare" and I thought we had agreed some time ago that we didn't want a welfare state in this country. I think what America needs and wants is a state of opportunity. What we are trying to do is to break the rolls of welfare, to break out of it. We are trying to train people. I met yesterday with Mr. Chad McClellan of Los Angeles, who had just

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

come away from a meeting of the Chamber of Commerce of all of the Bay Area, Oakland, San Francisco, and all of that area, where with 90 percent local funds, raised by local businessmen, they are engaging in programs of training and orientation, to rehabilitate, train and employ thousands of hard-core unemployed people who walk the streets, engage in riots and get into all kinds of trouble. We are not trying to see that they get a Federal check. We are trying to see that they get an education. We are trying to see that they get some training. We contract out with business firms, large corporations, to train them. I went into Chicago not long ago and went to what we call the Urban Progress Center, where we are now dealing with people who don't even know how to punch a time clock when they come to a factory. They can neither read nor write. They can't read a bus -- when I say they don't know how to get on a bus, obviously they can get on them but don't know where they are going because they can't read the signs on the streets. They are Americans. They have lived here and have lived here longer than most any people of your ancestors. in this room. Most of them are negro Americans. Not all. Some are white Americans from the Appalachia, the mountain country and the hill country. We are teaching them and thousands of them are being employed -- thousands of them. In fact last year, over one million of them were employed and they have ladded new income. I know some of you are aware

but I just want to say this: I know we are not doing enough.

I know that people are impatient, and they ought to be -- and

I know what makes news -- mistakes. That is right -- it is

tragedy and mistakes. I just want to say that I don't think

there have been very many screaming headlines about the

thousands and thousands of people who never had a chance in

their lives, never knew what it was to ever have a job, never

had a pay check, never went to a factory. There has been

very little headline news about that, and that I can understand.

I saw an article in this morning's WASHINGTON POST -- I am sorry we didn't bring it with us. Just two days ago there was a big headline story in the WASHINGTON POST in Washington, D.C., "Boston University Press Asks Impeachment of Johnson."

It is edited by a University newspaper, eight members of the staff. This morning there was a petition presented to the President, signed by 6,089 students supporting the President.

I want to be honest with you. It is on page 8, Section B, and it is this big -- one column, about two inches. But the charge of impeachment by eight people that maybe didn't know what they were talking about but just decided to go on a kick, that was big stuff. I don't think that is news any more than some of the experience that I have had.

I went out to Stanford University. I had 2,000 people in an auditorium that behaved beautifully, magnificently, a

22

23

24

25

credit to themselves, their families and their university. 1 2 I would say of that 2,000 about 500 openly disagreed with most of what I said. They had an entirely different point 4 of view, which is their right. They even went so far as to wear a white arm band to show their disagreement -- but they 6 were respectful. They were intelligent. They wanted dialogue. They asked questions and they wanted to debate. I like people 8 like that. But they didn't even get the time of day, and 9 some young ruffian who wasn't even a student on the campus 10 (and by the way there were 12 leaders that caused the commotion 11 that you read about and of the 12, one was a student and 12 ll of them were just roaming the coast seeing what they could 13 do to stir up trouble -- not students) I don't think was 14 entitled to that much attention. I think the people who 15 were entitled to the attention were the fine young men who 16 sat there and asked the Vice President of the United States 17 questions, the likes of which I have never heard and hope 18 I don't have to answer again -- they were tough, they were 19 good and they made me squirm (and that was real news)! 20 (Applause)

