Speech Of
VICE PRESIDENT HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

San Jacinto Room
Rice Hotel
Houston, Texas

September 10, 1968

Campaign Kick off

MAYOR LOUIE WELCH: I want to express our regret that the hall isn't as big as the crowd. If you are going to miss anything, you better miss it now because the Vice President is going to speak in a minute.

We appreciate the cooperation of the Fire Marshal's Office in opening up to let another hundred in the very rear.

We are honored greatly tonight in having with us an outstanding American, an outstanding Citizen, and I might add, at one time an outstanding Mayor of a major city in America.

I first met our guest in 1958 in an elevator, at a Mayors's Conference in California, and I have been seeing him at Mayors Conferences constantly since that time, even part of the time when I was not Mayor; a man who, as a part of his assignment as Vice President of the United States, has been given the task of working with the Mayors of America's great cities in solving the problems that are so pressing in a rapidly urbanizing society; a man who has compassion, knowledge and experience, who said, "It is not enough just to analyze the problem; a solution must be found."

It has been my pleasure as a member

of the Executive Conference of the U. S.

Conference of Mayors and as the Mayor of the

National League of Cities, to work with the

Vice President on manyooccasions.

You came here tonight to listen to him and not to me. It is my great pleasure to present to you a man chosen by another great

Texan, Lyndon B. Johnson, as the man he felt best qualified in 1964 to succeed him in the event anything should happen.

The Vice President of the United States, the Hon. Hubert H. Humphrey.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Thank you very much. Thank you. Thank you, Mayor Welch.

Thank you, Mr. Mayor, for arranging with the Fire Commissioner so that we could get a few more good souls from Houston and other parts of Texas in to this fine hall this evening, this fine banquet room.

I can barely see to the very end, but it seems like it is jam-packed full, and might I say that is a good way to have it.

Mayor Welch, I have just left a wonderful Texan and a very fine public servant

who has been visiting with me earlier this evening, the Speaker of your House of Representatives, Ben Barnes.

I am singularly honored tonight to have here at this platform with me two of the most dedicated, two of the most conscientious, forward-looking members of the House of Representatives, two men that you know from this District and this area, I should say, Congressman Bob Casey and Congressman Bob Eckhardt.

And I note also, and I am sure you do, that the Chairman of the Democratic State Central Committee, the Chairman of the Party in this state who has surely given a splendid account of himself in building this party, Will Davis, and I am very honored that you are with us.

Now, I am going to get right down to business, because this is an evening of not just speechmaking on my part, but of questions and answers of what I call the politics of contact and confrontation, where I go out to the public as a man that seeks the highest office within the government of the American people and submit myself to the privilege of hearing the questions

from the constituents and the citizens and,
hopefully, to be able to present an intelligent
and responsive answer.

I said, when I sought this nomination, the nomination of my Party, that I wanted to be able to talk to the American people about the realities that confront us, the realities of the world in which we live, torn, dangerous, and yet very promising; the realities of the America in which we live, richer, stronger than ever before, and yet, today, a scene of the conflict and tension of deep disturbances, ferments and change.

I also said that I would, if permitted to be the standardbearer of our Party, see to it that we had as a Vice President running mate, not someone that was the product of compromise or arrangement, but, rather, someone, by his talent and his skills, his character and his experience, his background and his maturity, who was thoroughly capable of occupying the highest office of this land, namely, the Presidency. And I have had a hand in selecting that sort of person. I suggested to the Democratic Convention that they nominate and give me the privilege of having as my

running mate one of the finest of the United

States Senate, a Governor of two terms, a

Senator of two terms, a man who has a reputation

for personal integrity and political foresight,

and the man's name is Edmund Muskie, Senator

from Maine.

Senator Muskie started his campaign here in the Lone StartStar State of Texas, and if you have not read into that what you should, let me spell it out.

The ticket of Humphrey and Muskie, the Democratic ticket, intends to carry the State of Texas and have the electoral votes of this state, and we intend to rely on you, the people from every walk of life, of every race, creed and color, Catholic, Protestant, and Jew, Regular Democrat, Conservative Democrat, concerned Democrat, independent citizens, a lot of Republicans that can't take what has been offered on their ticket.

We intend to have you carry this

fight. I am going to give it everything I have,
but I am going to ask you to give a full measure

of devotion to this cause, as well, and I say
that tonight starts the victory march from Texas

to the White House and we are going to do it.

I know all the headlines. I know all the stories that have been written. But I want to tell you the greatest story of this, as far as this election is concerned, will be unity behind the Democratic ticket and—mark it down—tonight we are going to march together, Governor, Senator, every one of us.

I thought we ought to dispense with those matters early.

Now, let me talk about some of the substantive matters.

11

We are going to make a great decision in this country. Every election is a great decision. There has never been one that wasn't, but I think the times that we live in indicate that the decision that we make in 1968, November, will have a great bearing upon the future of this land.

A little over a hundred years ago, a hundred and fifty, to be exact, Abraham Lincoln proclaimed the Emancipation Proclamation. We had to wait almost a hundred years before we could translate that Proclamation into legal reality, and now we are in the business of translating it

into practical reality.

I am not merely talking about the emancipation of the slaves or the black. I am talking about the emancipation of the deprived in this country, white and black, and let it be quite clear—let it be not only quite clear, but very clear that there are more poor whites than blacks, more poor rural Americans than urban, that slummism is not just a characteristic of just the cities, but sometimes of the countryside.

We are not talking about a race, we are not talking about a color tonight, Ladies and Gentlemen; we are talking about Americans, every kind of an American. And it is the many kinds of Americans that inhabit this state, because Texas is like a little United Nations within itself. It is these many kinds of Americans that make up the vitality, the vibrancy, the strength, the great power of this nation, and I intend, if I am permitted to be the President of this land, to mobilize the resources of the yet untapped human resources of the millions of Americans that have never had a chance.

I think that America has just begun to live. I think that our better days are

25

yet to come. I think that our better days are yet to come. I know that there are always the cynics that say, "Well, why does he say that?"

Or, "Does he mean it?"

But I remember in my young days when people said, in the Depression, that our system was through. I remember there were those that were the great intellectuals of our day that said we never again would be able to give vibrancy and vitality and drive to our free enterprise system. I didn't believe it then and I don't believe it now.

There have always been the doubters.

There have always been the purveyors of fear and suspicion. They get a little more attention than the regular people. They get a little more attention than the people who are the advocates.

They get a little more attention than the people who are positive. It is always the man who spreads the doom and the gloom who is called the prophet; but the greatest prophets were those who saw a better day, not those that saw the end, but those who saw the beginning.

And, Ladies and Gentlemen, I don't claim to be a prophet, but I claim to have some

to this audience, particularly to the young people, our greatest is yet to come. Our best days are yet to live. The future belongs to us and we intend to use it and to develop it. We intend to set new standards for this nation, the likes of which it has never known, and I ask you to help me.

I don't believe that the American people are going to elect a candidate who likes to parry over our problems or gives generalizations to specific deeds.

I place my confidence in the people and I will tell you why: Because I am of the people, not separated from them, but from them, and I believe that I know that this country has within it tremendous resources, tremendous resources of good, to do whatever needs to be done.

I have asked my fellow citizens to have confidence in me. That is a great request, but I ask that because I have confidence in them, confidence in their ability to know that when they are being sold a bill of goods, and, believe me, some of them are being sold a bill of goods

and I have confidence in the people's understanding that he who buries his head in the sand and sets his face against the wind of inevitable changes can never stop that change, but he will be buried by the sandstorm of Time as it passes him by.

I believe that there are those who would bury their head in the sand and I have confidence in the good will of the American people, in their good judgment, in their common sense, and like Adlai Stevenson before me, I intend to talk sense to the American people.

I have great confidence in the uncommon decency that characterizes this nation. In short, as I have said, I trust the people and it is because I trust the great, unfrightened, and sometimes silent majority of Americans, that I choose to speak, not to their fears, but to their hopes.

Now, let me say a word about this younger generation. It is because I trust this new generation of Americans, this young generation that seems to get so much attention, that I view the occasional excesses and the poor

judgment of a few of the young in its proper perspective, and I sense that it is relatively less significant than the decent, energetic and wholesome and creative force which this young generation represents; potentially, the most promising generation that this country has ever produced.

My Presidency will be characterized by the open door of the White House to the young. That place will sing with the music of the young. Their voices will be heard, their advice and counsel will be sought, because my public life has been a life of association with young men and women, young in spirit, many young in age, but very young in their hopes and their desires, and it is because I trust this process of democratic decisionmaking that I do not fear, but, indeed, I welcome the free and the frank debate about the issues of our time, which characterize the sometimes troublesome, but fundamentally healthy development within the Democratic Party during the past several months.

I would rather have our confusion and our arguments than to have the boredom of Republicanism. I gather you feel exactly the

same way.

I said to a friend the other day,

"America has many firsts." We do many things and

we do them in a big way, but it is the first time

we have ever had a national wake before the

political demise of a candidate.

I have also told you some things about my sense of values and I want to represent them to you tonight so that you may know your candidate and you may know what I believe and why I think winning the Presidency so important.

To be sure, no greater honor or greater responsibility could come to anyone, but winning the Presidency is not worth the price of silence or evasion, and the most fundamental and precious issue of our time is the issue of human rights and human dignity and equal opportunity.

I have not compromised on this issue for twenty years in my public life and I have no intention of starting it at this late date.

Winning the Presidency is not worth
a compact with extremism, the extremism of the
Left or the Right, the extremism of the militants
of the black or the white. Winning the
Presidency is not a popularity contest. Winning

the Presidency should be measured on principle, not popularity.

Well, it is because I believe these things that I seek that responsibility and that I am prepared to accept the burden that this office imposes.

I believe, through years of experience, the maturity that comes with the experience, that I am ready to lead our nation toward the new day which awaits each and every one of us.

Now, there are three great realities of our time: The necessity of peace in the world, the necessity for justice and order in our nation, and the paramount necessity of unity among our people.

