



Saturday A.M.'s
January 20, 1968

OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT

REMARKS
VICE PRESIDENT HUBERT HUMPHREY
AFL-CIO, TEAMSTERS RALLY
ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA

JANUARY 19, 1968

It is a pleasure to be here with so many old friends. But at the same time I know we are all saddened tonight because one dear friend is not with us.

Bud Loberg was a good citizen. He was a fine labor leader. He was a close friend.

And to those of us who have sought public office, he was a trusted ally.

Bud, along with Marty O'Donnell and many others, helped make Local 132 one of the strong, viable, units in the Minnesota labor movement. He helped build a better community here in Saint Paul and throughout our state.

When Bud Loberg came down to Washington he carried to the nation at large the lessons he had learned in Minnesota -- the knowledge that political action is just as important to the growth and strength of organized labor as success in the economic and organizational arenas. And he brought his enthusiasm, his energy, his determination to make America a better, freer place.

Yes, he is missed; and his memory will serve as an inspiration to all of us in this Hall tonight.

* * *

What we Americans must decide in 1968 is this:

Are we prepared to press on toward the two basic necessities of our time -- national security and national development -- both at home and abroad...or do we intend to turn back from those responsibilities?

Does this rich country want to wipe out the shame of poverty, now that we can afford to -- or not?

Do we want the best education for every American child, now that it is within our grasp -- or not?

Do we want the 70 per cent of our people who live in cities to have a clean, safe, rewarding environment, now that we know the cost of urban blight -- or not?

Do we have the patience and the courage to use our power abroad with restraint, our wealth with compassion... when we know the price of doing otherwise -- or not?

I know where you stand on those historic issues.

Human dignity...human welfare...enhancing the economic and moral strength of America -- that is what the American labor movement is all about.

Your negotiating committees, your executive boards, your business agents and shop stewards, your national leadership, your dedicated rank and file have always been standard-bearers of American democracy at its best.

But let me tell you that the progress-as-usual to which we have all become accustomed in the 1960's, under the leadership of John F. Kennedy and Lyndon B. Johnson, is now threatened.

Progress-as-usual meant that we passed a Model Cities program, even though 80 per cent of the Republicans in Congress voted against it.

It meant that we passed a rent supplement program even though 93 per cent of the Republicans voted against it.

It meant that we passed a breakthrough program of federal aid to elementary and secondary education, even though the Republican party voted 86 per cent against it.

It meant other victories -- the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Voting Rights Act of 1965, Medicare, the greatest advance in the minimum wage since the Fair Labor Standards Act was passed.

It meant escalation of the War on Poverty...escalation of the war on urban blight...escalation of the American dream, from full and equal opportunity for most to full and equal opportunity for all.

Then came the elections of 1966.

We knew that our effective working majority in Congress had been cut. That has happened to almost every President in an off-year election. But many of us did not realize how serious the implications of that cut would be.

In the last session of Congress, however, we saw a Coalition of Retreat -- and they are not all Republicans -- trying to rescind the progress of the 1960's by slow strangulation in the appropriations process. Fifty times that Coalition acted, and 37 times it was successful.

The President's Model Cities request was cut in half. His rent supplements request was reduced by three-quarters.

True, the Congress did pass over 40 major Administration proposals, excluding appropriation bills, last session.

But as the President said two nights ago, there are a Safe Streets and Crime Control measure, a Fire Arms Control bill, a Truth-in-Lending measure, civil rights legislation and consumer bills still before Congress.

There is the President's request for an expanded manpower program to wipe out hard-core unemployment in three years.

There is his request for full funding of the Model Cities program.

There is his request for a tax surcharge to preserve the fiscal integrity and economic growth of this nation.

They need your help.

Let me predict right now that the American people are going to repudiate that Coalition of Retreat in 1968.

You have all seen those polls showing that now, as at the height of last summer's riots, a majority of the American people support massive programs to rebuild slums and larger public programs to meet the problem of hard-core unemployment. My mail shows the same.

The War on Poverty authorization was finally passed last fall because it found strong bi-partisan public support.

Twenty-two Republican mayors of major U.S. cities supported the poverty bill. Labor supported it. Every church group in this country supported it. LIFE MAGAZINE editorialized in favor of full funding. Businessmen bought ads to support it.

Yes, Americans are ready to build a stronger, better, freer nation.

That is a mission for front-row fighters, not back-row cynics.

