000461 3.11 Weller Jore John Jenny Schu Sher Anai REMARKS DRABLE HUBERT H. HUMPHREY FAMAIION LEAGUE OF BYNAI B'RH WASHINGTON. D.C APRIL 21, 1969 East Last September I addressed the A of B'nai B'rith in the opening speech of my campaign for the Presidency of the United States. In this speech I discussed what I considered to be one of the two principal issues of the campaign -- the issue of racial justice in America. I asked this question: Shall we -- as a nation -- move forward toward one society of opportunity and justice ... or dary

A shall we abandon this commitment out of fear and prejudice and move instead toward a fractured and separated society -black against white, rich against poor, comfortable against the left-out?

The campaign has ended. The Presidency has changed hands. But this question still prevails ... with an intensity even greater than when I raised the issue last September.

A little more than a year ago, the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders -- the Kerner Commission -released its historic report and recommendations. On the first anniversary of this report, Urban America and the Urban Coalition released a study, <u>One Year Later</u>, which evaluated our progress to date in meeting the goals of the Kerner Commission.

-3-

This appraisal stated that:

2-Poverty remains a pervasive fact of life ... and the continuing disparity between this poverty and the general affluence remains a source of alienation and discontent.

"--Ghetto schools continue to fail. The small amount of progress that has been made has been counterbalanced by a growing atmosphere of hostility and conflict in many cities.

"--At present, there are no programs that seriously threaten the continued existence of the slums."

And as the Kerner report had warned a year earlier, the study concluded: "For a year later, we are a year closer to being two societies, black and white, increasingly separate and scarcely less equal."

-4-

I was distressed at the meager attention given this important evaluation when it was released six weeks ago., For this report outlined, as did the Kerner Commission before it, the specific actions which must now be taken in America to reverse this alarming pattern of social disintegration, But We must understand the dimensions of our basic challenge:to achieve not only equity in opportunity but equity in results. And equity in results refers to very concrete goals -- the right to a job at decent pay, the right to an adequate income if one cannot work, the right to an education which releases rather than destroys human creativity, the right to decent housing in safe neighborhoods, the right to good health care and sufficient nutrition.

The accumulated wrongs and deprivations of generations cannot be swept away overnight & But this obvious fact must not become an excuse for making anything less than the maximum effort to do as much as we can -- now.

We hear a lot these days about not promising more than we can deliver -- about not raising peoples' expectations over society's capacity to remedy long-standing injustices, All of this is wise and prudent talk ... up to a point.

--Up to the point of permitting such sentiments to become rationalizations for doing less than the absolute maximum of what we are capable of doing.

--Up to the point that this approach suggests to the American people that these terribly urgent and difficult problems can be solved without considerable sacrifice and commitment on their part.

### -6-

## where

--And up to the point that we believe our basic error has been in saying to the American people that <u>all</u> should share equitably in the wealth and opportunity of this nation ... and forgetting that, in fact, our basic error has been the protracted failure to mobilize the resources and energies of this country to reach this goal.

Let's face one fact squarely: television and advertising will do the job of raising peoples' expectations, regardless of what the government may do or what our political leaders may say. But it is the special responsibility of government and our political leadership to make the decisions and generate the support and confidence that will get this job done.

On the basis of the Administration's reductions of the federal budget in critical domestic areas, -- cities, education, health care, the Job Corps and the poverty program -- I can

only conclude that they fail to grasp the urgency of our present circumstances ... and that they are profoundly mistaken in believing that gradualism and patience are sufficient in these days of crisis.

The Administration's confusing and often contradictory performance over the enforcement of existing civil rights statutes, moreover, can only create the most serious doubts about the basic commitment to healing the divisions and eradicating the inequities of this society.

To illustrate briefly:

The same day that the NAACP Legal Defense Fund went into Federal Court over the Pentagon's refusal to demand equal hiring and housing practices by three textile companies,

-8-

the Justice Department sued a textile mill for precisely these violations of federal law.