And during this campaign I will continue to speak out, as I have in the past, on each of these challenges and I choose to run and run proudly—and I want every member now of the media to get this, and every person in this audience—I choose to run and run proudly on the Democratic Party's achievements throughout our opportunity to govern this nation, and particularly during these last eight years,

achievements which include literally landmarks of excellence, great achievements.

tive growth, Ladies and Gentlemen, this has never happened in the recorded history of mankind. You take it for granted because some of us have never experienced a Depression or Recession. Those of us that have appreciate it just as a man that has been ill appreciates good health.

An achievement of three thousand dollars more real income each year for the average family of four than in 1961. To a rich man that may not mean much, but to many of us it means a new car, it means a college education for a son or a daughter, or it means a vacation or a trip. It means new furniture. It means new things. It may mean just security.

The achievement of Medicare, which
for the first time has permitted the senior
citizens of this land, those who have given of
their best during the better years of their
lives, to live in dignity and having the blessing
of modern medicine and modern hospitalization.

The achievement of Project Head

Start. Mrs. Humphrey visited a project at Los Angeles today. I hope we are not so cynical, my fellow Americans, that we no longer care about the little ones. I think you can judge the morality of a society by two groups—three groups, the childern and what you do with the children, the handicapped and how you treat them, and the elderly.

Our Scriptures alone tell us they
deserve our respect, and I am happy to tell you
that I have been a part of an Administration that
has cared for the children as no other Administraion in the history of this Republic, cared
for the handicapped as no other Administration
in this Republic, and cared for the elderly as
no other government and surely no other
Administration in this Republic; more senior
citizens housing built in four years than in the
preceding two hundred.

And I point with some justifiable pride to the achievement of a government that saw that over one million young Americans had their chance to go to higher education and college with public support, with scholarships and loans.

Over nine million of our youngsters

who were the victims of the schools that were called separate and equal, but that were just separate, nine million of them, the beneficiaries of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, the greatest education program that this country has ever known.

And the ten million of our fellow

Americans who have walked out of the darkness of
poverty into the bright sunlight of opportunity,
ten million Americans in the last seven years
that have come out of poverty, and of those ten
million over three and a half million black, who
for the first time in their life have had a
chance to stand as you and I, citizens of this
land.

There is much more that we could talk of and a greater record that I shall outline in the days ahead, but let me make it clear
I do not come here to apologize for the Democratic Party or Democratic President. I come
here as one that was nurtured in the days of
Franklin Roosevelt, that was inspired by the
courageous leadership of Harry Truman, whose
soul and mind was made the better by the great
spirit of Adlai Stevenson, and who has had the

Democratic Party and one of the leaders in the Congress of the United States under two Presidents that got this country moving again and lifted it to new heights, John Kennedy and Lyndon Johnson.

So I lay down the gauntlet here tonight. We will put our record against Mr.

Nixon's twenty-four hours a day, three hundred and sixty-five days a year. I intend to be judged on my record as compared to his. I think the American people will make the right decision.

I have no doubt about it. I do not think the American people are ready to turn this country over to Mr. Richard Milhaus Nixon and Strom Thurmond.

So for those of you that were wondering when will yourffriend, Hubert Humphrey, lay it on the line, we are laying it on the line tonight and we will lay it on the line every night from here on out.

Yes, I am proud to have played some little role in some of these achievements which I have noted here this evening, but I want you to know that I am not content with that. I am

.

25

not one that believes in reciting the pages of history.

I ask you to help us make new history and I ask you to help build on the record that we have already established, to build better, to build broader, to build more meaningfully, and I am going to do so with the determination not to narrow the road to peace and justice by either the mistakes of the formulas of the past--and everybody has made some mistakes -- and not to limit our achievements by the weight of the past, and I shall do so with the certain objectives in mind, and these are my objectives: To end the war in Vietnam. to stop and put a halt to the rising tide of lawlessness in our cities, to insure a meaningful job for every citizen willing to work, and to guarantee a first-class education for every child born in this land.

In other words, to provide a life of meaning and dignity and purpose for every person and I shall do so with the humility born of the knowledge that no man can hope to advance the unfinished work of our society without the help of his God and the support of his people.

I intend to work hard enough to merit that support, to earn that support, and I come here tonight in the great State of Texas to ask you to be in the vanguard of this leadership for the new day that America needs and deserves.

Now, I have spoken to you from my heart and I have spoken to you as I see it, or, as the young friends say, I have told it like it is, said it like it is.

Now, let's hear from you. Question number one.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Vice
President, today President Johnson obviously-VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Will

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Richard Dobbins, newswriter, KIKK Radio.

you please identify yourself?

Today President Johnson obviously contradicted what you said yesterday, when you said that if elected, that you would attempt to bring some troops home as early as early 1969 or late 1968. The President said no one could ever tell.

Why did President Johnson deliberately contradict you and why has he not endorsed you

for the Presidency?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: The

question was that I had indicated that some

troops might be able to be brought home from

South Vietnam, American troops, in early 1969

or, hopefully, in late 1968.

The gentleman says that the President today stated that no man could make that prediction. Is that right?

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: That is correct.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: And the second part of the question was why hasn't the President endorsed me.

Well, first of all, would somebody bring me the morning newspaper, because one of the Marine Divisions is on its way home.

Give me your paper there.

I had it up in my room there and I
was showing my staff. They are a bunch of
doubting Thomases just like you fellows.

It is the Post. There is a statement, it is the headline in one of your newspapers.
I regret, in the haste to come here-- I have
twenty staff men here. Would somebody please run

and get it?

They have heard me speak enough; they
don't need to hear me again.

There is a story that some of the

Marines that have been sent to Vietnam, American

Marines, are now to be returned. I don't know

what the other statement is about.

I said that I had hoped that this could happen and my hope--at least, it has been indicated as a fact in the press--now, I always believe what I read in the papers.

Now, the second thing is--the second question was: Why hasn't the President endorsed me?

The President has not only endorsed me, he has embraced me. I don't think there is a shadow of a doubt in the mind of anyone that the President of the United States would like very much to see the Vice President of the United States to be elected President of the United States. He has said that repeatedly.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Could you comment on the feasibility of a national primary by 1972, and comment on the Conventions, as to what you think of how they work in this

country today?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I do believe
that our Convention system is in need of a very,
very in-depth study and analysis as to whether
or not it really meets the modern needs of what
we call our modern politics.

Senator Gaylord Nielson, the State
of Wisconsin, has introduced legislation for the
establishment of a high-level commission for
both public and private members, to examine the
Convention party system as to its adequacies and
inadequacies.

May I just--this is the Houston Post.

It is dated Tuesday, September 10. It says:

"Humphrey arrives tonight at 6:30." I am sorry

I was a little late.

"Marine regiment withdrawal is first for the United States," a UPI story.
"Spokesman said it was the first withdrawal of an American troop unit from the Vietnam War."

It is a rather extended story. I
think that it verifies what I had hoped. I
didn't say that it would happen. Who can
reflect what will happen? One can express his
hopes and I had said earlier that I thought it

could happen and that it might happen and that
I hoped it would happen.

And if this is a true story—and I gather it would be or it wouldn't occupy such a prominent position in this press—I would say that we have some reason to be at least a little grateful for the return of some of the men from that part of the world.

Now, may I get back to your question, sir.

The commission of Senator Nielson is needed.

Secondly, I have long supported, and did so when the late Senator Estes Kefauver favored a national primary. I recognize that it has its inadequacies and its adequacies, but on balancing it, it has more to offer to it than it has limitations. I do believe that the time is at hand for the revaluation and study of the total Convention process, and also I know that our Party at Chicago, over the din outside the Convention, made some very forward strides in terms of modernizing the caucus machinery, the Convention machinery of the Democratic Party.

This is something that our fellow

Republicans did not do.

Yes? It is very hard for me to see over the lights.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Vice
President, can you tell me what particularly you
know about the poverty in the United States,
particularly in Texas and the Rio Grande Valley?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, I

know--

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Firsthand.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I know

primarily, my dear lady, what I have read, and I
know primarily what I have been told by some of
those who live there.

I do not claim to be an expert about the poverty in Texas. I can tell you something about the poverty in Minnesota, and I know about the poverty in our great metropolitan areas, but I think it would be a reasonably factual statement to say that there is not a state in this land that does not have within it the poor people.

I do not say this is a part of any premeditated conspiracy on the part of any leadership. It is—the poor have been with us

since the beginning of organized society, but I
think for the first time we have a chance to do
something about at least alleviating some of
the conditions of poverty.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Do you intend to do that?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes, my

dear lady, I have been in the forefront of that

fight all of my public life. I have been one of

those that has worked for those programs, public

and private, and I don't think they can all be

done by government, public and private, that

would help alleviate these conditions.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Vice
President, a recent issue of Life Magazine
quoted you as saying that you could have lived
with the minority platform on Vietnam.

Under what conditions would you have favored a conditional retreat in Vietnam?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Let me make it clear that any Presidential candidate will find features in the platform that he doesn't particularly like, even though he accepts the nomination of his Party. It has happened before. And I supported, and still do

support the majority plank in the platform.

I don't say that it is a perfect plank, but I think it represents a rather sensible point of view.

I thought that the minority plank, when it calls for unconditional cessation of the bombing, I thought that went too far. But I also noted that it did not call for unconditional immediate bombing and there was a certain amount of fusing of the words.

Now, let me again repeat to you that I said before the Convention that I would support the nominee of my Party, and if that had been my colleague from Minnesota, Senator McCarthy, then I would have gladly supported him. If it had been my friend, Senator McGovern from South Dakota, who was my neighbor for more than ten years, I would have glady supported him.

We agreed on the rhetoric of the platform, but I happen to believe that if either one of the had become President, that the realities of life, that the hard, cold realities of the situation would have had a much more controlling influence upon their decisions than a printed word of the platform that was fought

over in Convention, and that is why I have said in my acceptance speech that I would not feel bound by the policies of the past in confronting the realities of the future.