And the people -- the great, unselfish, creative people of America -- are ready to complete democracy's house. It is up to us to see that they are heard in 1968.

* * *

When it comes to foreign policy, the Coalition of Retreat is strictly non-partisan. It embraces all kinds of people with just one thing in common -- the notion that the United States can safely turn its back on this shrinking neighborhood we call the world.

There are the aid cutters. Back in Harry Truman's Marshall Plan days, when this country was half as rich as it is today, the United States devoted 2 per cent of its Gross National Product to foreign aid.

Today, in this time of rising expectations and ferment around the world, the aid-cutters have chopped our assistance effort down to less than a third of one per cent of our GNP.

There are the protectionists who want quotas to favor a few special interests in this country. They are willing to overlook the fact that retaliation abroad would cost us our overwhelmingly favorable balance of trade, throw American workers out in the street, raise prices for the consumer, and threaten the dollar.

Finally, there are those who doubt the importance of our commitment in Asia, and especially in Vietnam.

Do we have any business there?

More American lives were lost in the Pacific than in Europe during World War II. Add to that our casualties in Korea and Vietnam.

Since 1946 we have committed more than 25 billion dollars worth of loans, grants, food and technical assistance to countries in the arc between West Pakistan and Japan.

That commitment to national security, and to national development, in Asia has been upheld by four American Presidents.

It has been upheld because they have seen it in our clear national interest that a continent at the strategic crossroads of the world...rich in resources...possessing more than half the world's people should not be nakedly exposed to Communist pressure.

It has been upheld because we as a nation have believed -- or at least I thought we did -- that peoples of all colors, races and religions deserve their chance to grow and develop free of coercion and tyranny.

What has our support for the efforts of free Asians achieved?

Japan is a prosperous, stable democracy.

South Korea is secure and on the verge of economic self-sufficiency.

Formosa has achieved an economic self-sufficiency.

India and Pakistan have stable progressive governments. They are making substantial progress in agriculture, population control and industrial development.

Indonesia, potentially the richest nation in Southeast Asia, gained time to throw off -- for and by itself -- a flagrant attempt at a Communist coup, and is now embarking on the long road to economic development.

All the nations of Asia have joined together in new regional cooperation.

Looking ahead, we can predict that economic development will be painfully slow...but that without it there will be chaos.

We can predict that mainland China will soon emerge as a nuclear power...still preaching and supporting the dogma of the cynically-misnamed "wars of national liberation."

We can predict that Asian communism will continue for the foreseeable future to retain its militancy.

We can predict that free Asian nations will continue to reach out to us for help.

We can hope that our policy of containment without isolation of China will someday yield the same kind of peaceful coexistence we have now achieved with the Soviet Union.

That is the broad context in which the American people must decide what they want to do about the immediate issue of Vietnam.

I know what Lyndon B. Johnson and this administration mean to do:

-- persevere in our commitment;

-- resist aggression;

-- pursue the struggle against hunger and want as we pursue the cause of freedom and self-determination;

-- and seek peace, as the President said on Wednesday, "at the earliest possible moment."

That is the commitment of this Administration. I think that is the commitment of most Americans.

America's goal has always been a world without war. That was our objective even as we fought the costliest battles of World War II. That has been our objective through a generation of crisis upon crisis.

We are not the policemen of the world. But we have stood guard -- with others, in Europe, in Latin America and in Asia, in hopes of preventing a holocaust.

Little over a month ago we once again helped prevent a savage and potentially disastrous conflict in the Mediterranean.

We shall continue to stand guard, and to keep our commitments.

I do not know how long we shall have to stand. I claim no powers of prophecy.

But we shall stand in Vietnam until there is an honorable peace.

That is not a commitment for the fearful.

That is not a commitment for a Coalition of Retreat that sells short the courage, patience, wisdom and idealism of America.

That is a commitment for those who believe in this nation, in its peaceful purpose, and in its strength.

* * *

No, America will not shirk her tasks: Security and development at home...and security and development abroad.

But we would do well to heed the words of a great President who had to bear the burden of an even more terrible war... and who found America even more fraught with misery and frustration at home, Franklin Delano Roosevelt:

"The only limit to our realization of tomorrow will be our doubts of today. Let us move forward with strong and active faith."

#

Jack Forgeman
(Son Arthur)
Vice President
Teamsters

Joe Keith
Mayor Byrne

REMARKS

VICE PRESIDENT HUBERT HUMPHREY

AFL-CIO, TEAMSTERS RALLY

ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA

JANUARY 19, 1968

It is a pleasure to be here with so many old friends. But at the same time I know we are all saddened tonight because one dear friend is not with us.