And the Administration announced its intention to fire Clifford Alexander, the Chairman of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the day after Minority Leader Dirksen threatened Alexander with replacement for allegedly "harrassing" employers who are violating the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

As you know, Mr. Alexander has now resigned his position as Chairman, rather than submit to dismissal under these most unfortunate circumstances.

As one of the principal authors of this legislation, I want to commend Mr. Alexander for his faithful and fair conduct of his responsibilities. And I think the vocal advocates of law and order in this society should recognize the severe damage that

## -9-

influence or

is wrought by see efforts to intimidate public officials who are only exercising their statutory duties in a responsible and effective manner.

The inconsistency of this record -- the marked disparity between words and deeds -- will only encourage those elements in our society which seek to perpetuate racist policies in jobs, housing, education and public services generally.

The time has arrived for those groups like the Anti-Defamation League to demand publicly that our civil rights laws be enforced to the letter.

Any other course by the federal government can only deal a grevious blow to this nation's efforts to root out the bigotry and racism which increasingly threaten to divide this land and people.

:2

-10-

In the course of the Presidential campaign another issue arose of overriding importance: the issue of controlling the strategic arms spiral which threatens the survival of all mankind.

Congress and the American people are now faced with a basic decision: Will we accede to the backlogged requests of the military for an entirely new generation of offensive and defensive strategic nuclear weapons ... or will we attempt to negotiate with the Soviet Union an end to the nuclear arms race and thereby open the door for a far greater mobilization of America's resources in the cause of humanity, both at home and abroad?

C The opening skirmish in this struggle over national priorities will be fought over money for the initial deployment

-11-

of the Safeguard anti-ballistic missile system. But this debate is only indicative of the more fundamental decisions that must be reached in the coming year over military spending. One thing is certain: the process of shifting significant sums of federal money from works of war to works of peace Bud start 7 4 Billions will not be easy. Every positive advance in nuclear arms control has been opposed by powerful members of Congress and segments of the military and defense establishment. Any meaning of Agreements reached with the Soviets concerning the freezing or reduction of our strategic weapons will almost certainly be again.

But unless this battle is won, the outcome of subsequent encounters to secure additional resources for cities, for education, for health care, for jobs and housing, and related activities

-12-

will be largely decided -- in the negative -- even before the issues get to Congress.

Our military budgets will continue to expand; our domestic allocations will become proportionately smaller. This is precisely the outcome of President Killor's recent cutbacks in the federal budget.

We now have the chance to reverse this traditional pattern if we focus our efforts on ending the insanity of the strategic nuclear arms race, while we begin simultaneously the difficult job of securing these resources for urgent domestic needs.

This is our opportunity in this moment of crisis and challenge ... Can we now summon the courage and faith so clearly demanded by the times?



	28
1	MR. SCHARY: Ladies and gentlemen, may I have
2	your attention, please? I bid you welcome to the luncheon.
3	Before I introduce the guests on the dais I would like to
4	invite on behalf of the New York Chapter of the ADL, to
5	invite all the women to a tea at 3 o'clock, to run from 3 to
6	5. This invitation is extended by Mrs. John Goldwater, the
7	President of the New York Women of ADL. You will all be
8	together, all the women, there will be no men present
9	except maybe Larry Peirez.
10	(Laughter)
11	I hope that is a warning for all of you. The
12	reception will be in the Bird Cage.
13	I now present to you the guests on the dais.
14	Beginning at the extreme left, Judge David Rose, Boston,
15	Massachusetts.
16	(Applause)
17	The Executive Vice President of B'Nai B'Rith,
18	Rabbi Jay Kaufman.
19	(Applause)
20	The Treasurer of the ADL, Richard Lederer.
21	(Applause)
22	The distinguished gentleman, former.Secretary
23	of Agriculture, Orville Freeman.
24	(Applause)
25	President of B'Nai B'Rith Women, Mrs. Michael

ERAL REPORTERS, INC.

