VICE PRESIDENT HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

TV PANEL SHOW WITH AREA COLLEGE STUDENTS

KPRC-TV, HOUSTON, TEXAS

RAY MILLER, NEWS DIRECTOR

"CONFRONTATION POLITICS"

Wednesday, September 11, 1968

Houston, Texas

WARD & PAUL

OFFICIAL REPORTERS 25 K STREET, N. E. Washington, D. C. 20002

(202) 628-4266

Taylor mbh/l

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

VICE PRESIDENT HUBERT H. HUMPHREY TV PANEL SHOW WITH AREA COLLEGE STUDENTS - KPRC-TV, HOUSTON, TEXAS

RAY MILLER, NEWS DIRECTOR

Wednesday, September 11, 1968

"CONFRONTATION POLITICS" 11:30 a.m.

MR. MILLER: The technique of seeking high office in the United States is changing constantly. We rarely see the candidates on train platforms any more. We still do see them, some, at outdoor rallies and meetings and we see them increasingly on television, and in this campaign we are seeing the candidates for President increasingly inviting questions and inviting the opportunity to make spontaneous replies to queries about their policies and philosophies.

This form of confrontation politics is particularly favored by Vice President Hubert Humphrey, the Democratic nominee for President. It is our pleasure today to have the Vice President of the United States in our studios and also to have with us four Houston College students who will be questioning him about some of the things youth wants; to know about the direction the country will take if Mr. Humphrey is elected President.

I would like to introduce to you now Jeff Cox, a history major at Rice, who has just come back fromspending the summer in Vietnam as a Baptist missionary; Babette Fraser, a political science student at St. Thomas University; Craig Washington, a senior law student at TSU, and Larry Doherty, a second year law student at the University of Houston.

We will begin the questioning with you, Jeff.

MR. COX: Mr. Vice President, the key term in Mr. Nixon's approach to the Vietnam War seems to be summed up in the word de-Americanization. This sounds good to me but I would like to know how you feel about the feasibility of a serious de-Americanization of the Vietnam War and how your approach to your problem would differ from Mr. Nixon's? How you feel it would differ?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, Jeff. I am sure we would all like to see a de-Americanization of the war in Vietnam and by that I gather the lowering of the number of troops that Amerca has committed to that area, the systematic phased withdrawal of troops when and if that is possible.

That is a hope and maybe it is a hope that in part can

l be realized, but it will depend upon two things.

First of all, the degree of attack from the north, coupled with ferocity of the attack from the Viet Cong, in other words, the intensity of the attack from the enemy, and more importantly, the capacity or the ability of the Army of South Vietnam known as the ARVN, to become a combat effective military establishment with modern weapons and modern equipment and modern training.

Now, there are some indications that that is taking place. As a matter of fact, there are very -- well, there are very reassuring indications in some of the units, but I would be less than honest with you if I didn't tell you that there is still quite a way to go before you can say that the Army of South Vietnam is capable of self-defense of the Republic.

Now, I had hoped and expressed the hope that we might be able to have some withdrawal of American troops. I said earlier that we might see that, hoped that we might, in 1969, early 1969, and even in late 1968, the hope.

This, of course, is the statement that President Tsieu made in Vietnam and one that has beenmentioned by General Westmoreland and Secretary Clark Clifford. But that hope depends, as you -- I think that your question indicates -- depends upon the effectiveness and the capacity of the Army of South Vietnam. Otherwise, the hope is not going to be very quickly realized.

MR. MILLER: Babette?

MISS FRASER: You are no longer saying, then, that that calling back of the U.S. Marine Division that was deployed there was of the -- you are not saying that this was one of those troop withdrawals that you hope to see?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: But it is a troop withdrawal of a temporary unit. It was a unit that was put in at the time of the TET offensive of about 4500 as I recollect, and some 700 or more of those are being brought back. Another 1500, by the way, have been discharged, some of them due to injuries and for other reasons.

There is a military unit, an Army unit, that will replace the 700 that are being brought back. That is a temporary unit that had a temporary purpose which is being brought back, but it is still my hope that if the program of training which General Abrams was responsible for under General Westmoreland and now promotes, if that program of training of ARVN continues and if the mobilization continues in South Vietnam, it is still my hope, and I speak now privately as a candidate, that it is my hope that we will be able to see some limited withdrawals of American forces but agan, that depends on the intensity of this war.