Let it be very clear that all three of us that I have mentioned, Senator McCarthy, and Senator McGovern, and myself, had one common objective, the attainment of a just and honorable peace in Vietnam. But I might add that this was more than some people were able to comprehend. None of us recommended unilateral withdrawal. All of us felt that it would be desirable to have a cease fire. All of us said that we should have a systematic withdrawal of the forces, as the Army of South Vietnam was able to take over. All of us said that there should be no permanent bases in South Vietnam. All three of us blended ourselves to the concept of free elections, one man, one vote; that all persons should participate in those elections and be eligible for office, if they would accept the rules of a peaceful election and renounce violence of obtaining political power.

And there were the points of agreement, and some of those points were in the minority plank, as they were in the majority plank.

The difference was that one said unconditional cessation of the bombing, and then went on to state, of course, we must protect our troops in the South.

I cannot imagine any man being

President of the United States that would take
a precipitous action unless he thought it would

lend itself to peace and unless he thought he

was protecting the lives of American men that

were committed to battle; I don't care what

your platform is.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Are you saying, then, sir, that you were misquoted by Life Magazine?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I am not saying I was misquoted, at all. I am simply saying what my position is. It has been stated about three hundred times now.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Do you favor replacing the Army with a well-paid, high efficient Army, like Adlai Stevenson did?

WICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I favor the lottery system or the random selection

system, rather than the present system.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. President,
you have said that the post-Vietnam budget will
contain an adequate amount for the rehabilitation of the ghettos. Do you think that this
money will be retained for the rehabilitation
of the ghettos? Can you expound on this?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPRHEY: One of reasons that I have been talking about it is that we are going to have to build a public opinion for it, mainly in others, that the savings which come as a dividend of peace will be directed toward the great social needs of this nation.

I served in Congress when the war in Korea was over, and that was a very costly war. It took a larger portion of the budget and it took many lives. And what happened when the war was over, there were poor, more than there are now; slums, as filthy as they are now. There were illiterate, more than now. There were social problems of unemployment after the war.

And what happened to the savings after the war was over? The savings from the war went into tax reductions and you had three

Recessions in eight years and no real development in this nation.

note that because of the fact that so many black people have been hired by private industries as extras for the war boom, wouldn't you say that this would throw off more black people and create more riots than if you continue the war economy as it is? Wouldn't this cause more riots and more funds would be needed for riot control?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: May I say that the economy, over the last ninety months, has continued to grow at a growth rate never known before, four and a half per cent. And it is my view that if we can keep this economy going forward on a sensible base, with adequate fiscal policies, that we will have jobs——I don't think there is any doubt at all but that we will have jobs.

There is a tremendous need in this country, and all we need to have is what I call those peace dividends, namely, those resources that we can save when the war is over, to be put into the public sector in our urban programs and in our schools and training programs. There

are such tremendous needs, we don't need to look forward to any rise in unemployment.

What we ought to look forward to is an opportunity to start building this nation anew.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Voice

President, I don't happen to be a citizen of the great State of Texas. I am a citizen of Georgia and my name is Clarence Hubert Snipes.

I have only one question to direct to you, sir. No one could debate that Kennedy beat Nixon strictly from the TV debates.

You have shown great sincerity in your voice and mannerisms tonight and I am tremendously impressed and I am not trying to flatter you, and I am sure that this crowd and everyone in America would like to see you and Nixon go ahead and talk.

Now, how about it?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: My good friend, this is a recommendation that I have made myself. I want you to know that I am in shape and ready to go.

You know, since you have asked this question and been so kind in your remarks, I

think you ought to recollect that in 1960 I gave

Mr. Kennedy his spring training. You know, I

never had the slightest doubt as to how that

election was going to come out because I knew

if I couldn't beat Mr. Kennedy, I didn't think

Mr. Nixon could, and I feel the same way now.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Vice

President, your opposition last week stated that
he could bring an early end to the war.

Do you think that any one candidate could bring an honorable end any sooner than any other candidate?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I think
that the greatest contribution that the candidate can make at this particular time is to make
it very clear to the government in North Vietnam,
to the regime in Hanoi, that it is in their
interest to proceed with substantive negotiations
and to seek a political settlement in Paris
between now and January 20, rather than to wait
around and think that partisan debate and playing
to the crowds in a partisan election will result
in concessions after January 20, under which
the Communists wouldn't get in the legitimate
process between now and January the 20th.

In other words, they ought to cut out the killing and settle down to themnegotiating because I believe that the greatest service that Mr. Nixon and myself can perform for this country and for the cause of peace is to have it unmistakenly clear that we are not going to play politics with Vietnam; that we are going to ask the people of North Vietnam and their government to recognize that partisan debate in America does not result in the weakening of our determination on the battle-field or at the conference table.

I know of no one that wants peace any more than the President of the United States no one that wants it any more.

President, we have been talking about the kind of honorable settlement we are going to get, but, basically, what right do we have to send our troops to participate in a civil war on behalf of a military dictatorship that imprisons men like "Traun Ben Shu," that cannot hold elections without disqualifying its best-known opponents, whose vice president is an avid admirer of Adolph Hitler, and who seems to be

3

- incapable to carry out the basic social and economic reforms to win the support of its people?
- . VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Are you through, sir?
- May I just reflect for a moment on your rather extended question.

First of all, South Vietnam has a treaty arrangement with the United States. We are a member of SEATO, we have what we call protocol arrangements which are treaty arrangements with South Vietnam.

Secondly, it is not a civil war.

There are aspects of civil war, but the troops from North Vietnam are not indigenous to South Vietnam and they are not a part of the civil war.

For a long time North Vietnam even denied that it had any troops in South Vietnam and one of the achievements of the conference in Paris is that they have admitted that they have troops in South Vietnam.

Of course, it was ridiculous for them to deny this. I think we have some idea of the quality of the--when you find that the

only support the Soviet Union had in its naked agression in Czechoslovakia was the government of North Vietnam.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: (Question not audible.)

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Now, just a minute. You asked the question.

The government of South Vietnam is an elected government. To be sure, not everybody participated, but the government of the United States didn't have full participation in its first election. The capitol of our country was moved eleven times. The Constitution of the United States was never written by elected officials. They were all appointed. I think it was a good job; don't misunderstand me.

What is more, the Constitutionalf

Convention of the United States permitted nobody

to observe its activities. The doors were locked

and even Benjamin Franklin had two guards

attached to him in case he had an extra drink

of wine and he became a little gregarious.

One hundred persons were invited to the Constitutional Convention; fifty-five came; thirty-nine stayed; thirty-eight signed, and it took them two years to get the Constitu-

tion and get it ratified.

Now, the Constitutional Assembly of

Vietnam, with all of its limitations, was an

elected Constitutional Assembly and I think it

is good to reflect.

First of all, they said they will never have an election. Well, they had one.

That destroyed that hopes of some.

The next was that the Constitutional Assembly would never agree, and the next was that President Chu, who was the head of the Directorate, would never accept the constitution, but he did.

And the next was that the Assembly would never meet, that the National Assembly would never take place, and they did, and we sent observers from this country, governors, churchmen, and Bishop Lucey right here from this state, to observe that election.

As elections come and go, and we have had all kinds in the United States--you know, we are not without sin ourselves--as elections come and go, it was a pretty fair election and over eighty per cent of the electorate voted, despite the efforts of the

Viet Cong and the North Vietnamese to terrorize
the population, despite the fact that candidates
for public office were killed, despite the fact
that election officials were kidnapped, a
president and a vice president were elected.

You say it was not a majority vote.

Well, eleven Presidents of the United States

never got a majority vote, either. Some of them

had rather slim pluralities and even in our

time the late John Kennedy did not get a

majority vote. He had less than fifty per

cent. John Adams had considerably less, and so

did others.

All I am saying to you is that if you want to compare elections, the one in South Vietnam is one hundred per cent better than any they have had in North Vietnam, if you want to make comparisons.

I don't want to have it understood that I think it was too good. I think it was better, may I say, than the area had had before, and the present government of South Vietnam has has a much broader base now.

The new Prime Minister is a man known for integrity and for courage and honesty.

His name is Houng--H-o-u-n-g--and he is a good man.

Over twenty provincial chiefs have been removed for corruption. Over two hundred officers of the military have been summarily court martialed for corruption, and everything that has happened is before the public.

I think, my fellow Americans, that this little country that has eight hundred thousand men under arms, which would be the equivalent of sixteen million Americans, based on population, and has been at war for the last twenty years, does not deserve the constant harassment and condemnation of a country that finds better than twenty-five thousand of our bests sons dead in that war.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Vice

President, my name is Ricks Erinson. I listened

to McCarthy in the park in Chicago and I listened

to Nixon last week in Hermann Park and I have

listened to you here tonight, and I think you

have it in common with McCarthy, contrary to

what some may say, and as far as I can see, you

have been telling the truth. That is a personal

opinion, but my question is this:

McCarthy indicated in the park that
he would remain within the system, but he was
not going to work for either of these candidates. And now a lot of us that were for
McCarthy, since he has removed himself, would
like to ask you, Mr. Vice President, why I
should support you?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Because

I think I offer you the best alternative of
what you have available.

VOICE FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Vice

President, on behalf of the University of

Houston Young Democrats, the Lee College Young

Democrats, the Harris County Young Democrats,

and all of the Young Democrats in this area,

we come here tonight with a question that is in
our minds.

Yippies on our campus, but, rather, the young people that believe in America, who still, though, feel that they don't have an active voice, and who feel that they deserve an active voice. We have to contend with these people, Mr. Vice President, and I ask you how are you going to help us tell them that they do have an

active voice in your campaign by joining our Young Democrats and working within the system?

age of the campaign committee that worked for me prior to the Convention, of all those that were working, was twenty-six. I thought we had a lot of young people. We didn't get as much attention of having young people, but we had a lot of them and we had thousands of young people in Chicago, just exactly as we have them here and all those across this country, because they happen to be supporting my candidacy. Maybe they are not carrying signs, but I have nothing against that because, in fact, I have carried a lot of them in my time.