29 Shapiro. (Applause) The head of the Program Division, National Commissioner of ADL, Samuel Delsimer. (Applause) On my right, National Director of the Anti-Defamation League, Benjamin Epstein. (Applause) 9 The very distinguished former Attorney General 10 of the United States, Ramsey Clark. (Applause) 18 President of the International B'Nai B'Rith, Dr. William Wexler. (Applause) Honorary Chairman, former National Chairman of the ADL Commission for ten years, Mr. Henry Schultz. (Applause) I will find out who this fellow is on my right and I will introduce him later. 20 (Laughter) You know in all political campaigns, the people 23 who are involved with the candidates, in addition to trying 23 to give him a measure of truth of what is going on, has to provide a certain amount of enthusiasm and confidence. Part 254 of the job is to keep telling the candidate he is far in STATISTIC REPORTERS, INC.

1 front, he is moving along great.

- she	energy in the material meaning Pressays
2	It is like the story of the prizefighter some
3	of you may remember, who after one or two rounds, was rather
4	bloody and battered, and he came to his corner on wobbly
	knees and his manager said to him, "You are doing great, he
ē.	is not laying a glove on you." And the prizefighter
2	answered, "Keep your eye on the referee, because somebody is
8	kicking hell out of me."
9	(Laughter.)
2.0	I have a feeling that our guest today may find
21	himself in that position occasionally, thinking about some
314	months past.
(D	He happens to be the nation's best known college
	professor.
2.5	(Applause)
10	And my guess is that he is certainly one of the
17	best.
16	(Applause)
1.3	Eut that is only a temporary career, because,
20 <sup>*</sup>	as you know, this gentleman is accustomed to making history
27.	rather than teaching it. For millions of Americans he
82   	remains the embodiment of the liberal progressive credo.
25 [	We will never forget his leadership and enormous contribu-
24	tions to Civil Rights and human needs as Mayor of
2.5	Minneanapolis, Senator from Minnesota, Vice President of the
PPOSTERS, F.C.	

United States and as candidate for the United States presidency.

1

1

10

11

There was a story told to us at a meeting in New York recently by Senator Muskie. The story described the rather typical attitude of the people in Maine. It was the story of the tourist who was going through Maine and he came to a junction and one road led right and one led left, and both roads had a big sign saying "To Portland." The tourist, rather puzzled, turned to a resident of the area and said, "Does it make any difference which road I take?" And the man answered, "Not to me."

### (Laughter)

Hubert Humphrey would never give that kind of an answer. He would be deeply concerned about trying to figure out what would be the best road to take towards any given goal.

## (Applause)

He has been a friend of mine for long, long years. I think he is a man that inspires enormous affection and loyalty and admiration. He has been honored many times by the Anti-Defamation League. To us he remains a good man. Today he honors us once again by addressing us. So with a real sense of privilege and pride, I present to you our friend, articulate head of the Democratic Party, Hubert H. Humphrey.

(A standing ovation)

1.1	
2	MR. HUMPHREY: Thank you very much my friend,
3	Dore Schary, and to all of our distinguished guests this
4	verbal hello, particularly to Bill Wexler and Mrs. Shapiro,
5	my old friend Henry Schultz and Ben Epstein and my former
6	associates in the Cabinet, Ramsey Clark and Orville Freeman.
71	You notice how at peace they both look. My,
8	what a joy it is to be a free spirit. Particularly in the
9	spring.
10	(Laughter)
20	And it appears we are going to have plenty of
14.	chance to be free spirits this spring.
17	(Laughter)
	I don't want you to read anything into that at
36	all.
<sup>1</sup> D	Dore, I'm sorry I was unable to be with you
	Saturday night. Or should I say I was not privileged to
20	be with that great audience that herald you on Saturday
19	in a testimonial to this great man who has given so much of
20	himself and of his tremendous talent to the cause of social
2	justice and to human dignity in the United States and
86.	throughout the world. I just want to add my own little
23	testimonial to the many that were already given. I consider
24	it a high honor and rare privilege to share in your
25	friendship. It is one of the richest gifts and one of the

C

 $\bigcirc$ 

1

most generous gifts a man could ever receive, and I think our country is ever indebted to you for the work you have undertaken or the great things that you have accomplished. But more importantly for your daring, your courage and your zest for whatever you do.