MR. MILLER: Craig?
MR. WASHINGTON: Mr. Vice President, as a student

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of the law I am interested in the phrase "law and order", which has come out of this campaign. It seems to be one of the primary issues facing the major candidates.

Now, to me if law and order means law enforcement, I think we are all in favor of law enforcement, but it seems to me that law and order means different things to different people. To a racist it is a battle cry. To the moderate it means status quo. To the liberal it means total equality.

Now, I think we are all in favor of total equality and that we are all in favor of strict law enforcement as long as it is equal strict law enforcement. Then I could say that there would have been no need for the 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments or the Civil Rights Act, or other legislative acts.

Now, if this is what the other candidate -- I can't think of his name -- if that is what he means by law and order, then what would be your position on law and order as an issue in this campaign? What would you do about law and order if and when you are elected?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I happen to think that the issue of law and order means civil order and civil justice. I think they are two sides of the same coin. I am speaking about law enforcement. You as a student of the law, are surely aware that our society depends on the rule of law and that lawlessness, organized crime, violence really does not lend itself towards social progress or towards a constructive and wholesome society. But I do not want to have any words of mine interpreted as a sort of a racist cry, law and order. The law and order applies to white and black. It

applies to lawbreakers. It applies in terms of law enforcement.

Now, law enforcement includes many things. It even includes building code enforcement. It includes public health code enforcement. It may very well include for the more enlightened citizenof today some rights that I believe belong in the 20th Century Bill of Rights, namely, the right of a man to have a job, the right of a person to live in a decent neighborhood, the right of a child to have an education, the right of personal security.

Now, I want to see a dedication to law enforcement, to the protection of people's rights. I want to be sure that life is secure insofar as we can make it secure. But I also want those who are pounding the table on the issue of law and order to keep in mind that these areas of infection, the areas of rural poverty and deprivation, of urban poverty and deprivation, lends themselves to lawlessness. There isn't any doubt about that. Any sociologist, any lawyer, any observer of the American scene knows that the highest incidence of crime is in the areas of the greatest deprivation and most

of the crime is committed against the poor. Most of the crime.

And much of the crime today is juvenile crime.

So, when we are talking about crime as such, we have got a tremendous problem on our hands without regard to race. It is right across the board. When we talk about violence, that violence today is related to some of the great social problems which we have, but violence cannot be condoned and I am sure that any man that is a student of the law feels as I do, that violence is the abhorrence of law and it is contradictory to law.

So, we have to suppress the violence but in so doing, let's not lose sight of the injustices and the inequities which plague our society.

MR. MILLER: Larry?

MR. DOHERTY: Surely all Americans value the question and answer series provided but more important than that, is the airing of conflict with our opponents to open public debate and in this thing I am curious to know if you are in favor of the legislation recently designed to do away with the equal time requirements so that you and Nixon could debate on TV without George Wallace?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I am prepared over TV, at county fairs, rodeo, or in a hotel lobby.

MR. DOHERTY: Are you willing to debate George

Wallace?

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I am willing to debate any man who seeks to be President.

MISS FRASER: Nowadays, since the Chicago Convention, no one, including journalists, has been able to really give a credible explanation as to why newsmen seem so singled out by the police as being attacked. Would you care to comment on this? I am not speaking of demonstrators but of the newsmen.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I really don't know. I frankly, don't know and I think it is most regrettable because after all, people who report the news must have access to the news. Now, where were some who said newsmen just got caught up in the confusion of the occasion. Somebody asked me what do you do about it in a riot? I said, get away just about as fast as you can but for a newsman that isn't his job. He is there to report and he runs grave risks, just exactly as some of our war reporters run the risk of losing their lives, and they have in Vietnam.

But for the police to single out the newsman, I think is unpardonable if that has happened. I cannot say it happened because frankly, I generally -- I know about the Chicago riots or the Chicago violence, I should say, about what you know. Namely, I read about it. I looked out the window on a couple of occasions but from the -- I have

forgotten what floor we were on now but I think it was the 24th floor -- looking out, I didn't always get as good a view of it as I might have liked.

MISS FRASER: Wouldn't you say then in your opinion the news media did as well balanced coverage of the convention and the outside demonstrations as was possible in the limited circumstances caused by the riots? Is this your opinion of well balanced coverage or not?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I guess that I am supposed to be very candid with you and I would say I don't think the balance was all there and I doubt that it ever is where there is violence because the violence generally gets the attention. When man bites dog that gets the attention and I don't -- I am not trying to chastise anybody for this but a pleasant conversation such as we are having here is really not quite as exciting to the news world as if we all would have a real riproaring rough and tumbling rassling match around here. This is just the way it is.

