All copyright and right of copyright in this transcript and in this transcript and the transcript may not for the transcript and in the transcript may not for the transcript and in the transcript may not for th

CBS NEWS 2020 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 200

as broadcast over the

CBS Television Network

and the

CBS Radio Network

Sunday, August 18, 1968 - 12:30-1:00 PM EDT

GUEST: HONORABLE HUBERT H. HUMPHREY
Vice President of the United States

NEWS CORRESPONDENTS:

Martin Agronsky CBS News

David S. Broder National Political Correspondent, Washington Post

> George Herman CBS News

DIRECTOR: Robert Vitarelli

PRODUCERS: Sylvia Westerman and Prentiss Childs

7.

	å	MR.	AGRONSKY:	Mr.	Vice	Pres	ident,	does	the	intens	sifi
cation	of	enem	y military	ope	ratio	ns in	Vietn	am rul	le ou	t the	
possibi	li	ty of	a bombing	hal	t at 1	his	time?		- 5		1 pr

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, I think, Mr. Agronsky, that's something that can only be determined by the negotiators in Paris, along with consultation, of course, with the President, and under his direction. The intensification that I see so far is not what you would interpret as a major offensive.

ANNOUNCER: From CBS New York, in color, FACE THE

NATION, a spontaneous and unrehearsed news interview with Vice

President Hubert Humphrey, a candidate for the Democratic

Presidential nomination. Vice President Humphrey will be questioned by CBS News Correspondent George Herman, David Broder,

National Political Reporter for the Washington Post, and CBS

News Correspondent, Martin Agronsky.

Today's interview is brought to you by the new Parker Touche II, with the soft tip that writes loud. The Touche II, mightier than the pen.

MR. AGRONSKY: In the past couple of weeks, Mr. Vice President, you've repeatedly indicated your belief that we may be on the verge of producing some substantial advance toward peace in the Paris peace talks. Do you still believe that?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, Mr. Agronsky, the peace talks must be, I think, viewed in light of our experience in other

with it.

conferences with the Communist forces. When you take a look at the peace talks today as compared with the Korean experience at Panmunjom, the experience that we've had in Laos, I think we've made rather significant progress. I believe it's generally well accepted now that some of the earlier stages of sheer propaganda and delay and subterfuge — that that is out of the way, and that the conference is now approaching where — a point where there are real substantive matters under discussion, such as the demilitarized zone, the rate of infiltration, the troop disposition. I believe that these matters do indicate that the talks are making slow, but I think steady progress. And we

MR: BRODER: Mr. Vice President, you've had a chance now to see Senator McCarthy's proposed Vietnam plank. Is it something that you can accept, or does it involve what you have called repudiation of past policies and past sacrifices?

simply have to have the perseverence and the patience to stick

to scan through the plank as it was stated in today's press.

The fundamental difference between myself and Senator McCarthy on this plank is on the imposition of a coalition government as a precondition, so to speak, for further developments around the peace table. I cannot agree with that. Senator McCarthy feels that the central point of a peace conference -- of a successful peace conference is that we must insist on a coalition government.

D & PAU

as the beginning. Neither Senator Robert Kennedy nor myself have agreed with that position. I made note in a discussion in New York City on Saturday, before the Liberal Party in its Executive Council meeting, the statement made by Senator Robert Kennedy in his discussion with Senator McCarthy out at California just prior to the primaries, and as you may recall, at that point the Senator said that he did not favor the -- an imposed coalition government. He favored the free choice of the peoples of South Vietnam. Now, that has been my position, and I favor open and free elections that include, in South Vietnam, all groups: neutralists, the Communists, the anti-communists, all groups that are willing to accept the results of an election and engage in peaceful political processes. I believe that that's a proper position, and that's the fundamental difference that we have.

MR. HERMAN: Let me return to the military side of this platform plank and of the war in Vietnam, forgetting for a moment -- Senator McCarthy also calls, as I understand it, also calls for halting our search and destroy operations or promising that we will not further widen the war either by increasing our forces or expanding the conflict, and here I'm reading from his proposed platform plank -- and halting the bombing. Now, in the current state, you have just said that this may not -- that we don't know yet whether this is a major offensive. But in the present state of increased fighting, can you agree to such a platform plank?