1

16

17

19

SERVICERS, INC.

I just feel I am a lucky fellow. That's the advantage of being in public life, if only for a while. You get to meet such wonderful people as Dore Schary and his wife, and I want to say how much I appreciate the privilege 3 of knowing both of them.

One of our first times together, not the first but one, was when we were sitting in the bleachers in Tel Aviv in Israel on Independence Day in 1957. It was hot but it was wonderful. We had a great chance to visit then 15 about many things in that troubled part of the world.

Today I want to talk to you a little bit, not so much about the world trouble beyond our frontiers or 0 0 borders, but about some of the things that have been going on here in our own country. It has been said that I am a 30 teacher once again. There are those who doubt that but I 21 at least have the title. I have many people who say to me, "How do I address you, what do I call you?" I say, "Well, 23 just keep it clean, that's all." Then I give them a variety 24 of choices. Then I say I would just like to be known as 25 Mr. Humphrey or to my friends as Hubert. There comes a time

in your life when it is just good to be a plain citizen. I think being a citizen of the United States of America is about as good a title as you can get.

I have been doing a little teaching, I just came back from a visit in the New England states. I did not start the trouble at Harvard, I want you to know, nor did I settle it.

### (Laughter)

9 But I was at MIT and I was up at the University 10 of Massachusetts at Amherst. Some of you know I served as 11 a member of the Board of Trustees at Brandeis University 13 and had the rare privilege of being associated with Morris 14 Aberman, a gentleman that I consider to be one of the 14 gifted and wonderful people of our country.

3

1-9/3X 119. - 2C

So I am having a good time, I get a chance to look at the University from the management point of view, from the faculty point of view and the studen point of view, and I am here to tell you it is rough from any point of view you want to take. I was busy with a young potential student the other day and I said to him, "What do you plan to take?" He said, "I haven't decided whether it is the Administration Building or the Library."

### (Laughter)

So you can see what is going on. "I wish it was all just in fun, but I am afraid there is more to it than

that. It tells us a great deal about what is happening on our campuses. It tells us a great deal of the troubles and torment in the world today, and also it is going to tell us a little bit about how we determine the difference between dissent with a purpose and dissent with no purpose other than destruction.

21

7

We are going to have to decide that and we are going to have to find out how we come to that conclusion, the process we follow and how we handle each situation. I don't think we really know yet. But I do think that we know what is happening can not long continue without great damage to the very fabric of a free society, which is the right of freedom of inquiry, excellence in education and the intellectual freedom in a climate of reason and restraint, which should be the prevailing climate of a university or a college campus.

Well, I didn't come here to talk to you about that. It is just part of our troubles. I want you to know that I am very aware of it and it is tied up with all we speak of here today, troubled times. Vietnam is still with us. We still search for answers and they are not easily come by. The Czechoslovakian crisis deepens. The problems in Korea are intensified. The danger level in the world is continuing to rise. The Middle East is a cauldron of trouble.

Again some of you know I have travelled from one end of the country to the other talking to audiences about some of the requirements of the State of Israel in the Middle East, and also hopefully talking some sense about the possibilities of a settlement in that troubled area. I do it because I believe that there is a need for a public understanding in this country of what is going on. Ferrorism has become a pattern of international and national conduct and yet we don't quite know how to deal with it.

There are the quick critics of the State of Israel who condemn her when she counterattacks and even though one's emotions for the moment may say why did they do it, I have to constantly keep in mind if I were in the government of that country, how could I long survive and ask for the respect of the people if I didn't provide them with some protection.