I mean, I don't know what we are going to do about it. I hope that we can take a more balanced view in many of the things that we do.

My own view was that the most important news in Chicago -- of course, this is my subjective view -- was what was going on in the Convention and not so much what was going on outside of the Convention. But if you are a newsman, you have a different point of view, you see.

I had a rather personal interest in what was going on in the Convention and I was very saddened by what went on outside the Convention. I think -- well, I think it soured a great deal much of what we were trying to do inside the Convention.

MR. MILLER: Craig?
MR. WASHINGTON: Mr. Vice President -VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes, Craig.

MR. WASHINGTON: In the area of new level politics there is a concept that if you find a social institution where -- using, for example, a comparable building, if you find a building with a faulty foundation, say a major crack or crevice, and you know it is impossible for the building to remain, is it better to destroy the building and start anew or attempt to patch up the cracks while knowing --

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: In a society where there is no peaceful way to get redress of grievances I suppose some people would justify the actions of destruction. As one who was once a professor of political science I can remember some of the philosophical discussions of even the right of regicide, but in our society where through political action and social action, through the peaceful processes, you can gain redress of your grievances I don't believe that you can

justify burning down, tearing down.

I heard one of the militant leaders, for example, in Chicago say that he was -- he wanted to burn down the society and build up on its ashes.

Well, that isn't necessary today. That is not

necessary. We are able to change law.

Now, I happen to believe that the same people that want to have law and order also ought to take a look at laws and see whether or not they are just laws and if they are not, we should change those laws. I have been engaged in that all of my life, changing law for what I believe was the right the right direction of a just law. I just believe that when you start to make individual judgments in a society where there is concensus by the governed, when you start to make individual judgments as to which laws you will obey and which ones you won't and which institutions you are going to tear down and destroy, and which ones you won't, you start making those individual judgments of what you are going to do and you are going to do it if need be violently, you have anarchy and anarchy lends itself to only chaos and chaos does not lend itself to social progress and construction.

MR. MILLER: Jeff?

MR. COX: Could I ask your opinion on the difference between civil disobedience and protest?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: There is a great deal of difference between civil disobedience -- that is what we call the non-violent protest -- and the violent protest. Now, the people who engage in civil disobedience or non-violence, they recognize they will have to suffer the impact of the law if they violate the law. They enter that in a sense as crusaders, knowing what they are up against.

For example, Reverend Abernathy in Washington, D.C., engaged in an act of civil disobedience. He knew that and he knew that the penalty was, that he would be taken up by the police. He knew that. He said so.

Now, he wasn't out trying to butn down something or tear down something. He engaged in an active protest and was willing to pay the price. That is what we mean by an act of civil disobedience, and the law moved an on him.

Now, if the law is unjust, and he apparently thought it was or others have thought it was, they can seek to change the law, change it through men like yourself getting elected to office, change it through public opinion, change law through men like myself. I, for example, helped change the laws of this country in the field of civil rights, to strike down the barriers of segregation, strike down the barriers of segregation in public places, change the law. I believe that laws need to be changed but I don't believe, myself I don't believe you change them through violence and frankly, I don't, speaking for

3

4

5

7

8

-

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

myself, I do not believe in changing them through civil disobedience but there is a great deal of difference between a non-violent demonstration and a demonstration that has directed its energies and its purpose to cause destruction.

MR. MILLER: Larry?

MR. DOHERTY: I realize this question lends itself to a wide discussion. I was wondering what specific counter measures you as President would advocate now that Russia has turned on the cold war facet again in Czechoslovakia?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Well, first of all, it is quite obvious that we need to take a good hard look at our NATO alliance and ask our partners in the NATO alliance to take a good look at it. We are the ones that have kept up our commitments and many of our partners regrettably have been short on fulfilling their commitments.

Secondly, I would ask that we keep in mind that there are some very important matters of common concern between ourselves and the Soviet Union. We are the super powers and I am looking at young people who have a great deal of time left on this earth, if there is any earth for you to be on, that is worth calling earth, and the world. We have created instruments of total destruction. And we are in the process of creating new instruments of total, of even greater destruction if you can be greater than total.

Now, I happen to believe that it is in the interest of humanity and our interests and the Soviet Union's interest to slow down this arms race, to wit, I believe that the nonproliferation treaty, the nuclear nonproliferation treaty, is in our national interest. I think it is in the Soviet Union's national interest. I think it is in the interest of world peace.