I am a very tolerant man about that, but because they do not engage in that, they seldom get that much attention.

Now, what is that I can do that will deserve the confidence of the young people, and may I say that I want to deserve it. As I told one delegation, I never expected anybody's support. I wanted to earn it.

My political life has been characterized with an association by youth. The

men who work in my office as Vice President are young men. I have been one of those that helped sponsor the Student Intern Program in this government, to bring young people into Washington. I have helped through that program to secure \$1,400,000 for needy young men and women across this country to secure an education.

I think that means something.

I have been a teacher at a great university, as well as a student, and my students seemed to find in that teacher an understanding mind and a sympathetic spirit.

I want, if I am elected, to see
to and to consider and to have the confidence
of young people. I want to listen to them.
I do not brush aside their ideas. I am not at
all sure that those of us in positions of
responsibility are right. We have to judge on
the basis of what information we have, but we
must be constantly seeking new information to
improve our judgment.

I want the idealism of young people in the political scene. I want them in the caucuses. I want them to be impressed with political leadership, and there isn't

particularly a sort of rebel faction in a party
that is very young, because I have noted over
the years that once they gain power, they get
to acting somewhat like the rest of us, very
much so.

I have not lost my sense of idealism, not one bit. I have called upon the young in this nation to help us in what I consider to be the great problems that confront us, to help us change the attitude of this country about people, to help us break down racism in this country, to help us help the deprive in this country.

I have gone from college campus to college campus as Vice President, over seventy of them, and thirty junior colleges, and technical institutions, calling upon young people to take their enthusiasm into politics, into service, volunteer from time to time to a mental retardation institute, volunteer to help the handicapped, volunteer to tutoring in the ghettos, volunteer their lives to help young people across this country and older people.

I am the author of the Peace Corps, which Mr. Nixon called a fanciful idea and a

scheme for draft dodgers.

I am the author of the Job Center.

I have fought for these things. My
loyalty is with the needs of the young and I
don't intend to give it up and if I am President,
as I said earlier, young men and women in
America will find out they have a partner and
somebody they can work with.

tell you how wonderful you look to us tonight.

From all the reports we have had and the stories we have heard, I can't see any hawk nose or dove wings, but you look just like the fine, wonderful man I met in 1952 and for whom 1 hope to be able to work this year.

Now, my question is: Will you please ask the people who have been given slips of registration for work in the Party in your campaign, to give them to any lady in the audience that has a white and blue badge on?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I sure will.

Thank you, very, very much. There comes a time when even the best of good times must come to an end. I hope you have enjoyed

it. I have.

To the

.

: 0:

..

. .

mayor welch

muka

September 10, 1968

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS WILL Davis

VICE PRESIDENT HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION

Bot Eskharet

HOUSTON, TEXAS

Throughout history, there come moments when the people and the leaders of a nation are faced with a crisis; a crisis of conscience, a test of will, a moment of almost unimaginable implications for their own and for their children's futures.

Sometimes such a crisis stands out starkly, and each of us can quickly recognize its importance. At other times, it simply arises out of a combination of events, and even those most affected may be unaware of the peril which they face.

Tonight, my fellow countrymen we stand at such a moment.

calities

For the decision which each of you must make between now and the first Tuesday in November is as important as any of you have ever made. It is a decision which will have an enormous amount to do with the kind of world your children and your children's children will live in long after Dick Nixon and Hubert Hu mphrey have passed from the scene.

That decision is an infinitely complex one \_\_\_\_but it as the stated rather simply.

Can the American people be made to believe that the fears and frustrations of life in the twentieth century can somehow be made to vanish, by papering over our problems and ignoring our shortcomings?

Or are the American people made of sterner stuff than

Are they better than too many of our press and pollsters

and politicians give them credit for?

Are they strong enough to bear the responsibilities of power?

... Compassionate enough to recognize that, for many of our fellow citizens, life is not what it was meant to be?

way through, to a future which can yet be ours?

As for myself, and speaking as the leader of my party, I know the answer to that question.

I have asked my fellow citizens to place their confidence in me.

And I am placing my confidence in them.

- being sold a bill of goods. The ability to know when they are being sold a bill of goods and easy living.
- -- Confidence in their understanding that he who buries his head or sets his face against the winds of inevitable change

of time as it passes him by.

-- And confidence in their good will -- and their good judgment ... in their common sense -- and their uncommon decency.

In short, my friends, I trust the American people.

It is <u>because</u> I trust the great, unfrightened majority of Americans, that I choose to speak, not to their fears, but to their hopes. It call forth not the bitterness and resentment of life but the vision of a New Day for all mankind.

It is <u>because</u> I trust this new generation of Americans
that I view the occasional excesses and poor judgment of some
of our young people, in perspective, relatively less significant
than the decent, energetic and creative force which they represent -potentially the most promising generation this great nation has

ever produced.

It is <u>because</u> I trust the process of democratic decision-making that I did not fear, but welcomed, the free and frank debate about the issues of our time which characterized the sometimes troublesome but fundamentally healthy developments within the Democratic party during the last several months.

Lit is <u>because</u> I trust the strength of the ties which bind our destinies together that I refuse to pit one group of Americans against another -- poor against rich, black against white, young against old.

Winning the Presidency is not worth the price of silence or evasion on the issue of human rights.

Winning the Presidency is not worth a compact with extremism.

I ask our nation not to be of one mind, but to be of one spirit.

And it is <u>because</u> I trust the toughness and <u>resilience</u> of that American spirit that I do not shrink from the hard decisions of the Presidency.

I seek that responsibility.

I accept that burden.

I am ready to <u>lead</u> our nation toward that New Day which awaits us.

I know, and you know, that that New Day is one on which we shall have to come to terms with the three great realities of our time:

The necessity of peace in the world; the necessity for justice and order in our nation; and the paramount necessity for unity among our people.

During this campaign, I have spoken out on each of those challenges, and I shall continue to do so.

I shall do so with pride that I carry forward the tradition of Woodrow Wilson and Franklin Roosevelt, of Harry Truman and John Kennedy and Lyndon Johnson.

I choose to run -- and run proudly -- on the Democratic party's achievements during the last eight years -- achievements that include:

- -- Three thousand dollars more real income each year for the average family of four than in 1961;
- -- Medicare and increased Social Security;
- -- Head Start for children from disadvantaged neighborhoods;
- -- A million young Americans in college with public support
  who otherwise would have been unable to attend.

- and to Educ.
- Millions sent of faventy

I am proud to have played a role in these achievements.

... And I intend to build on that record in the next four years.

I shall do so with determination not to narrow the road to peace and justice by either the mistakes or the formulas of the past -- and not to limit our horizons by the achievements of the past.

I shall do so with certain objectives firmly in mind:

-- To end the war in Vietnam;

-- To stop the rising tide of lawlessness in our citis;

To ensure a job for every citizen willing to work, and a first-class education for every child born in this land;

Z-- And to provide a life of meaning and dignity and purpose for every American. And I shall do so with the humility born of the knowledge that no man can hope to advance the unfinished work of our society without the help of his God and the support of his people.

I intend to earn that support.

Thank you.

\* \* \*

For Release: Wednesday AM'S September 11, 1968

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS VICE PRESIDENT HUBERT H. HUMPHREY QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION HOUSTON, TEXAS SEPTEMBER 10, 1968

Throughout history, there come moments when the people and the leaders of a nation are faced with a crisis: a crisis of conscience, a test of will, a moment of almost unimaginable implications for their own and for their children's futures.

Sometimes such a crisis stands out starkly, and each of us can quickly recognize its importance. At other times, it simply arises out of a combination of events, and even those most affected may be unaware of the peril which they face.

Tonight, my fellow countrymen, we stand at such a moment.

For the decision which each of you must make between now and the first Tuesday in November is as important as any of you have ever made. It is a decision which will have an enormous amount to do with the kind of world your children and your children's children will live in long after Dick Nixon and Hubert Humphrey have passed from the scene.

That decision is an infinitely complex one...but it can be stated rather simply:

Can the American people be made to believe that the fears and frustrations of life in the twentieth century can somehow be made to vanish, by papering over our problems and ignoring our shortcomings?

Or are the American people made of sterner stuff than that? Are they better than too many of our press and pollsters and politicians give them credit for?

Are they strong enough to bear the responsibilities of power?

...Compassionate enough to recognize that, for many of our fellow citizens, life is not what it was meant to be?

I have asked my fellow citizens to place their confidence in me.

And I am placing my confidence in them.

I know the answer to that question.

--Confidence in their ability to know when they are being sold a bill of goods, promised a future of false comfort and easy living.

--Confidence in their understanding that he who buries his head or sets his face against the winds of inevitable change cannot stop that change, but will be buried by the sandstorm of time as it passes him by.

--And confidence in their good will--and their good judgment...in their common sense--and their uncommon decency.

In short, my friends, I trust the American people.

It is <u>because</u> I trust the great, unfrightened majority of Americans, that I choose to speak, not to their fears, but to their hopes...to call forth not the bitterness and resentment of life, but the vision of a New Day for all mankind.

It is <u>because</u> I trust this <u>new</u> generation of Americans that I view the occasional excesses and poor judgment of some of our young people, as, in perspective, relatively less significant than the decent, energetic and creative force which they represent—potentially the most promising generation this great nation has ever produced.

It is <u>because</u> I trust the process of democratic decisionmaking that I did not fear, but welcomed, the free and frank
debate about the issues of out time which characterized the
sometimes troublesome but fundamentally healthy developments
within the Democratic party during the last several months.

## PAGE THREE

It is <u>because</u> I trust the strength of the ties which bind our destinies together that I refuse to pit one group of Americans against another--poor against rich, black against white, young against old.

Winning the Presidency is not worth the price of silence or evasion on the issue of human rights.

Winning the Presidency is not worth a compact with extremism.

(more)

I ask our nation not to be of one mind, but to be of one spirit.

And it is <u>because</u> I trust the toughness and resilience of that American spirit that I do not shrink from the hard decisions of the Presidency.