The Middle Fast is on the agenda of this nation as well as others and peace in that part of the world is of more importance, I think, to the long term safety of this country and of the free world and of the whole world, ours and the Soviet Union, than any particular area and any particular development in any area of the world. (Applause)

25

EL RIERS IN

I have taken the time on other occasions to talk

about what I think are the essential elements for a just and abiding peace or enduring peace in that area. I just want to make one quick comment, I do this because I was just advised here about some of the things that transpired here in the conference thus far.

1

I know and you know our national will participate in a four power conference. There are many doubts as to what that conference can produce, if anything. It will produce but one thing. The insistence to the end of the stated beligerancy in the Middle East on the part of the nation of Israel and possibly a climate of some degree of rationality and restraint would ensue. If that happens then the possibility of bi-lateral negotiations for settlement of other areas in dispute might be possible.

So there are some things that we can do. What is most important and why I mention it is this: We must not let these matters become special projects of just those of 10 us in this room. There is a battle on for men's minds all over the world about everything, and there is a battle on in this country right now for public opinion about the 21 Middle East. And every person in this room has an obligation to talk to others besides just yourself, your mother, your 23 father, your sister or your brother. Talk to your neighbor, 25 4 get some reasonable, understandable discussion of what is going on before a public opinion has solidified and the COPTERS IN 2 government then responds to a public opinion.

I will only summarize by saying this, there 1 never has been a friendly or even-handed policy in the Middle East unless the President of the United States 3 made it so. That's where it comes from, there would have been no recognition without Harry Truman. Now, you put that down. I served with this government a long time. Sixteen years in the Senate, four years in the Cabinet, and I am 19 here to tell you without men like Harry Truman and Dwight 2 Eisenhower, and our beloved President John Kennedy, and G Lyndon Johnson, there would have been no even-handed, and that's what it has been, a fair and even-handed policy in 77 the Middle East. Israel knew she had a reasonable, responsible friend in the White House and in the government, and you better make sure that it continues and I think it w111.

0

22

(Applause)

38

Now, that's the hors d'oeuvres. I didn't really
plan to say that to you, I decided to toss that in. I
always do that. But you have a little time today and so do
I, I'm not that rushed any more. Even when I was rushed you
got more than you could take.

#### (Laughter)

I was just visiting with Bill Wexler and Henry Schultz about my visit herein September. Last September I addressed the Biennial Convention of B'Nai B'Rith. It was the opening speech in the sense of my campaign for the Presidency of the United States. I'm sorry that I didn't do better but at least you gave me a good sendoff. It was in that speech that I discussed what I considered to be the one or at least one of the two basic and principal issues of the national campaign, and looking upon the campaign as an opportunity to educate as well as to get votes, I discussed the issue of racial justice in America.

1

3

25

1 REPORTED 5, 19

Now, it is getting more difficult to discuss this issue week after week and month after month because there are so many in our country that are beginning to give up, to say that even the achievements of yesterday meant nothing, that the old Civil Rights coalition no longer means anything, that there is another group that says well, no one appreciates what you do anyhow.

Then there is mother group that says well, look at the extremists, the militants, et cetera, and I am here to tell you with all of that loose talk and the degree of truth, I suppose, in each and every observation, that the issue of racial justice is still the unsolved issue of this nation, and there is no room for retreat. You can not turn back, you can not become discouraged, you can not in any way let the extremists of right or left take hold and control.

(Applause)

So I asked the question of that wonderful audience, and I really based my whole campaign on this question, and I am proud that I did so. We talked it out, Orville, Ramsey, myself and others. I said, "Shall we as a nation" -- and I quote exactly from that address -- "move forward towards one society of opportunity and justice or shall we abandon this committment out of fear and prejudice and move instead towards a fractured and separated society, black against white, rich against poor, comfortable against the left out?"

That is still the issue. The campaign ended, the presidency has changed hands, but the Question, this fundamental question still prevails, and I might add with an intensity even greater than when I raised the issue last September.