7.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I think that for the

Democratic Party in convention to try to draw up military

strategy and tactics is just a little bit beyond what the American people would expect of a political party, and beyond its

capacity or ability to do. These are matters that must be left

up to -- to our Commander in Chief, to the officers of our

Government, to our military, and to our negotiators.

I would say further that what we all seek, I would hope -- at least what I seek, in order to speed up the peace talks, is a cease-fire, including stopping the bombing.

Now, a cease-fire will give us an opportunity to talk and not just to fight and talk as is going on at the present time, but for us to try to spell out, in a -- in the heat and the controversy of the Democratic convention what you call search and destroy operations, which -- by the way, many people have some doubt as to what that all means -- or to try to spell out what a military strategy ought to be, and how it ought to be applied, I really do not believe that is responsible political activity.

MR. HERMAN: Well, Mr. Vice President, let me go back to the peace strategy. If -- if you have said a cease-fire -- you've talked about a cease-fire, you've talked about a bombing pause. You've also said that there must be some sign of responsible restraint. Does the present increased fighting in Vietnam worry you in terms of this sign of response or restraint from the

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other side?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: It concerns me. I don't believe that you can give yet an accurate interpretation. What I think is most important is that, whatever we do, that we keep in mind that we have forces there in South Vietnam. can people are not going to stand for any kind of a -- of a peace arrangement, or any kind of a tactical arrangement relating to the peace discussions that leaves our men in South Vietnam at the mercy of the enemy. They're just not going to do that, and you shouldn't expect them to do that. So whatever we do, in terms of trying to move the negotiations forward, which I want to have happen very much, must call for some kind of restraint and response -- reasonable response from Hanoi. Now, what that restraint and reasonable response should be, I think should be left in the hands of our negotiators, to give them wide latitude to interpret as best they can, from the information they get from the field, from the information that they're able to have from intercepts of enemy -- of enemy orders or enemy information -- to let those negotiators have that latitude and that degree of flexibility. I don't believe the Democratic Platform Committee ought to be engaged in the business of trying to be Commander in Chief and general staff of our forces in South Vietnam.

MR. AGRONSKY: Mr. Vice President, leaving out that the Democratic Platform Committee should be acting as a general

staff or making that kind of military interpretation, our Secretary of State, who is not a military man, recently said that a halt in the bombing -- that -- would be conditioned on a pledge of reciprocal decline in military activity by North Vietnam.

Now, somebody has to make that evaluation --

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: That is correct.

MR. AGRONSKY: -- has to make it.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I think we elect people to our Government to make that evaluation, and we have negotiators that are competent and able and experienced and tried and tested, and they are there with more information at their command about the actual situation that prevails in Vietnam than any Platform Committee or any person that's seeking public office or anyone that's trying to write some kind of a statement that sort of covers all bases on Vietnam.

MR. AGRONSKY: Mr. Vice President, one of the reasons, really, I think you would concede, that Senator McCarthy became a candidate for the Presidency and Senator McGovern is now a candidate for the Presidency, that there is so much division in the country on Vietnam, is a widespread feeling in the country that the military is dragging its feet on interpreting, say, halts in enemy activity in Vietnam, and generally a feeling that we are not making the interpretation as quickly as we should make it.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: The military, Mr. Agronsky,

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is not making the interpretation. The interpretation and the policy relating to the struggle is being made by civilian officers of this Government, and by, as I've said, men that I consider to be as responsible and as peace-like, and as "dove"-like, if I may use the term, as any that I can think of: Ambassador Harriman and Ambassador Vance. These are our two leading negotiators.

And I want to make it crystal clear. Of course, the gentlemen that you've mentioned, Senator McCarthy and Senator McGovern, entered the campaign because of strong feelings about the struggle in Vietnam. We respect them for that. My point was that when you get into search and destroy operations, and when you get into the degree of escalation or de-escalation of certain military forces of the United States in South Vietnam, I think you're over on details and tactics. What I think is much more important for us to consider is how do we best obtain a forward movement in the peace talks. And some have said an immediate cessation of the bombing. I have said that we should have an immediate cease-fire on both sides, including the bombing of North Vietnam. And I have further said that we ought to look for some evidence of some restraint and some reasonable response from North Vietnam. Why? Because we have hundreds and thousands of American men just south of the demilitarized zone. We have over 500,000 men in South Vietnam. Now, no responsible official of this Government can look upon those men as -- without

4.

7.