I seek that responsibility.

I accept that burden.

I am ready to  $\underline{\text{lead}}$  our nation toward that New Day which awaits us.

I know, and you know, that that New Day is one on which we shall have to come to terms with the three great realities of our time:

The necessity of peace in the world; the necessity for justice and order in our nation; and the paramount necessity for unity among our people.

During this campaign, I have spoken out on each of those challenges, and I shall continue to do so.

I shall do so with pride that I carry forward the tradition of Woodrow Wilson and Franklin Roosevelt, of Harry Truman and John Kennedy and Lyndon Johnson.

I choose to run -- and run proudly -- on the Democratic party's achievements during the last eight years -- achievements that include:

- --Three thousand dollars more real income each year for the average family of four than in 1961;
- --Medicare and increased Social Security;
- --Head Start for children from disadvantaged neighborhoods:
- --A million young Americans in college with public support who otherwise would have been unable to attend.

## PAGE FIVE

I am proud to have played a role in these achievements. And I intend to build on that record in the next four years.

I shall do so with determination not to narrow the road to peace and justice by either the mistakes or the formulas of the past -- and not to limit our horizons by the achievements of the past.

I shall do so with certain objectives firmly in mind:

- --To end the war in Vietnam;
- -- To stop the rising tide of lawlessness in our cities;
- --To ensure a job for every citizen willing to work, and a first-class education for every child born in this land;
- --And to provide a life of meaning and dignity and purpose for every American.

And I shall do so with the humility born of the knowledge that no man can hope to advance the unfinished work of our society without the help of his God and the support of his people.

I intend to earn that support. Thank you.

# # # # #

Remarks . Q+ A San Jacinto Room Houston, Sefas

> can acinto com ice otel ousto, exas nesday, eptem or 10, 1966 9:55 p.m.

that k vo very roc. hack vo. hack yo. hack yo. ak so, ayor elch. hack yo. r. ayor, for arra is through the ire oppositioner that we could exa few more good so is from outlon and of er parts of this exas into this fine ball this evering, this fine banquet room. can barely see to the very end of it but it sees like it is jam packed full and may say that is a good way to have it.

(Lopla so

avor ele, have his left a wonderful exam and a very fine pulic servant who has been visited with me earlier this events, the Speaker of your orse of epresentatives, an arms.

Applaise)
Far simplarly honored tonicate to have the at this platform without two of the lost dedicated, two of the lost conscientions, forward looking members of the onse of Representatives, two members of the area, should say, on ressume of last and or ressume of lethards.

Applause) "histles" and mote also, and an sure you do, that the hairman of the penceratic tate entral committee, the hairman of the party in this state, who has surely iven a splendid account of himself in brilding this party, is here with us tonight, ill lavis, and am very horored and pleased that you are with us tonight.

Applanse)

of not just speech makin or any part, but of questions and a swers of what I call the politics of contact and confrontation, where — o out to the public as a man that seeks the admost office which in the lift of the American people and submit myself to the privile e of heart — the auestions from the constituents and the citizens, and toposfully to be able to present an intelligent and responsive arswer.

that wanted to 'e able to talk to the american coople about the realities that confront us, the realities of the world in which we live, tord, dan eross, and set very promisin. The realities of the America in which welive, richer, stronger than ever before and you today a scene of co-flict and tension, of deep disturbance, ferment and charge. Talso said that I would, if permitted to sethe standard hearer of our party, see to it that we had as a fee presidential running mate not someone that was the product of compromise or arrangement but rather, so more thought is talents and its skills, his character and his experience, his lack round as is maturity, was thorough and have had a hand in selecting that sort of purson. Is ested to the

Democratic convention that they nominate and live me the privile e of having as my runnin mate one of the finest of the inited States Senate, a overnor of two terms, a Senator of two terms, a man who has a reputation for personal interrity, political foresight, and this man's name is drund luckie, Senator from Taine and my Fice Presidential candidate.

(Applause)

Senator Tuskie started his campai in here in the Lone Star State of Texas and if you have not read into that what you should, let me spell it out. The ticket of sumphrey and suskie, the Democratic ticket, intends to carry the State of Texas, and have the electoral votes of this state.

(Applauso)

And we intend to rely on you, you the people, people from every walk of life, of every race, creed and color, catholic, protestant and dew, regular Democrat, conservative Democrat, liberal Democrat, concerned Democrat, independent citizens and a lot of depublicans that can't take what has been offered on their taket.

(Applause)

We intend to have you carry this fight. I am noing to give it everything I have, but, I am noing to ask you to live a full measure of devotion to this cause as well, and I say that tonight starts the victory march from Texas to the white cause and we are sping to do it.

(Applause)

Thave been reading all the neadlines. I know all the stories that have been written, but I want to tell you the greatest story of them all as far as this election is concerned will be the unity of the Democratic party in this state behind the Democratic ticket and mark it down right tonight, ladies and gentlemen, we are going to march together, bovernor, Senator, Congressmen, every one of us.

(Applause)

I thought we ought to dispense withthose matters early. ow, let me talk to you about some of the substantive matters.

We are going to make a great decision in this country. Every election is a great decision. There has never been one that wasn't but I think the times that we live in indicate that the decision that we make in 1968, sovember, will have a great bearing upon the future of this land. A little over a 100 years ac, 105 to be exact. Abraham lincoln proclaimed the amancipation Proclamation. We had to wait almost a 100 years before we could translate that proclamation into legal reality. And now, we are in the business of translating it into practical reality.

(Applause)

And I am not merely talking about the emancipation of the slaves or the black. I am talking about the emancipation of the deprived in this country, white and black, and let it be quite clear — let it be not only quite clear but very clear that there are more poor whites than blacks, more poor rural Americans than urban, that slumism is not a characteristic just of the cities but sometimes of the countryside.

We are not talking about a race, we are not talking about a color tonight, ladies and centlemen. We are talking about Americans, every kind of an American, and it is the many kinds of Americans that inhabit this state, because Texas is like a little United Cations within itself, it is these many kinds of Americans that make up the vitality, the vibrancy, the strength, the great power of this nation, and I intend, if I am permitted to be the President of this land, to mobilize the resources of the yet untapped human

resource of the millions of Americans that have never had a chance. I think that America has just begun to live.

(Applause)

I think that our better days are yet to come.

(Applause)

I think that our better days are yet to come. Oh, I know there are always the cynics that say, well, why does he say that or does he mean it, but I remember in my young days when people said in the depression that our system was through. I remember there were those who were the great intellectuals even of our day that said that we never again would be able to give vibrancy and vitality and drive to our free enterprise system. I didn't believe it then and I don't believe it now.

(Applause)

There have always been the doubters. There have always been the purveyors of fear and suspicion. They get a little more attention than the regular people. They get a little more attention than the people who are the advocates. They get a little more attention than the people who are positive.

It is always the man that spreads the doom and the gloom that seems to be called the prophet, but the greatest prophets were those that saw a better day, not those that saw the end, but those that saw the beginning. And, ladies and gentlemen, I do not claim to be a prophet but I claim to have some understanding of the American nation and the American people, and I say to this audience, particularly of the young people that are here tonight, our greatness is yet to come. Our best days are yet to be lived. The future belongs to us and we intend to use it and we intend to develop it. We intend to set new standards for this nation the likes of which this world has never known and I ask you to help me.

(Applause)

And I don't believe that the American people are going to elect a candidate who likes to paper over our problems, or who gives generalizations I place my confidence in the people and I will tell you to specific needs. why, because I am of the people, not separated from them, but from them, and I believe that I know that this country has within it tremendous resources, tremendous resources of good, to do whatever needs to be done. I have asked my fellow citizens to have confidence in me. That is a great request. But, I ask that because I have confidence in them, confidence in their ability to know that when they are being sold a bill of goods. and believe me, some of them are being sold a bill of goods today or at least the attempt is being made --

(Applause) -- and I have confidence in the people's understanding that he who buries his head in the sand and sets his face against the winds of inevitable change can never stop that change, but he will be burdened by the sand

storm of time as it passes him by.

I believe that there are those who would bury their heads in the sand, and I have confidence in the good will of the American people, in their good judgment, and like Adlai Stevenson before me, I intend to talk sense to the American people.

(Applause)

And I have great confidence in the uncommon decency that characterizes this nation. In short, as I have said, I trust the people, and it is because I trust the great unfrightened and sometimes silent majority of Americans that I choose to speak not to their fears but to theirhopes.

(Applause)

Now, let'me say a word about this younger generation. It is because I trust this new generation of Americans, this young generation that seems to get so much attention, that I view the occasional excesses and the poor judgment of a few of the young in its proper perspective, and I sense that it is relatively less significant than the decent, energetic, and wholesome and creative force which this young generation represents, potentially the most promising generation that this country has ever produced.

(Applause)

And my presidency will be characterized by the open door of the White house to the young. That place will sing with the music of the young.

(Applause)
Their voices will be heard, their advice and counsel will be sought, because my public life has been a life of association with young men and women, young in spirit, many young in age, but very young in their hopes and their desires, and it is because I trust this process of democratic decision—making that I do not fear but indeed, I welcome the free and the frank debate about the issues of our time which characterize the sometimes troublesome but fundamentally healthy developments within the Democratic Party during the last several months.

I would rather have our confusion and our argument than to have the boredom of republicanism.

(Applause and whistles)

And, I gather you feel exactly the same way,

(Applause)

I said to a friend the other day, America has many firsts. We do many things and we do them in a big way, but it is the first time we have ever had a national wake before the political demise of a candidate.

(Applause)

I have also told you some things about my sense of values and I want to repeat them to you tonight so that you may know your candidate and you may know what I believe and what I think. Winning the Presidency, so important to be sure, no greater honor or greater responsibility could come to anyone, but winning the Presidency is not worth the price of silence or evasion on the most fundamental and most precious issue of our time, the issue of human rights and human dignity and equal opportunity.

(Applause)

I have never compromised on this issue for 20 years in my public life and I have no intention of starting it at this late date.