Now, a little more than a year ago the National
Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders released its very
important recommendations. On the first anniversary of
this report, Urban America, one of our foundation groups,
and the Urban Coalition, released a study entitled "One
Year Later." That study evaluated our progress to date
in meeting the goals and the recommendations of the
Commission and this appraisal stated some things with
unbelievable candor and frankness.

- REPORTERS

I quote, "Poverty remains a pervasive fact of

<sup>1</sup> life and the continuing disparity between this poverty and the general affluence remains a source of alienation and discontent. Ghetto schools continue to fail. The small amount of progress that has been made has been counterbalanced by a growing atmosphere of hostility and conflict in many cities. At present" -- and listen to this, one year later -- "at present there are no programs that seriously threaten the continued existence of the slums,"

<sup>9</sup> Ladies and gentlemen, when I read that, and by <sup>10</sup> the way, I think it received all too little attention, I was <sup>11</sup> reminded of the Commission report and what it had warned a <sup>12</sup> year earlier, and then this study one year later said, for <sup>13</sup> a year later we are a year closer to being two societies, <sup>14</sup> black and white, increasingly separate and scarcely less <sup>15</sup> equal.

Now, there are some people who want it that way. Some in the black community and some in the white community. They are very limited in number but very demanding and very militant. They are the ones, if you please, that indulge in all forms of extremist action. They are the ones, if we do not watch out, that will frighten us away from the ramparts that we ought to be guarding and frighten us away from the work we ought to be doing.

I said that I was distressed to see the meager

REPORTERS DIS

1outlined, just as theCommission had done2before, specific actions which now must be taken in America3to reverse this alarming pattern of social disintegration,4and we have an alarming pattern of it, ladies and gentlemen.5But I think we must also understand the dimensions of our6basic challenge and the dimension is simply this: To achieve7not only equity of opportunity but equity in results.

Now, equity and opportunity is not enough for those persons in our society who for years have been denied 9 opportunity. I used to talk a great deal about the quality of opportunity and then I realized just what a fraud that 11 12 was. Because when people have been denied so long and have been set back so far and have been pushed down so much, when 14 they were the victims of segregation which was not only separatism but also subjugation and humiliation, then, ladies 16 and gentlemen, equal opportunity for the disinherited, so to speak, for the disadvantaged or the needy, is not enough. 18 You need for a period of time a little more than equal 19 opportunity to get into a bargaining position.

#### (Applause)

Now, equity and results referred to some very concrete goals and I list just a few here. One of the first things you have to consider is how do the powerless have a chance to share in power. This is at the heart of it. Many of us have been brought up in the spirit of compassion and

charity. I think it cozes from us, particularly in groups
like this. But that's not it. That isn't adequate any
longer. You can get that in a benevolent dictatorship.
That is part of a paternalistic society. The real
fundamental issue today is how do those who have never been
in the power structure get a chance to move into it. How
do you, in other words, get the powerless into power or to
share in the power, to share in the decisions that affect
their lives?

I must just say quickly to you that of course it is going to be difficult. It will never be easy. This is like the birth of a nation.

How well I recall when President Johnson spoke at the University of Maryland some four or five years ago and talked to us about the other America, the two Americas, and we almost forgot that historic message. That other America today is coming alive and now the Question is is it going to stay another America or are we going to have one? Are we going to learn how to share the power and in the process of doing it be able to have a society sufficiently flexible, adaptable and strong to take the bumps and the foment and the jars and the troubles that will inevitably come with it?

17

10

19

21

22

23

24

ALS I THE FL REPORTERS, INC.