I do not believe that it is good public policy nor is it good protection of America's national interest for anyone to advocate that we now hesitate or fail to ratify that treaty. I hotice that Mr. Nixon has had doubts as to whether or not we should ratify it. He is not being very specific these days. He has doubts, but he doesn't come down foursquare.

Now, those doubts that he expressed are going to injure the policy, injure the possibility of the ratification of the nuclear nonproliferation treaty.

I want to say that that is not an act of peace. I think that that aggravates the international situation. I think it precipitates greater danger in the world situation, and I do not think it is representative of statesmanship.

We ought to ratify that treaty and we ought to do it promptly. 80 other countries have done so. It is in our national interest. So that whatever the relationship between ourselves and the Soviet Union, let's think in terms of what

5 6

is basically in our national interests when our national interest particularly as a worldwide interest is toward a more peaceful world. I can justify mine in a moment.

I notice again Mr. Nixon takes the view on another subject that is sort of even in between, the sort of middle course that doesn't really tell anybody where you are. He says Mr. Fortas is a fine man and yet his own Republicans in the Congress joined by conservative southern Democrats are blocking confirmation of that nomination.

Now, I want to use this program for the third or fourth time to ask Mr. Nixon, are you for his confirmation or are you not? Are you going to ask your Republican leadership in the Senate of the United States, Mr. Nixon, your Republicans, to confirm that nomination or are you going to permit them by your silence to engage in an undemocratic practice called the filibuster?

I want to ask Mr. Nixon, is he going to advise the Republican members of the Senate of the U.S. to ratify the nonprolkiferation treaty or is he going to weasel on that one, too, wiggling and wobbling. This country doesn't need a wiggler and wobbler. It needs a leader.

MR. MILLER: Babette?

MISS FRASER: One of the arguments, at least the ones I have heard on both sides of the political spectrum on the war on poverty, is that the poverty programs are very far removed from the people affected. This is something — now, do you believe that the contact with the people that will bring the program closer to the people affected is a good idea? If so, what specifically would you do?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I believe there have been those charges but I want to say many of the programs operating today are closer to the people than programs have ever been before. We have presented in the United States over 10,000 people in Community Action Councils that have been elcted by the poor themselves to operate their own programs under the Community Action Programs of the Office of Economic Opportunity. This has never happened before.

There has been a struggle going on in the country between what we call the establishment, the elected representatives in city hall, and the community action people out here in the communities of deprivation and poverty. But this has been working — there has been a meeting of the minds and many of these Community Action Councils now represent a blend of what we call city hall on the one hand and the representatives of the poor.

We have had many elections in this country -right here in the City of Houston, by the way -- for
representatives of the poor on the Community Action Programs.
In the Model Cities, and this by the way, I think is very

important, before a Model Cities application is really presented and the planning that goes into it, I think the representatives of the people that are going to be affected by that model cities program ought to be included in the planning, and if I am permitted to be President of the U.S. I will emphasize giving people the chance to make their own decisions. I want to caution you they will make some bad ones because people that have had no experence, been denied experience in decision making, are bound to make some mistakes. They have to get experience. They have to mature. But we are going to have to pay that price.

The War on Poverty is not flawless any more than

The War on Poverty is not flawless any more than the war on cancer is flawless. We have had poverty longer than we have had cancer as a disease and we don't go around throwing doctors out and comdemning the medical profession because they don't succeed in the war on cancer, and we don't do it in the great doctors that are doing this fantastic and miraculous work in transplants.

I think this is one of the truly great possibilities and hopes for better life in Amerca, whast the medical profession is doing, but many of the transplant patients have died but you don't go around saying to the medical profession, you failed, you ought to get up -- you ought to close up your hospital, we ought to take away your money.

We don't say that at all. What you say is look, do you think it will work? Can you improve? Here is some more money. Let's go do some more research. Somebody else comes on and says I believe I have found something that will be helpful.

Now, let's have the same kind, well, the same kind of tolerance, the same kind of patience in this war on man's oldest disease, poverty.

MR. MILLER: Jeff?