I might add, since I am on that particular subject -I hadn't intended to mention it but that is one of the
advantages of not having a written speech, you don't know
what you are about to say -- I happen to believe that there
has been a tendency to overplay the few that do not represent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very much except just themselves, rather than the many. I will never forget when I was at Rutger's University, there were 42 nations represented at their 300th anniversary. There was the Chancellor of the University of Oxford, there were 350 of the top scholars of the world and there were brilliant presentations. It just so happened because I suppose in this country they feel they need to have a public official at these gatherings that I was also invited. I addressed the group, received as did others, an Honorary Degree. There were 14,000 people in this audience. It was in pageant, beautiful, medeival European style with the flowing robes. There were about 40 people that had advised all the television and all the media that they were going to walk out. They were a full block and one-half away from anything going on, except somebody selling popcorn and hamburger down the street -- that is a fact. They were way down a block, a block and one-half. But when I read the Report of the 300th Anniversary of Rutger's I read, "Forty Students Walk Out on Vice President." The poor Chancellor from Oxford didn't even get a line. The President of the University of Utrecht from Holland, the founding university from Rutger's, they didn't even know he was there; 350 of the greatest scientists and scholars, 22 Nobel prize winners -- they didn't even know they were present. This 40 decided they would be a little embarrassing to somebody and walked out. As a matter of fact,

they made room for people we wanted in and it was nice they did it.

I have had the privilege of making some speeches around the country as Vice President.

One final thing, I work with the youth of America in what we call Youth Council work. I want to ask and implore every editor here today to bend your efforts this summer to see to it that our unemployed youth, if any, have a job, that young people have an opportunity for gainful work and that they also have an opportunity for wholesome recreation. Of course in the smaller communities the problems are not nearly as severe. Since I am in the capital city of St. Paul and I know the Mayor is deeply interested in these matters, as are other officials, I hope we will not wait for trouble before we act. I think it is most unfortunate that most of the jobs seem to turn up after some of the wild men have instigated trouble. Those jobs ought to be available before the trouble comes, and it happens time after time.

I have worked with the mayors of all the cities in

America. The President has asked me to be the liaison between
the White House, the Executive Branch, and local government.

This past week Mrs. Humphrey and I were host and hostess to
all county officials that were in Washington on their National
Legislative Conference. Next week it is the National League
of Cities. We hold conferences throughout the United States and

the purpose is to acquaint local government officials with what the Federal Government programs happen to be. With all of the communication we have, we don't seem to be able to communicate too well sometimes. I think this is one of the real problems that the Federal Government faces today. The programs oftentimes are too complex. They need simplification, and it is the duty of some of us who are in these programs to take them out and explain them to the people so if they are desired and usable, they can be applied.

I said I wanted to leave you on the subject of the two greatest interests of mine -- if not the two greatest, the two heaviest responsibilities. That is Space and Oceanography, and I want to tell you about them very quickly.

We spend billions of dollars on these programs and I am asked, "Is it worth it?" My answer is, "Yes!" Today the United States of America is the foremost industrial power in the world. It is not only so because we have capital, even though we have plenty of that — never enough but quite a lot more than others. The Prime Minister of Great Britain sent me a letter just this past week as a result of a talk I had made in New York to some industrialists on what is called the "Technological Gap" between Western Europe and the United States. We have always thought of the people in Germany, Holland, the Lowlands, France, Italy, Great Britain, as being highly, technically advanced. But the simple

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

truth is that they are falling far behind. Their competitive position in the world is getting worse, as compared with the United States, and there is a reason for it, and they are concerned. The reason is that this country -- private industry and government -- have plowed in every year approximately 25 billion dollars in research and development. Of that amount about 16 billion dollars is scheduled funds -atomic energy money, space money, defense money, National Institute of Health, National Science Foundation. All of this is what we call the investment money to increase the pool of knowledge in technology from which we can draw. We are the greatest nation on the earth when it comes to electronics and the computers. The electronics and the computer is like the heart and the brain. When a European speaks of himself, he speaks that he has good limbs, good arms, good torso -- but he says I have heart trouble, really no good electronics and there is something wrong with my head because my computer technology is not right. The first computer was built 17 years ago and it is a museum piece in the Smithsonian Institute, to show you the rapidity of change. The first space capsule ever sent into orbit by the United States is only six years old. That is John Glenn's and it is in the Smithsonian Institute. The rapidity of change is fantastic. The investments that we are making in these great programs that have taglines on them like the "Moon Program" is merely an objective to

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

arouse people's interest. It is a benchmark. We are not primarily interested in just getting to the moon. We are interested in developing the tools, the equipment, the excellence, the professional capacity, the skills, that permit a person to get to the moon. Because when we do that, we develop whole new industries. We develop a degree of efficiency and competence in this country that is the miracle of the world.