You see, I think we have that kind of social structure and that is why I fight for the system. I had a

group of young people condemning the system the other day and they said what we need is change and I said that is the system. This system can change. It has adapted itself to 3 a human need, in science, technologies, it is constantly 1 changing. We need to go on the offensive, my dear friends, to talk about this system of ours, not as if it is in a E. straight jacket or shackles, but it is a system that grows like life and like people and that it changes and it changes 8 primarily by our will and what we determine it to be. 9

Well, one of those concrete goals is the right 10 of the job, we know, and that job doesn't come unless you 11 have skill and that means training. A job at decent pay. These are not new rights, we have been talking about these for a long time. It doesn't mean they are not just as evident or just as much relevant as the new world is. But it is here, the right to an adequate income if one can not work. When I mention that, just removing the tax on the 17 poor is no substitute for some better form of income 18 19 maintenance.

I have been reading a little bit about what is going on and I am for removing the tax from the poor, but 51 don't let that tell you that that is basic tax reform which the country needs, nor is it a substitute for some form of 83 income maintenance that is far better than this demeaning e. system of welfare which has grown up over the past forty 25

TOTAL REPORTERS, IN ...

٦.

2

5

7

8

G

CONTRACTED S. INC

years. We have to do something better than that.

### (Applause)

And the right to an education, and that right must be protected from those who are the reactionaries who won't let you have the funds for it or the means and from those who are the revolutionaries that would destroy your opportunity even to study. And the right to an education which realizes rather than destroys human creativity and the right to decent housing in safe neighborhoods.

10 I wish this country would get as excited about 11 providing low income housing as we do interstate highways. 12 Now, I don't think it is either/or, but if we were as 13 derelict in providing interstate highways as we are low 14 income rental housing or ownership housing, they would run the Federal Highway Department out of Washington. The 16 Congress of the United States would be up in arms. The 17 state governments would be down here pounding at every 18 Congressman's and Senator's door, demanding an audience with 19 the President.

In 1949 this government of ours said as a goal with Robert Taft, a conservative voice, that the goal of America was a decent home for every American family, and we outlined a goal in the next six years to be completed in 1955 of 800,000 low income subsidized public housing units. Twenty years later we built 500,000.

I want to tell you that if our Apollo program 1 and our Gemini program and space program ran on such loose 2 standards and so stretched out we wouldn't be to the moon 35 until the year 2,000. But we know how to keep that program 4 on schedule, it will be there in July, don't worry. We will be to the moon. We know how to pretty well keep the 6 interstate highway program on schedule. Why, we even know 7 how to subsidize private airplanes. We spend approximately, 8 for each private airplane per year on aviation services, 9 \$1500. That means you could subsidize the rent of a low 10 income housing for two houses for each airplane. 17

We spend \$150,000,000 a year to make sure that some of us who are a little more fortunate than others get a chance to fly our Jet into one of these airports. I am not saying it is either/or, maybe we can do both, but we have to have some priorities.

And the right of good health. I am all for heart transplants and I believe in the great wonders of medicine and I spent a lot of time in Congress promoting it, but we still have not learned how to deal with medical economics despite the fact we spend over \$50,000,000,000 a year for medical care. There are millions of people in this country who don't get it. There are still demanding needs of health centers for people of modest and low income. We are still 16th in infant mortality. We still

CAN DIVAL REPEIRTING, DOL.

know the mother of a black child has one-half the chance to live than the mother of a white child. We know these things and if I sound scolding that is what I am doing.

1

6

8

9

10

11

1.22

19

20

27

32

23

24

254

STREAM REPORTERS, BEC.

I believe there is a time and a place for everything, and I think now the time has come. We passed vast amounts of legislation, the statute books are filled with the good works of the Legislative Assembly. Now what is needed is a will to effectuate those statutes and those laws. A national will.

### (Applause)

We have learned a great deal about hunger and about nutrition and I want to say right now that much as I appreciate that there is going to be a budget surplus estimated at \$5,800,000,000 and I know we had deficits for years, the deficit that affects this country is not just a fiscal deficit, that we are getting over with the gross national production, but I know for \$1,000,000,000 we could see to it that every family in America this year could have a decent balanced nutritious diet, and I know that is something we can do.