MR. COX: This may not seem like a major political issue but one of the major points of tension in the academic community on the university campuses and one of the many points of tension of the university, even between the university and the middle class, for instance, the white middle class, particularly, is the use of drugs on campus. I would like to ask you specifically if you feel like the law which says that possession of marijuana is a felony, is an unjust law.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: My own personal view is, no. I am originally a pharmacist. Let's quit kidding ourselves about marijuana. Marijuana may be not called an addictive drug by some but it lends itself to it and marijuana is just about as useful to you as having three headaches an hour. No use going around tapping yourself just to see whether you can take it.

The drug traffic is being exploited amongst the young and when you read the crime statistics and see that the young are the ones that are responsible for most of the crime, I think we might ask ourselves how many adults have contributed to that situation.

If I am President of the United States I want to serve warning here and now there will be a massive attack upon the narcotics problem of this country, Federal, state and local, as I intend to help our local governments in terms of improving the quality of their police, the professionalization of them, better training of police, higher recruitment of police and the better paying of the police.

All of these problems, by the way, require investment of the public resources but this drug problem on the campuses and high schools and on street corners has gotten totally out of hand and it requires the prompt attention and the massive attention, may I say, of every responsible public authority.

MR. MILLER: Larry?

MR. DOHERTY: The President's Commission on Civil Disorders reported white racism is one of the root causes of civil disobedience and civil disorders and though we have got conspicuous silence from the White House as embracing this particular idea I want to know if you agree with the President's Commission on Civil Disorder on that finding?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: The Kerner report -- and I had the privilege of reading it and my co-chairman, Senator Fred Harris, was one of the authors of the Kerner report, that is, the disorder riots commission report along with David Ginsberg who was the counsel for the citizens committee, co-chairman, so you see I had some degree of involvement in this report -- that report said that we were tending towards two societies, separate and unequal. It was not an obituary of the American scene. It was a health report. It didn't say we were dead or gone. It said that if we keep it up the way we have been going, we are going to have many more serious problems than we have today, and it did point out that many of the institutions of this country were in a sense infected by what was known as white racism.

I thinkmost of us realize that our institutions are essentially Anglo-Saxon institutions. I think most of us realize that practices of discrimination still prevail, even though the law outlaws discrimination as a legal matter, that segregation and discrimination are still habitual in far too many, people's minds and in far too many communities, and what this report simply says is that if we have to rid ourselves of that and make this one nation really in fact, not just in law, one nation, it said, under God.

I think that phrase means a great deal. It didn't

say one nation under the statutes. But one nation in spirit. And then, with liberty and justice for all.

I believe that the Kerner Commission report is a very helpful document for this country and as you know, I have commented on it very favorably and I will take, not only take a look at it -- I have already done that -- but I shall take that Kerner Commission report and try to implement as many of the provisions as possible in terms of my Administration as President.

MR. MILLER: Craig -- we have less than two minutes. MR. WASHINGTON: Mr. Vice President, respecting your views concerning civil disobedience, it seems to me that to filibuster on the nomination of Mr. Justice Fortas -- it seems to me that publicofficials who denounce the actions and rulings of the U.S. Supreme Court are engaged in civil disobedience because this is the law.

Now, heretofore I believe that civil disobedience has been looked upon as a method by which the black man has attemp ed to gain his equality.

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: Yes.

11

MR. WASHINGTON: But I think there is another side to this coin, any time a person who is a public official is 12 sworn to uphold the law, he gets before the nationwide media and denounces a decision of the Supreme Court, this is also 13 civil disobedience. Would you please react to this, sir?

VICE PRESIDENT HUMPHREY: I will be happy to react. If a man gets up and says he is for law and order and in the same paragraph starts denouncing the Supreme Court in its decisions, and the courts and the Attorney General, he is not doing very much for law and order. I am not going to call him any names but I ask him to examine his conscience.

How do you expect people to have faith in the law, believe in the law, respect for the law, when you start demeaning and condemning the highest court of the land and the highest law enforcement of the land? I think this is unpardonable, and as far as the filibuster is concerned, it may be legal but it is wrong and it is an undemocratic practice and anyone that wants to be President of the United States ought to condemn it and also ought to at least remind those who are engaged in it, its supporters, to cease and desist. That is a good legal term and I would suggest that one who has been a lawyer and seeks to be President ask those who are engaging in undemocratic practices to sease and desist, and I would suggest as a lawyer he have respect for the courts, the Attorney Genera and for the Supreme Court of the United States.

24 MR. MILLER: Mr. Vice President, we are going to have to cease and desist ourselves. I am sorry we have exhausted our time. Thank you for being with us. Thank you, Babette, and gentlemen.



## Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