What we have been able to do in the Space Program, more than anything else, is to bring together a working partnership between government, private industry, finance, and the universities -- the professional people on university campuses. We have changed the whole concept of education because we have forced people to work together, the pooling of their resources, inter-disciplinary. Twenty years ago a Dean in the School of Engineering was like a member of the United Nations and had separate sovereignty, as compared to the Dean of a Medical School. Today you can't put a man in orbit unless the engineering and medical aspects of it are working together. It does no good to make a good space capsule if you don't know what the effects of outer space will be on the human body. Therefore medicine, physiology, the life sciences, even the behavioral sciences, are tied right in with engineering, eletronics, physics and all that goes with what we call the physical sciences.

The upgrading of our universities has been fantastic as a result of these great programs of scientific research and development and is showing its effect in every walk of life. Since you are primarily in the field of communication, let me tell you what is in the offing:

In ten years we will be communicating almost entirely insofar as long distance communication is concerned, by communication satellite, or the laser beam -- most likely, just communication satellites. We will be communicating with live television, even without ground stations because we will be able to build communication satellites that generate their own power, send their own signals, and do it with precision. As a matter of fact, within another month, I shall be doing on Telstar, a worldwide, open, live television broadcast for one hour with correspondants from several nations, interviewing like Meet the Press out of Washington.

We will be having in university classrooms professors from a dozen countries or half a dozen countries or three countries, coming in in closed circuit television through communication satellite. It is just incredible what is in the offing! It is already here — it is only a matter of the perfection of it.

Today your government communicates with Southeast Asia in order that we can have instantaneous communication in Viet Nam through communication satellite. We don't have enough

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

by communication satellite and we are doing this all around the world. I haven't any doubt but what this is going to have a tremendous effect on news dissemination, on what you are doing in your press, and certainly it is going to have a great effect upon education, to take you to the other aspect of it, the other part of the environment.

People say, "Why should we be so interested in these things?" My answer, particularly on the Space Program and the program on Oceanography is that we live in the universe and the Solar System is one of the blocks in that universe. The Solar System is our neighborhood. In that Solar System are the moon, the earth, Venus, Jupiter, Mars and others. We need to know about our neighbors. That is the kind of a world we are living in. We are talking now not about the 20th Century but about the 21st Century. Remember that most of the people that will be doing things for this country will be doing things in the 21st Century. We are in the last third of the 20th Century. Every plan we have, every thought we have, from here on out, must be based on Century 21 and in Century 21 we will be doing things that the laboratories today are experimenting with. The scientist says it is 15 years from the successful laboratory experiment to practical or commercial application. Only 15 years from now leads us to the year 1982. So must of what we are talking about today

24

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in embryonic laboratory form, will be the pattern of the day for the year 2,000 when your children or your children's children will be in responsible positions and fullness of life.

We will be mining the ocean beds. We have just approved what we call "fish protein concentrate" -- and what does this mean? It means food from the sea. The population of this earth is said to be tripled by the year 2,000. It will be triple what it is now. How are we going to feed those people? Not just by the resources that we presently have put to work, even though may I say by the year 2,000 we will be watering the deserts with the waters from the sea. There is no doubt about it. We are right at that point now. That will be within the next decade for sure. It just happens the desert lands are the most fertile of all. But more importantly, we will be mining the oceans. We will be harvesting the oceans. We will plant fish like you plant soybeans and we will harvest it. I have been meeting with people who are planning large laboratories and lagoons in the Pacific right now where all of this experimental work will go on just as you have an Experimental Farm for the Department of Agriculture or for your County Agent. In fact, we have just passed legislation for Sea Grant Colleges, just as we had them for Land Grant Colleges. This is just the beginning.