Bud Loberg

↳ Bud Loberg was a good citizen. He was a fine labor leader. He was a close friend. Friend

↳ And to those of us who have sought public office, he was a trusted ally. - ally

Bud, along with Marty O'Donnell and many others, helped make Local 132 one of the strong, unions units

Local
132

in the Minnesota labor movement. } He helped build a better community here in Saint Paul and throughout our state.

↳ When Bud Loberg came down to Washington he carried to the nation ~~at large~~ the lessons he had learned in Minnesota -- the knowledge that political action is just as important to the growth and strength of organized labor as success in the economic and organizational arenas. *at the bargaining table*

And he brought his enthusiasm, his energy, his determination to make America a better, freer place.

Yes, he is missed; and his memory will serve as an inspiration to all of us in this Hall tonight.

* * *

↳ What we Americans must decide in 1968 is this:

Are we prepared to press on toward the two basic necessities of our time -- national security and national development -- both at home and abroad...or do we intend to turn back from those responsibilities?

*Not Sec
Nat
Develop*

Does this rich country want to wipe out the shame of poverty, now that we can afford to -- or not?

Do we want the best education for every American child, now that it is within our grasp -- or not?

Do we want the 70 per cent of our people who live in cities to have a clean, safe, rewarding environment, now that we know the cost of urban blight -- or not?

Do we have the patience and the courage to use our power abroad with restraint, our wealth with compassion... when we know the price of doing otherwise -- or not?

ⓧ I know where you stand on those historic issues. !

Human dignity...human welfare...enhancing the economic and moral strength of America -- that is what the American labor movement is all about.

Your negotiating committees, your executive boards, your business agents and shop stewards, your national leadership, your dedicated rank and file have always been

standard-bearers of American democracy ~~at its best.~~

But let me tell you that the progress-as-usual to which we have all become accustomed in the 1960's, under the leadership of John F. Kennedy and Lyndon B. Johnson, is now ~~threatened~~ challenged + threatened.

Progress-as-usual meant that we passed a Model Cities program, even though 80 per cent of the Republicans in Congress voted against it.

It meant that we passed a rent supplement program even though 93 per cent of the Republicans voted against it.

It meant that we passed a breakthrough program of federal aid to elementary and secondary education, even though the Republican party voted 86 per cent against it.

It meant other victories -- the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Voting Rights Act of 1965, Medicare, the greatest advance in the minimum wage since the Fair Labor Standards Act was passed.

It meant escalation of the War on Poverty...escalation of the war on urban blight...escalation of the American dream, from full and equal opportunity for most to full and equal opportunity for all.

Then came the elections of 1966.

We knew that our effective working majority in Congress had been cut. That has happened to almost every President in an off-year election. But many of us did not realize how serious the implications of that cut would be.

In the last session of Congress, however, we saw a Coalition of Retreat -- and they are not all Republicans -- trying to rescind the progress of the 1960's by slow strangulation in the appropriations process. Fifty times that Coalition acted, and 37 times it was successful.

The President's Model Cities request was cut in half. His rent supplements request was reduced by three-quarters.

True, the Congress did pass over 40 major Administration proposals, excluding appropriation bills, last session.

But as the President said two night ago, there are a Safe Streets and Crime Control measure, a Fire Arms Control bill, a Truth-in-Lending measure, civil rights legislation,^{and} consumer bills still before Congress.

∟ There is the President's request for an expanded manpower program to wipe out hard-core unemployment in three years.

∟ There is his request for full funding of the Model Cities program.

∟ There is his request for a tax surcharge to preserve the fiscal integrity and economic growth of this nation.

They need your help.

Let me predict right now that the American people are going to repudiate that Coalition of Retreat in 1968.

You have all seen those polls showing that now, as at the height of last summer's riots, a majority of the American people support massive programs to rebuild slums and larger public programs to meet the problem of hard-core unemployment. My mail shows the same.

↳ The War on Poverty authorization was finally passed last fall because it found strong bi-partisan public support.

↳ Twenty-two Republican mayors of major U.S. cities supported the poverty bill. Labor supported it. Every church group in this country supported it. Life Magazine editorialized in favor of full funding. Businessmen bought ads to support it.

Yes, Americans are ready to build a stronger, better, freer nation.