And I, for one, am not going to be around passing out formulas for what we call easy answers to peace talks at the expense of the half a million men that we have in South Vietnam. I want --

MR. HERMAN: Are we still in forward movement on the peace talks?

MR. HUMPHREY: Yes, I think we are. I think that's the most encouraging part about it. I do believe that we're actually still in slow, steady progress in the peace talks in Paris, and I do not want us to see -- I do not want the Democratic Party in convention to do anything that will give Hanoi the feeling that if they just stall, that something's going to change here, and that they're going to get an easier package out of it. I think we ought to be able to come out of that convention with the express desire of peace as early as it's possible to obtain it, a political settlement, not necessarily an unconditional military victory, which we have not sought, but that we ought to come out of there with the desire to see South Vietnam be able to have self-determination and we to be able to proceed systematically to remove our forces from that area of the world.

MR. AGRONSKY: Excuse me, gentlemen. We must interrupt here for a moment. We'll continue the interview with the Vice President in a moment.

MR. AGRONSKY: Mr. Vice President, as you know, there's

2.

great interest in the country about a televised debate between you and Senator McCarthy, which you accepted to conduct on Friday night. What would you think of adding Senator McGovern and Governor Maddox to that debate?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, it would sort of be like a four-man "wrestling" contest, it appears to me. No. Frankly I don't think that's very sensible.

MR. BRODER: Mr. Vice President, if we go back to the platform for just one more moment. Senator McGovern says that he thinks you could accept almost anything in the way of forward proposals as long as they did not involve a repudiation of the past policies. Is that a fair statement of your position?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, Senator McGovern is a man that I believe has some perspective about tomorrow, and I am primarily interested in the forward proposals. I'm interested in learning — trying to learn some lessons, or hopefully understanding some of the lessons that we've learned out of the experience in Southeast Asia. It seems to me that a Democratic platform that looks to the future, that tries to chart essential basic principles of an honorable peace in Southeast Asia, that lists out what we might consider to be appropriate guidelines for future American involvement or activity around the world, would be a very constructive measure.

MR. BRODER: Are you willing to say that you will run

. 9

on whatever platform the Democratic convention accepts, or are there some conditions under which you could not go to the country and say, "This is the policy that I will defend in this campaign"?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, there are many issues that will come into a platform if the Democratic -
MR. BRODER: Let's take it specifically, Vietnam.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, that's one issue, and, of course, that's not the whole issue, but I can't imagine the Democratic Party repudiating its President or the three previous Presidents of the United States on Vietnam. I think the Democratic Party will take a forward look. I think that Senator McGovern has put his finger on what the Party may very well do: it will recognize what has already happened, it will take into consideration the peace talks that are now under way at Paris, which I consider a very singularly new, important dimension in the whole Southeast Asia situation, and, from there on, it will continue to build. I can run on that kind of a platform.

MR. AGRONSKY: Why do you think it so wrong to make a statement that perhaps we did follow a wrong policy in Vietnam?
Why would you find that so completely unacceptable?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Because I don't think we did follow a wrong policy.

MR. AGRONSKY: Yes.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I think what we did, while it was distasteful and while it was difficult, and while it was

7.

. 9

25.

costly, was a policy that, in the view of the best that we
the best leadership that we had, particularly at that time
there seemed to be an appropriate policy not an easy policy
out basically a sound policy. No one could have foreseen, Mr.
Agronsky, the dimension of I mean, the extent of this war or
the degree of involvement.

MR. HERMAN: You expressed some sort of humorous contempt for finding yourself in a four-way wrestling match.

Aren't you in one?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes, but why put it on television?

MR. HERMAN: Well, it seems likely to show up at the convention. What has been the impact, if any, of Governor Maddox's entrance on your strategy?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: None whatsoever, no impact by Governor Maddox's entrance. He spoke for himself, we knew what he would say, we know what his point of view is and what his --

MR. HERMAN: Do you know what his delegates will be?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: -- what his standards are.

I'm not sure what his delegate strength would be, but I would think that whatever delegate strength he was able to obtain I most likely wouldn't have had anyway.

MR. AGRONSKY: Would you feel that the entrance of Senator McGovern in the race helps you or hurts you in the

6.

7.

nomination?

WICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I don't think one can really measure that, and I've thought about this. He entered without any knowledge on my part. In fact, my information about it was a telegram that I received from him. I must say that he tried to call me by telephone, but I was in route and didn't get the telephone message. I -- I tend to feel that what happens here is that some of the uncommitted delegates that were at the point -- at this stage of the game not quite sure where they'd go, have another look at another candidate. I really don't believe it's going to hurt me. As a matter of fact, it might take a few away from Senator McCarthy. That candidacy might take a few away from Senator McCarthy.

MR. AGRONSKY: I wonder, Mr. Vice President, whether Senator McGovern, who has represented himself as carrying on in the ideals of the late Senator Kennedy, might be able to provide a bridge to the disaffected pro-Kennedy people of the Democratic Party that you might usefully use.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I'd consider that Senator

McGovern's candidacy is a wholesome and healthy thing. The

Senator is a kind man, he's an intelligent man, you know that

I consider him a warm, personal friend. I do believe that it's

entirely possible that his entrance here, knowing of his loyalty

to the Party, can be a great help after the nomination has been

made, and I believe that you will find him working for the nominate

of the Party, as he said he would.

MR. AGRONSKY: Would you regard him as a -- possibly working for you as a Vice Presidential running mate?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, I've never made any statement as to whom I thought would be the best Vice Presidential running mate, but I have a high regard for both of these Senators. It's common knowledge, and one doesn't rule out any possibility.

MR. BRODER: You said, or you quoted this morning as saying that you have ruled out people that you describe as being a reactionary or a conservative or an unknown. Doesn't that fairly well limit your field to just a few Northern Liberals like yourself?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Not at all. I think there's a whole new group of leaders that are arising in the South, and of course in other parts of America. One of the factors that changed in American politics is the great change that has taken place in what I call the "New South", that Mr. Nixon failed to understand or to see. There are men like the Governor of South Carolina, there are men all over. There is Terry Sanford, the former Governor of North Carolina. Why, there are governors -- I saw a list of potentials that the State Chairmen of Southern states had listed. They were all very fine and good men.

MR. BRODER: Do you consider Governor Connolly of Texas a conservative, and therefore out of the running?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I consider him a man
that's in the middle of the political stream. I don't consider
him out of the running. He hasn't put himself in the running.
Let me put it this way: I think there are a number of good
men that represent forward looking policies in the South and
throughout America. I think the great tragedy today in American
politics is the tendency on the part of some who call themselves

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Liberals, and I'm one of the Liberals, the tendency of some of those to try to rule out people from the areas of the South. That's a kind of discrimination which I think is almost as bad as racial discrimination. Regional discrimination has no place in American life.

Now, I don't have any idea as to, at this stage of the game, as to whom we're going to select. I've looked over a number of men. If I'm privileged to be the nominee of the Party, I've obviously thought about a number of them. But, I look over the South and I see a whole group of leaders coming out of that part of America. And why we should brand the South as reactionary is beyond me. As a matter of fact, they've been doing more in the field of education, they've made great -than most areas of the country; they've done great things in the field of industrialization; the development of the trade union movement; the improvement in the field of human relations. There are vast areas of growth in that part of America that I honor, and I don't want to have it appear that their leadership, that new leadership, is unwanted in the Democratic Party or unworthy of our respect or confidence.

MR. HEPMAN: Also coming out of the South is former Governor George Wallace. Now, you've been talking at some length about new Southern leaders, but how are you going to do in the South in a three-way race with Nixon-Agnew, George Wallace and you and your running mate?

6.

7.

better than I had anticipated a month ago. I believe that the new leadership of the South, in the sense now that both Mr.

Nixon and Mr. Wallace are in contest in the South, is going to give the Democratic nominee of the Party, particularly if I'm that nominee, a good share of the vote. And while not a majority in these states, an adequate plurality to pick up some electoral votes. I feel much better about it now than I did before Miami.

MR. BRODER: But you're -- I take it then that you're not really going to compete for those Wallace votes. You're going to try to soak up all the other vote in the South. Is that it?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I cannot out-Wallace Wallace, and I cannot out-Nixon old Nixon, and I don't think the new Nixon is operative in the South. I think that's a part of the personality that's operative other parts of the nation.

MR. AGRONSKY: You feel then, that Mr. Nixon made a mistake in choosing Mr. Agnew? You have said that it was dictated to some extent by Senator Strom Thurmond: Do you think that?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I won't call it a mistake.