(Applause)

And winning the Presidency is not worth a compact with extremism, the extremism of the left or the right, the extremism of the militant of the black or the white. Winning the Presidency is not a popularity contest. Winning the Presidency should be measured on principle, not popularity.

(Applause)

Well, it is because I believe these things that I seek that responsibility and that I am prepared to accept the burden that this office imposes. I believe through years of experience the maturity that comes with the refiners fire of experience, that I am ready to lead our nation towards the new day which awaits each and everyone of us.

(Applause)

Now, there are three great realities of our time, the necessity of peace in the world, the necessity for justice and order in our nation, and the paramount necessity of unity amongst our people, and during this campaign

I will continue to speak out as I have in the past on each of these challenges, and I choose to run and run proudly -- and I want every member now of the media to get this, and every person in this audience -- I choose to run and run preudly on the Democratic Party's achievements throughout our opportunity to govern this nation and particularly during these last eight years.

(Applause)
and achievements which include literally landmarks of excellence, great
achievements. An economy of 90 months of consecutive growth. Ladies and
gentlemen, this has never happened in the recorded history of mankind. You
take it for granted because many of us have never experienced a depression
or a recession. Those of us that have appreciate it just as a man has been
ill appreciates good health.

(Applause)

An achievement of \$3,000 more real income each year for the average family of four than in 1961. To a rich man that may not mean much, but to many of us, it means a new car. It means a college education for a son or a daughter. Or it means a vacation or a trip. It means new furniture. It means new things. It may mean just security.

An achievement of Medicare which for the first time has permitted the senior citizens of this land, these who have given of their best during the better years of their life, to live in dignity and to have the blessing of

modern healing and modern medicine and hospitalization.

(Applause)

The achievement of Project Head Start. Frs. Lumphrey visited a project at Ios Angeles today. I hope that we are not so synical, my fellow Americans, that we no longer care about the littleones. I think you can judge the mortality of a society by two groups, three groups. The child and what you do with the child. The handicapped, and how you treat them. And theelderly whom Scriptures alone tell us deserve our respect. And, I am happy to tell you that I have been a part of an Administration that has cared for the children we no other Administration in the historyof this Republic;

(Applause) cared for the handicapped as no other Administration in this Republic;

(Applauso)

and cared for the elderly as no other government and surely no other Administration in this Republic.

More senior citizens housing built in four years than the preceding

200.

(Applause)
And I point with some justifiable pride to the achievements of a government that saw that over one million young Americans had their chance to go to higher education and college, with pyblic support.

(Applause) with scholarships and loans, and over nine million of cur deprived youngsters who were the victims of schools that were called separate and equal but were just separate, nine million of them the beneficiaries of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, the greatest Federal aid program that this country has ever known.

(Applauso)
And ten million of our fellow Americans who have walked out of the darkness of poverty into the bright sunlight of opportunity. Ten million Americans in the last seven years that have come out of poverty, and of these ten million, over three and a half million black who for the first time in their life have

had a chance to stand as you and I, citizens of this land with its benefits.

(Applauso)

There is much more that we could talk of and a greater record that I shall outline in thedays ahead, but let me make it clear, I do not come here to apologize for the Democratic Party or Democratic Presidents.

(Applause) (Whistles)
I come here as one that was nurtured in the days of Franklin Roosevelt, that was inspired by the courageous leadership of Harry Truman,

(Applause)

whose soul and mind was made the better by the great spirit of Adlai Stevenson,

(Applause)
and who has had the opportunity to be a fellow worker in democracy and one of
the leaders in the Congress of the United States under two presidents
that got this country moving again and lifted it to new heights, John Kennedy
and Lyndon Johnson.

(Applause)

So, I lay down the gauntlet here tonight. We will put our record against Mr. Nixon's 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.

(Applause)

And, I intend to be judged on my record as compared to his.

I think the American people will make the right decision. I have no doubt about it. I do not think the American people are about ready to tyrn this country over to Mr. Richard Milhouse Mixon and Strom Thurmond. I just don't think so.

(Applause) (Whistles)

So, for those of you that were wondering when will your friend, Subert Sumphrey, lay it on the line, we are laying it on the line tonight and we will lay it on every day from here on out.

(Applause)

Yes, I am proud to have played some little role in some of these achievements which I have noted here this evening but I want you to know that I am not content with that, I am not one that believes in reciting the pages of history. I ask you to help us make new history and I ask you to help building on the record that we have already established, to build better, to build broader, to build more meaningful, and I am going to do so with determination, not to narrow the road to peace and justice by either the mistake of the formulas of the past, and everybody has made some mistakes, and not to limit our horizons by the achievements of the past. I shall do so with certain objectives in mind and these are my objectives. To end the war in Vietnam,

(Applause)

to stop and put a halt to therising tide of lawlessness in our cities, (Applause)

to insure a meaningful job for every citizen willing to work,

(Applause)

and to guarantee a first class education for every child born in this land.

(Applause)

In other words, to provide a life of meaning and dignity and purpose for every American. And I shall do so withthe humility born of theknowledge that no man can hope to advance the unfinished work of our society without the help of his God and the support of his people. I intend to work hard enough to merit that support, to earn that support, and I come here tonight in the great State of Texas to ask you to be in the vanguard of this

leadership for the new day that America needs and deserves.

(Applauso)

low, I have spoken to you from my heart and I have spoken to you as I see it or as the young friends say. I have told it like it is, said it like it is.

(Applause)

Now, let's hear from you. Question number l..

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS FROM STATEMENT AT SAN JACINTO ROOM, HOUSTON, TEXAS September 10, 1968 QUESTION: Mr. Vice President, today President Johnson obviously --VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Would each person identify himself? QUESTION: Richard Dobin, News Mrsetor, KINK Station. VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes, sir. Q UESTION: Today President Johnson obviously contradicted what you said yesterday when you said if elected you would attempt to bring bring some troops home from Vietnam as early as late 1968 or in early 1969. The President said no one could ever tell. Why did President Johnson deliberately contradict you and why hasn't President Johnson so far endersed you either for the nomination or for the President? VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: The question was in effect that I had indicated that some tropps might be able to be brought, might be able to be brought home from South Vietnam, American troops, in early 1969 or hopefully in late 1963. The gentlemans says that the President today stated that no man could make that prediction. Is that right? QUESTION: That is correct. VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: And the second part of the question was why hasn't the President --QUEDTION: Endorsed you. VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: -- endorsed no. Well, first of all, will somebody please bring me the morning Give me one of your morning newspapers in town here because newspaper? one of the Marine divisions is on its way home. (Applause) and shouts) Give me your paper there. I had it up in my room. I was just showing my They were a bunch of Boubting Thomasss just like you fellows here. staff. (Laughter) It is the Post. MAYOR WEICH: Late Chronicle. It is in the afternoon Chronicle. VOICE: They know it is in there. VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: There is the statement, it is the headline in one of your newspapers, I regret in the haste to come here and some of you all have newsampers, around here, lot's get it so we can take a look at it. I have got 20 staff men here. Will someone please run and got it? They have heard me speak enough. They don't have to hear me again. (Laughter) VOICE: We have it coming.
VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Thank you, sir. And there is the story that some of the Marines that have been sent to Vietnam, American Marines, are now to be returned. VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I don't know what the other statement is VOICE: Right. about. I said that I had hoped that this could happon. And my hope at least has been jindicated as a fact in the press. I always believe what I read in the papers. (Laughter)

0-3X

The second questiin was, why, hasn't the President endersed me. The President has not only endorsed me. He has embraced me.

(Laughter) and applause)

VOICE: We know that, but some don't know that.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I don't think there is a shadow of a doubt in the minds of anyone that the President of the United States would like very much to see the Vice President of the United States be elected Prosident of the United States. He said that repeatedly.

(Applause)

I am waiting for a paper. I want it down. Be sure we get it. QUESTION: Mr. Vice Presid nt, could you commenten the feasibility of the national presidential primary by '72 and if you favor such a program and comment on the conventions?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes, sir.

QUESTION: As to what you think about the way they work in this

country today.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I do believe that our convention system is in need of a very, very in depth study and analysis as to whether or not it really meets modern needs of what we call our modern politics. Senator Gaylord Melson of the State of Wisconsin, has introduced legislation in the Congress for the establishment of a high level commission of both public and private members to examine into the political party convention system as to its adequacies and its inadequacies.

QUESTION: I am aware of that, sir.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: May I just -- this is the Houston Post. It is dated Tuesday, September 10. It says "Humphrey arrives tonight at 6:30". I am sorry we were a little late.

(Laughter)

"Marine Regiment Heads Home from Viet War. Withdrawal is firsD for the U.S." A UPI story. "The U.S. command announced Tuesday it was sending a Marino regiment back to the United States. Spokesmen said it was the first withdrawal ofen American tropp unit from the Vietnam War."

(Applause) Rabber an extended story. I think that it verifies what I had hoped. I didn't say it would happen. Who can predict what will happen? One can express his hopes and I had said earlier that I thought that there was the possibility thatit could happen, that it might happen, that I had hoped that it would happen, and if this is a true story, and I gather that it would be or it wouldn't occupy such a prominent position in the press, I would have to say that we have some reason to be at least a little grateful for the returnof some of our men from that part of the world.

Now, may I get back to your question, sir. The commission of

Senator Molson, I think, is it. Secondly, I hav long supported, and did so when the late Senator Estes Kofauver had introduced his resolution, at national presidential primary. I recognize that it has its inadequacies and its difficulties but on balance, I THINK IT HAS MORE TO OFFER TO IT THAN IT HAS LIMITATIONS. I do believe that the time now is at hand for a very careful re-evaluation and we restudy of the total convention process and as you know, our party in convention at Chicago over the din and over all of the commetion on the outside of the convention made some very forward strides in terms of modernizing the caucus machinery,

the convention machinery, the machinery of the Democratic party. This is something that our fellow Republicans did not do.

(Applause)

It is very difficult for me to see on the lights. So, if you will just speak up and identify yourself.