We will mine the ocean floors right off the Coast of

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Florida. Less than 30 miles off the coast of Florida, off Cape Kennedy, there is 1200 square miles of pure manganese ready to be mined, the richest ore deposit ever discovered. There are literally hundreds of square miles of pure copper. Off the estuaries of the rivers in Alaska is pure gold that has, in a sense, been mined by the pressures of water of the rivers coming out of the mountains. We will be able to do in a short time from communication satellite, or I should say from satellite sensor satellites, to fly a hundred or two or three hundred miles above the earth and by ultra violet ray and other sensors, to detect mineral deposits in the earth. We now do it by plane. We discovered one of the largest gold mines in the world just a few years ago in Nevada by a plane flying at high altitude with sensors. No longer do people run around picking with a pick trying to find where these resources are. It is just incredible what is going to happen!

For example, we have already learned something. We already know that some of the great typhoons are related to water temperature. When we see the water temperatures rising in the Pacific, such as around the Sea of Japan, a certain number of degrees, you can forecast with almost certainty that there will be a major storm, a huge tidal wave or typhoon. Why? We don't know. We know that the control of the temperature will be based a great deal on what we learn from the seas

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and we are right now at the point where we can at least give adequate weather information and by computerizing it, we will be able to give it much better. We are putting up two or three big satellites called "Nimbus" which will take pictures of the earth. Your newspaper will be able to have in its office a little photo machine that will bring directly from these Nimbus satellites the picture of the weather right over your town. For example the other day I saw a picture of the weather over Duluth. I was visiting one of these laboratories and Nimbus was making its orbit and it puts its cameras down over Minnesota and the Great Lakes, up at the head of the Lakes at Duluth, and there I saw the picture of the cloud formation and a description of what the cloud formation meant in terms of temperature and weather right there before my eyes. You will be able to plug it in at your local newspapers and you can do so now as do some of the large journals. This is the kind of world of change that we are living in.

I think the only question is, with all of the scientific advance that we are making, do you think we will be able to live together? That is the great issue and that is what most everything is all about. We surely know we have created instruments of fantastic discovery and power. I wonder whether we are building the same kind of people. Ultimately, that is what it gets down to. This is why when you hear people give lectures about "Is God dead?" and all that sort of thing,

2

4

5

6

7

10

I think the more you learn about science, the more you learn about the wonders of the world, the greater belief you must have — it is a compulsion, it is a necessity to believe there is something more in this world than just material things.

There has to be a scheme of things. There must be a plan.

Somehow it is inevitable. There must be a greater force and power on earth than man's own individual capacities. There must be that and that is what I think leads people to spiritual reality and spiritual experience.

I also believe that out of that knowledge we understand the importance of human relations. Make no mistake about it, human relations, human engineering, is every bit and more important as the engineering I have mentioned. What you print, what you say, what I do, what I say, relates to human engineering. It relates to forces, attitudes, tensions, hopes, aspirations that people may have. That is why I believe while you wouldn't want to take a vote on this and agree to it, but whether you like it or not or believe it or not, there is a community of interest between the man that sets the type or prints the paper, or the reporter or the editor or the editorial writer and the man that is in public life. Some how, some way together, we are all working with people, influencing the mind, influencing the attitude, bringing people to some recognition of a point of view and presenting them with facts that they must make judgment upon as to the

decisions they will have in days to come.

Mr. Mills, Mr. Adams, and others, this is what you get if you just let me drop by. There is so much to say and so much to do. I know some of you may have expected I would be talking on matters of international relations. I would have liked to very much but I thought you might like to know the work that I have in local government, the work in poverty, the Peace Corps, the Cabinet, the National Security Council, the Space Council, Oceanography -- even in travel and discovering America, we have the Vice President in charge of what we call the Cabinet Committee.

I have the most exciting job in Washington. It is a job that is filled with responsibility and no authority. That is number one. Number two, it is a job in which you are a general practitioner in a very big clinic and seldom does anybody really come to you for what you call particularized treatment. It is when you can't find a specialist or get to him that they come over to the Executive Office Building, knock on the door and say, "Is Dr. Humphrey in? I would like to talk to him about my chillblains," or something like that, and we visit about whatever may come.

Thank you very much and I want to wish you well.

(Standing Applause)
PRESIDENT ADAMS: Thank you, Mr. Vice President, for
the privilege of your visit to our Centennial Convention. It
has been enjoyable and you have left us with a lot to think
about. We are thankful that you could come.

(The meeting recessed at 12:40 o'clock p.m.)

Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