That is a mission for front-row fighters, not back-row cynics.

And the people -- the great, unselfish, creative people of America -- are ready to complete democracy's house. It is up to us to see that they are heard in 1968.

* * *

When it comes to foreign policy, the Coalition of Retreat is strictly non-partisan. It embraces all kinds of people with just one thing in common -- the notion that the United States can safely turn its back on this shrinking neighborhood we call the world.

There are the aid cutters. Back in Harry Truman's Marshall Plan days, when this country was half as rich as it is today, the United States devoted 2 per cent of its Gross National Product to foreign aid.

Today, in this time of rising expectations and ferment around the world, the aid-cutters have chopped our assistance effort down to less than a third of one per cent of our GNP.

There are the protectionists who want quotas to favor a few special interests in this country. They are willing to overlook the fact that retaliation abroad would cost us our overwhelmingly favorable balance of trade, throw American workers out in the street, raise prices for the consumer, and threaten the dollar.

Finally, there are those who doubt the importance of our commitment in Asia, and especially in Vietnam.

Do we have any business there?

More American lives were lost in the Pacific than in Europe during World War II. Add to that our casualties in Korea and Vietnam.

Since 1946 we have committed more than 25 billion dollars worth of loans, grants, food and technical assistance to countries in the arc between West Pakistan and Japan.

That commitment to national security, and to national

development, in Asia has been upheld by four American Presidents.

It has been upheld because they have seen it in our clear national interest that a continent at the strategic crossroads of the world...rich in resources...possessing more than half the world's people should not be nakedly exposed to Communist pressure.

It has been upheld because we as a nation have believed -- or at least I thought we did -- that peoples of all colors, races and religions deserve their chance to grow and develop free of coercion and tyranny.

What has our support for the efforts of free Asians achieved?

a
Japan is/prosperous, stable democracy.

South Korea is secure and on the verge of economic self-sufficiency.

Formosa has achieved economic self-sufficiency.

India and Pakistan have stable progressive governments. They are making substantial progress in agriculture, population control and industrial development.

Indonesia, potentially the richest nation in Southeast Asia, gained time to throw off -- for and by itself -- a flagrant attempt at a Communist coup, and is now embarking on the long road to economic development.

All the nations of Asia have joined together in new regional cooperation.

Looking ahead, we can predict that economic development will be painfully slow...but that without it there will be chaos.

We can predict that mainland China will soon emerge as a nuclear power...still preaching and supporting the dogma of the cynically-misnamed "wars of national liberation."

We can predict that Asian communism will continue for the foreseeable future to retain its militancy.

↳ We can predict that free Asian nations will continue to reach out to us for help.

↳ We can hope that our policy of containment without isolation of China will someday yield the same kind of peaceful coexistence we have now achieved with the Soviet Union.

↳ That is the broad context in which the American people must decide what they want to do about the immediate issue of Vietnam.

I know what Lyndon B. Johnson and this administration mean to do:

- persevere in our commitment;
- resist aggression;
- pursue the struggle against hunger and want as
we pursue the cause of freedom and self-determination;

-- and seek peace, as the President said on Wednesday,
"at the earliest possible moment."

That is the commitment of this Administration. I
think that is the commitment of most Americans.

↳ America's goal has always been a world without war.
That was our objective even as we fought the costliest battles
of World War II. That has been our objective through
a generation of crisis upon crisis.

↳ We are not the policemen of the world. But we have
stood guard -- with others, in Europe, in Latin America
and in Asia, in hopes of preventing a holocaust.

↳ Little over a month ago we once again helped prevent
a savage and potentially disastrous conflict in the
Mediterranean.

↳ We shall continue to stand guard, and to keep our
commitments.

I do not know how long we shall have to stand.

I claim no powers of prophecy.

But we shall stand in Vietnam until there is an honorable peace.

That is not a commitment for the fearful.

That is not a commitment for a Coalition of Retreat that sells short the courage, patience, wisdom and idealism of America.

That is a commitment for those who believe in this nation, in its peaceful purpose, and in its strength.

* * *

No, America will not shirk her tasks: Security and development at home...and security and development abroad.

But we would do well to heed the words of a great President who had to bear the burden of an even more terrible war...and who found America even more fraught with misery and frustration at home, Franklin Delano Roosevelt:

"The only limit to our realization of tomorrow will be our doubts of today. Let us move forward with strong and active faith."

#



Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.



www.mnhs.org