QUESTION: Mr. Vice President --

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes, the lady, please.

QUESTION: Mr. Vice President, canyou tell me what particularly you know about the poverty in the United States, particularly in Texas and

the Mio Grande Valley. VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, I know primarily, my dear lady, what I have read, and I knowprimarily what I have been told by some of those who lived there. I do not claim to be an expert about the poverty in Texas. I can tell you a little bit about the poverty in Minnesota. I can tell you some -- I am speaking very frankly toyou about the poverty in our great rural areas, I mean our great retropolitan areas, but I think it would be a reasonably factual statement to say there is not a state in thisland that does not have within it poor, the poor people.

I do not say that thisis the result of any pre-meditated conspiracy on the part of any leddership. I think it is one of the unfortunate developments of human society. The poor have been with us since the beginning of organized society but I think that for the first time we have a chance to do something about at least alleviating some of the

conditions of poverty.

QUESTION: Do you intend to do this?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes, my doar lady. I have been in the forefront of that fight all of my public life. I have been one of those that has worked for programs --

(Applause) -- I have been one of those that has worked for those programs, public and private, and I don't think they can all be done by government, public and private that would help alleviate these conditions.

QUESTION: Thank you.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Thank you, ma'am.

QUESTION: Mr. Vice President -VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes, sir.

QUESTION: A recent issue of Life regazine quotes you as saying that you could have lived with the recent Minority plank on Vietnam. Under what conditions would you have favored an unconditional retreat of troops in Vietnam?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Woll, let me make it very clear about thepplatform. Many a man who has been a presidential candidate in the past, and I imagine some in the future, will find features in the platform that he doesn't particularly like, even though he accepts the nomination of his party. This has happened before and it will happen undoubtedly again.

I supported and still do support the Majority plank in the platform.

I don't say that --

(Applause) -- I don't say that it is a perfect plank but I think it represents a rather sensible point of view.

I thought that the Minority plank went too car when it calls

for unconditional cossation of the bembing.

(Applause)

I thought that went too far. But, I also noted that it did not call for unconditional immediate, and there was a cortain amount of fuzzing of the words.

Now, let me again repeat tonyou that I said before the convention that I shoughtupport the nominee of my party and if that nominee had been my colleague from Minnesota, Senator McCarthy, I would have gladly supported him.

(Applause)

If it had been my friend, Senator McGovern from South Dakota, who was my neighbor for botter than ten years, I would have gladly supported him.

(Applause)
We disagreed on on the rhetoric of the platform but I happen to believe that if either one of them had become President, that the realities of life, that the hard, cold realities of the international mituation would have had a much more controlling influence upon their decisions than the printed word of a platform that was foughtover in convention, and this is why I have said, sir, in my acceptance speech, that I would not feel bound by the policies of the past in confronting the realities of the future.

(Applause)

Let it be very clear that all three of us that I have montioned, Senator McGarthy, Senator McGavern and myself, had one common objective, the attainment of a just and honorable peace in Vietnam. We had different approaches but I might add that the exaggeration of the differences was more than the desire of some people to accommodate the pointsof agreement.

None of us recommended unilateral withdrawal. None of us recommended that we should have a total military solution.

All of us recommended that there should be a negotiated political settlement. All of us felt that it would be desirable to have a cease fire. All of us said that we should have systematic withdrawal of American forces as the Army of South Vietnam was capable of taking up the defense of its own country.

All of us said there should be no permanent American bases in South Vietnam. All three of us said that there should be economic assistance for the rehabilitation of South Vietnam and North Vietnam. And all of

And all three of us pledged ourselves to the concept of free elections, one man, one vote, that all persons chould participate in those elections and be eligible for office if they would accept the results of a peaceful election and would renounce violence as a meansof obtaining political power.

Now, those are the points of agreement, and those -- some of those points were in the Minority plank as they were in the Majority plank. The difference in the two planks was one said unconditional cossation of the bombing and then went on to say, of course, we must protect our troops in the south. And the other one said that we should have cossation of the bombing when there was restraint and response but we must, we must, of course, protect our troops in the south.

I cannot imagine any man being President of the United States that would take a precipitous action unless he thought it would lend itself to peace, and unless he thought at the same time that he was pritecting the lives of American mon that were committed to battle. I don't eare what your platform says.

QUESTION: Are you saying, then, sir, that you were misquoted by

Life magazine?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: No, I am not saying I was misquoted at . all. I am simply saying what my position is and it is very clear. It has been stated about 300 times now.

QUESTION: Mr. Vice President --VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: You.

QUESTION: Mr. Vice President, do you favor replacing the draft with a well paid, highly efficient voluntary army like Adlai Stevenson'

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: When the day comes when that will be did? adequate. In the meantime, I favor the lottery system or the random selection system rather than the present system.

QUESTION: Mr. Vice President, you have said that the post-Viotnam budget will contain implements for the rehabilitation of the ghetto. Do you think that public opinion will be for the returnof this extra money to the private sector? Could you expound on this?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: One of the reasons I have been talking about it as I have -- your questionis most appropriate -- is because we are going to have to build a public opinion for it to support just that, namely, in other words, that the savings that can come as a dividend of peace will be directed towards the great social needsof this nation.

I served in the Congress when the war in Korea was over and that was a very costly war. It took a larger percentage of our gross national product than this war. It took many lives. And what happened after the ar was over? there were poor in this country, many poor, more than there are now. There were slume in this country, as filthy and as dirty as they are now. There were people who were illiterate in America, more than there are now. There were social problems in this country of unemployment greater than they are now. And what happened after the war was over? Not a bit of the money was dedicated to the needsof this nation. The savings from the war went into tax reductions and you had three recessions in eight years and no real development in this nation.

QUESTION: But, Mr. Humphrey, you also note that becauseof the fact that so many black people have been hired by private industries as extras for the war boom, wouldn't you say that thiswould tend to throw off more black people and create more unemployment and create more riots than if you continued the economy at the pace that it is going today, the war economy?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, I wouldn't want --QUESTION: And wouldn't this cayee more riots and then more riots

controlfunds would be needed? VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: May I say that the economy has had 90 consecutive months of a growth rate between 4-1/2 per cent and 5.2

10-18

before any large involvement in Vietnam as well as after a considerable involvementin the Vietnam, and itis my view that if we can ke up this economy going forwardon a sensible base, with adequate physical policies and monotary policies, that we will have jobs.

I don't thank thereis any doubt at all but twhat we will have jobs.

I don't think there is any doubt at all but what we will have jobs. There are great unmet needs in this country, tramendous needs, and all we need to have are what I call those peace dividends, namely, the resources that we cansave from when the war isover to be put into the public sector in our urban ghettos, in our highway programs, in our schools, in our hospitals, in our training programs, thereis such transnatus needs ... We don't need to look forward to any rise in unemployment.

What we ought to look forward to is a great opportunity to start

building this nation snow.

QUESTION: In other words, you would maintain the tax? You would maintain the --

QUESTION: Mr. Vice President, Nr. Vice President -- VICE PRESIDENT EUNPHREN: Yes, six.

QUESTION: I don't happen to be a citizenof the great State of I am a citizen of the great stateof Georgia. My name is Clarence Toxas. Hubert Snipes.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: How do you do?

QUESTION: I have only one question to direct to you, sir. ons could debate that Kennedy boat Mixon strictly, strictly from the TV debates. I would -- you have shown great sincerity in your voice and mannerisims tonight. I am tremendously impressed and I am not trying to flatter you.

I would like to see, and I am sure that this cross and everyone in America would like to see you and Mr. Mixon go head on. Now, how

about it?

(Applause and shouts) VIOR PRESENTED EURPHREY: Mall, my good friend, my fgood friend, this is a recommendation that I have rade syself. I want you to know I am in shape.

(Laughter) I am ready to go.

(Applause) You know, since you have asked this question and been so kind in your remarks, I think you ought to recollect that in 1960 I gave Ar. Kennedy his spring training, you know.

(Laughter) and applause) And I never had the slightest doubt as to how that election was going to come out because I knew that if I couldn't defeat Kennedy, I didn't

think Mr. Mixon could and I feel the same way now.

(Applause) QUESTION: Hr. Vice President, your opposition last week stated that he could bring an early end to the war. Do you think that anyons one candidate could bring an honorable and any sooner than any other

candidate? VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHPEY: I think that the greatest contribution that the candidates can make at this tparticular time is to make it very clear to the governmentin North Vietnam, to the regime in Hanoi, that it is in their interest to proceed with substantive negotiations and to seek a political settlement in Paris between now and January

20th which the Communists are not going to be -- which the Communists wouldn't get in legitimate processes of negotiation between now and January 20th.

In other words, they ought to cut out the killing and settle down to the negotiating, because I believe that the greatest service that Mr. Nixon and myself can perform for this country and for the cayse of peace is to have it unmistakably clear that we are not going to play politics with Vietnam, that we are going to ask the people of North Vietnam and their government to recognize that partical debate in America does not result in any weakening of our determination either on the batildefield or at the conference table.

(Applause)

I know of no one that wants peace any more than the President of the United States. No one that wants it any more.

(Applause)

QUESTION: Mr. Vice President, Mr. Humphrey --

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes, sir.

ON1.5X

QUESTION: What do you think of the proposal made by the Secretary General of the United Statens that in South Vietnam the war be ended by neutralizing that territory and possibly some adjoining territory like Austria was neytralized in Europe?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I think it is a very sensible proposal.

(Applause)

Mr. Vice President, I would like to ask you a question QUESTION: about Vietnam, too.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yos, sir.

QUESTION: We have been talking about the kind of honorable settlement we are going to get but the questionis basically, it goes back to what right do we have in the first place to send American troops into a civil war in a foreign country on behalf of a militant --

(Boo, boo)

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Wait a minute. Wait a minute.

QUESTION: - On behalf --

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Gentlemen, gentlemen, please proceed,

. sir. QUESTION: On behalf of a military dictatorsthat opposes Trong Din Siu, that cannot hold elections without disqualifying its best known opponent, whose vice president is an avowed admirer of Adolf Hitler, and which seems incapable or unwilling of carrying out the basic social and economic reforms that are necessary to win the support of its people?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes, sir. Are you through, sir?