I just simply say that he made his own calculation as to what
he thought was the mood of the country and how he thought best
he might strengthen his position in the South. My point is

that Mr. Nixon looked at the South, and as I've said to one other group, he saw the midnight of the South and I've seen the dawn, the new South. He saw the midnight of the old South: Strom Thurmond is a man in his own right; he's a strong, powerful Senator from South Carolina; he led the Dixiecrat walkout in 1948. That was not a walk forward; that was a walk backwards. And when Mr. Nixon decided that he had to make his peace with Strom Thurmond, Senator Thurmond, and that Senator Thurmond should be, in a sense, his spokesman with the Southern delegations, that was Mr. Nixon's judgment as to what he needed to carry a certain number of states.

I believe that that judgment was in error; I believe that it leaves me the opportunity to pursue a much more progressive policy and a stronger policy, a forward looking movement in the South. And I think the South is ready for it. I think one of the great hopes in America today is the resurgence of a sense of sensible, constructive progressivism in the South. And I'm going to join it and help it.

MR. HERMAN: How seriously do you take the threat of Negro or black abstention in the November election?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I don't take it that seriously, as seriously as it's been printed. As a matter of fact, I'm confident, from every public opinion survey I've seen that we'll do very well, that I personally will do very well in the black community. I believe I should. I've been

1.5

a spokesman for human rights and civil rights and all it means all of my life, and I expect to get a very large vote out of the black community, and I want to earn it and merit it.

MR. HERMAN: Are you going to choose a running mate who will be acceptable, or better than acceptable to the Black community?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: We'll have a running mate that's acceptable to all Americans.

MR. BRODER: I'm curious, Mr. Vice President, as to

-- as the Administration candidate, whether you've been able

to find out more than we have about what is going to happen in

Chicago in terms of schedule, arrangements and so on. Did they

tell you what's going to go on at this convention?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: My contact about the convention in Chicago is Mr. John Bailey. I think you know, Mr. Broder, that, despite all the charges that the President is in charge of the convention, that I've found no evidence that indicates that at all. As a matter of fact, Mr. Bailey has made it crystal clear to me that he's in charge. We've been able to get our floor space, our rooms, we've gotten some few telephones. I must say that the principle of neutrality is being adhered to here with almost deep religious conviction. As a matter of fact, I told one of my friends in Senator McCarthy's group that I thought they'd gotten the best of it on the hotel rooms: they got eighteen more rooms than we did.

MR. AGRONSKY: Mr. Vice President, will President

Johnson put your name in nomination?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I have no idea that he will do so.

MR. AGRONSKY: Have you not spoken to him about it?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: No, I haven't, sir. And I have no such plans.

MR. AGRONSKY: Where do you stand on the credentials fight, on the Mississippi Freedom Party and the regular party? Who will you support?

expression of support, because I've been deeply involved with what we call the Loyalist Group in Mississippi, not just of recent date, but over the years, because I think it truly represents the -- the more forward and liberal approach in the State of Mississippi. I've expressed my personal support for that group. The Credentials Committee is under the Chairmanship, as you know, of Governor Hughes of New Jersey. He's a judicious and fair man, and highly respected, and I want to say that I was one of the -- well, I helped prepare what we call the Civil Rights Act of 1964 for the Democratic Party: the open party program that provides that there must be representation in all the different Democratic Parties -- equal representation or fair representation, I should say, of the community.

MR: BRODER: A one-ballot convention, Mr. Vice President

25

	. 20
1	VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I hope so. I think so.
2	MR. AGRONSKY: For you on the first ballot?
3	VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I think so. I hope so.
4	MR. AGRONSKY: Thank you very much, Mr. Vice President.
5	-I regret we have run out of time.
- 6	A word about next week's guest in a moment.
7-	
8	ANNOUNCER: Today on FACE THE NATION, Vice President
. 9	Hubert Humphrey was interviewed by CBS News Correspondent George
10	Herman, David Broder, National Political Reporter of The Washington
11	Post. CBS News Correspondent Martin Agronsky led the question-
12	ing.
13	Next week, on the eve of the Democratic National Con-
14	vention, in Chicago, Senator Eugene McCarthy of Minnesota, a
15	candidate for the Democratic Presidential nomination, will FACE
16	THE NATION.
17	FACE THE NATION originated, in color, from CBS New
18	York.
-19	
. 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
1	COST 1

Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