QUESTION: Yes, sir.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: May I just reflect fora moment on your rather extended question. First of all, first of all, South Victnam has a treaty arrangement with the United States. We are a member of SEATO. We have what we call protocol arrangements which are treaty arrangements with South Vietnam.

Secondly, it is not a civil war. There are aspects of civil war, but the troops from North Vietnam are notindigenous to South Vietnam and they are not a part of a civil war. It is open aggression, fully recognized. For a long time North Viotnam denied it even had any troops in South Vietnam and one of the achievements of the conference at Paris is at long last they have admitted that they have troops in North Vietnam. Of course, it was ridiculous for them not to admit it.

I think we have some idea of the quality of the Government of North Vietnes when you find that the only communist nation outside of Fastern Europe that supported the Soviet Union's maked aggression in Czechoslovakia was the Government of North Victnem. I think that gives you some indication of what is going on.

QUESTION: Sir. may I --VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Just a minuto. You asked the quastion. QUESTION: Can I respond?

WICE PRESIDENT HUMFHREY: The Government of South Vietnam is an elected government. To be sure, not everybedy participated but the Government of the United States didn't have full participation in its first election, either. The Capital of our country was moved il times. The Constitution of the United States was never written by elected officials. They were all appointed. I think it was a good job, don't misunderstand

(Applause)

Ion't misunderstand mo.

What is more, the Constitution of the United States permitted nobody to Discree its activities. The doors were Rocked. And even Ecnjamin Franklin had two guards attached to him in case he had an extra drink of wine and became a little gregarious and a little talkative.

A 100 members were invited to our Constitutional Convention. 55 stayed. I said invited. Hone ware elected. 55 came. 39 stayed. 38 signed. And it took them two years to get the Constitution and get it ratified.

Now, the Constituent Assembly of Vietnam with all of its limitations, and it has plenty, was an elected constituent assembly and I think it is

maybe good to once again review it.

I remember when it was said they will never -- first of all, they said they will never have an election. Well, they had one. They destroyed that hope of someone. They said the Constituent Assembly would never complete it's work, but it did.

The next one was that Prosident Shu Thiou, who was the head of the Directors would never accept the Constitution, but he did.

And, then, the next one was that the Assembly would never meet, I mean that the elections for the Kathonal Assembly would never take place but they did and we sent observers from this country, governors, churchmen, Archbishop Lucsy, one right from this state, to observe that election, and even the most severe critic came back and said as elections come and go, wind we have had all kinds in the United States, now, we are not without sin ourselves,

(Alaughter) as elections come and go, as elections come and go, it was a protty fair election, and over 80 per cent of the electorate voted, despite the efforts of the Viet Cong and the North Vietnemass to terrorize the population, despite the fact that condidates for public office were killed, despite the fact that election officials were kidnapped. Novertheless, there was an election, and a President and Vice President were elected and you say, but he didn't get a majority vete, well, Il Presidents of the U.S. never got a majority vote, either. Some of them had rather slim pluralities. And, even in our time, the late John Konnedy did not get a majority vote. He had less than 50 per cont. John Adams had considerably less, and so

did others.

All I am saying is that if you mant to compare elections, the one in South Victnem is a 1000 per cent better than any they have had in North Vietnam, now, if you want to make comparisons.

(Applause)

question: Mr. Vice President, --

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I don't want to have it understood that I think it was too good. I think it was better, may I say, then the eres had had before, and the present government of South Vietnam has a much bottder base. The new Prime Minister is a man known for integrity, for courage, and for honesty. His name is Huong, and he is a good man. Over 20 province chiefs have been removed for corruption. 66 district chiefs have been removed for corruption. Over 200 officers of the military have been summarily court martialAdd for corruption.

Everything that happens in South Viotnam is beforethe public. I think we get very little information out of North Vietnam. I think, my fellow Americans, that this little country has 800,000 men under arms, which would be the equivalent of 16 million Americans based on population, that has been at war for almost 20 years, deserves better than the constant harassment and condemnation of an ally that has put 25,000 of our best

sons dead in that country in that war.

(Applausa)

QUESTION: Mr. Vice President --VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHIST: Yes, sir.

QUESTION: Erickson of the University of Houston. I listened to McCarthy in Chicago, in Grant Park and I listened to Mixon last week in Herman Park and I have listened to you have tonight and I will say one thing that I think you have in common to McCarthy contrary to playing with words, you do like McCarthy, tell it straight and as far as I can see you have been telling the truth. That is a personal opinion.

(Applause)

My question is this, McCarthy indicated in the park that he would femain within the system but he was not going to work for either of the two candidates. Now, a lot of us are wondering what we are going to do for these four years, that we were for McCarthy before, but since McCerthy has removed himself, I would like to ask you, Mr. Vice President, why we should work for you.

VICE PRESIDENT HUNPHREY: Decause I think I offer you the best alter-

native out of what you have available.

(Applause and shouts)

QUESTION: Mr. Vice President -- VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yos, sir.

QUESTION: On behalf of the University of Houston, young democrats, the Eaglege young Democrats, the Harris County Young Democrats, the Fasadena Young Democrats and all of the young Democrats in this area, we come here tonight with a question that is in our mind representing not the hippies or the yippies on our compuses but ratherthe people who believe in America, the young people, that is, who still feel that they don't have an active voice, who still feel they need an active voice, and deserves an active voice, and we have to contend with these people.

Mr. Vice President, I ask you, how are you going to help us tell them that they do have an active voicein your campaign hoighing our Young Democrats and working within the political system?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: The average age of the campaign committee that worked for me prior to the convention, of all those that were working in my behalf in our headquartors, was 26. I thought we had a lot of young people. We didn't get much attention of having young people but we had a lot of young of young people and and we had thousands of young people with us at Chicago.

just as exactly as my friend, Senator Me arthy and Senator MeSover: had them, and we had them here and we had them all across this country. Because they happened to be supporting my candidacy and they frequently don't carry certain kinds of signs, and I don't object to signs, as a matter of fact. I have carried them and a lot of them in my time, I am a very telerant man about that, but because they do not engage in that, they seldem get that much attention.

Now, what is it that I can do that will deserve the attention and the help of the youngpeople, and may I say that I want to deserve it. As I told many a delegation, I never expected anybody's support. I wanted to earn it. My political life has been characterized by an association with youth all of my life, the men who work in myoffice as Vice President are young men. I have been one of those that helped sponsor the student inverm program in that government, to bring young people into Washington, D.C.

I have been Chairman of the President's Youth Epportunity Council. I have helped through that program with little or no funds, to secure a million four hundred thousand jobs for needy young men and women across this country. I think that means senathing to young people.

I have been a teacher at a great university as well as a student. And, my students seem to find in that teacher an understanding mind and a sympathetic spirit. I want, if I am permitted to be President, and during the time that I seek thiseffice, tohave the counselof young people. I want to listen to them. I do not brush their ideas aside. I am not at all sure that those of us who are impositions of responsibilities are right. We have to judge on the basis of what information we have but we must constantly seek new information to improve our judgment.

I want the idealism of young people in the political process. I want hem in the caucuses of our party. I want young people to be entrusted with political leadership in our party. And it doesn't particularly disturb me that there may be what we call sort of a rebel fashion in a party that is very young because I have noted over the ears that once they gain power, they get to acting somewhat like the rest of us.

(Langiter and applause)

Very much so.

I have not lost my sense of idealism, not one bit. I have called upon the young in this nation to help us in what I consider to be the great problems that confront us, to help us change athe attitude of this country about people, to help us break down racism in this country, to help us help the deprived in this country. I have gone from college campus to college campus as a Vice President, over 70 of them, and 30 junior colleges and technical institutes, calling upon young people to take

this enthusiasm that is theirs and go on out and halp space poople. politics of service, volunteer their time to a mental retardation institute. Valunteer their time to handlespend children. Valunteer their time to tutoring in the chettos. Wolumboor their time Zerrecreation play grounds attendance. Volunteer their lives to help young people across this

country and older poople.

I am the author of the peace Corps when Mr. Wixon called it a funciful idea, friends, and a scheme for draft dedgers. I sw the author may I say, of the programs called the Job Contor. I rought for those things. by whole life has been the estarized by an association with the needs of the young and I don't intend to give it py and if I am President of the United States, as I.said earlier, young can and we en in America will find not only a friend in the White House, they will find a companion, they will find a partner and serverly that they can wonk withound somebody they can be with.

(Applause)

QUESTION: Nr. President, places just one,

VICE PRESIDED LATER PSY: I have just about got to go home to bed here pretty --

QUESTION: Just ore question. VICE PRESIDENT FURTHER: I contt turn you down.

OUESTID : I know you can't. I am sorry I am a little over the average age of your ---

VICE PRESIDENT HEREERSY: low look time to me. Sorry, Muruel. (Laughter)

QUESTION: I want to tell you how very wonderful you look to us tonight. You know, from all the reports we have ned and the stories as have had, I didn't know whether I was going to some here and see a rea with a have face or a devisiones or what you were notice to be, but you look just like the fine wooderful and I mat in 1932 and for them I have to be cole . to work this year.

Liou, my question is, will you plants ent the poorle who have been siven slips of registration for murk inthe party in your earnaigh to save then to any lady in the audience that has a red, white end blue badge on. VICE PUBLICATE HOSPACH: Woll, I sure will. Sloss your hourt.

(Applause)

QUESTION: Mr. Vice Prosidery --

WICE PRESERVET HUMPHREY: Ladica and gentlemen, thank you wary, were much. There comes a time over whan the best of good times suck come to an end. And you have given us a recoloring avening. I hope you have enjoyed 17.

Thank you wary much.

(Applease)
19470R Mairs: We did not intend for him to dismiss us because we wanted to present the levely lady, Wrs. Hamphrey. (Applause)

要要望

## Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