Then I think it is time for the government and the people of the United States to do something about it. You can't ignore those people. I am also well aware that the accumulated wrongs and divisions and deprivations of generations can not be swept away overnight. That is one of

the advantages of being a little older. I told a group of my students the other day that I still wanted to come down strong for experience, that I didn't think experience was a liability, that there are some things that you can't just do right now, I said it sometimes takes twenty-one days to hatch an egg. Sometimes it takes a little more time,

But I think the fact that you can't sweep some U of these things away overnight, that this obvious fact can not become an excuse for not making the maximum effort to do as much as we can here and now. I hear a lot these days 10 about over promising, promising more than we can deliver, and I have had it thrown up to me many times about not raising society's expectations to remedy longstanding injustices. All of this is wise and prudent talk up to a 12 point. Up to the point of permitting such sentiments to become rationalizations for doing less than the absolute 16 maximum of what we are capable of doing. Up to the point where it would suggest that these problems can be solved without considerable sacrifice and up to the point where 19 20 we believe that our basic error has been in saying to the American people that all should share equitably in wealth and opportunity in this nation and forgetting the fact that our real basic error has been the protracted failure to 23 mobilize the energies and resources of this country to reach 24 25 this goal.

· inter

So I am a mobilizer these days. I intend to try to take what we know are the facts, what we know are the wrongs and what we know are the resources to correct these wrongs and face these facts and see if on the one hand by the committment and the concern of conscience we can not, if our concern of conscience can not be matched by our dedication and our will and our committment.

1

3

24

8

I THER AL REPORTERS, INC.

Let's face one fact squarely, television and 9 advertising will do the job of raising peoples' expectations. 10 Regardless of what the government may or may not do or 11 what our political leaders may or may not say. You don't 12 need to worry any longer about expectations. We have taken care of that, there is no place to hide and everybody sort 11 of knows what everybody has and is doing and it is the 15 special responsibility, however, of government and of our 16 political leadership to make the decisions and to generate 1.7 the support and the confidence that will get the job done 1.8 to meet some of these expectations. 19

On the basis of the administration's reductions, and I now must talk to you of some hard facts, of the federal budget, in critical domestic areas, reductions that are modest, I know, reductions in cities, a 10 percent reduction in the model cities program and no projected program for the second year.

A 10 percent reduction in education, a reduction

in health care, the closing of job corporation centers and reduction in the poverty program. I can only conclude that the administration fails to grasp the urgency of our present circumstances and they are profoundly mistaken in believing that gradualism and patience are sufficient in these days of crisis. I am for lowering the rhetoric but not the budget. I think there is a difference.

Now, the administration's confusing and often 8 contradictory performance over the enforcement of existing 0 Civil Rights statutes can only create the most serious 10 doubts about the basic committment and eradicating the 11 inequities of this society. The same day that the NAACP 12 went into Federal court over the Pentagon's refusal to 13 demand equal hiring by three textile companies, on that 14 same day, while the Pentagon was refusing to act the Justice Department sued a textile mill for precisely the same 17 violation. But the Pentagon and the Justice Department are in the same government and serving the same country. The 19 administration announced its decision to dismiss Mr. 20 Clifford Alexander the day after the minority leader 21 threatened Mr. Alexander with harrassing federal employees. I would like to quote to you a little statement, and the 22 23 author of this statement is a very important man, and it is 34 about government.

REPRINTERS. 1.

"I don't want a government of yes men, but one

drawn from the broadest possible base. Only if we have an administration broadly enough based philosophically to assure a true ferment of ideas and through an interplay of the best minds in America can we be sure of getting the best and most penetrating ideas. Officials of a new administration will not have to check their consciences at the door or leave their powers of independent judgement at home."

<sup>9</sup> That is the statement of the President of the <sup>10</sup> United States when he was candidate for the presidency of <sup>11</sup> the United States. I submit all Mr. Alexander was doing <sup>12</sup> was exercising his judgement and fulfilling the <sup>13</sup> responsibilities of the law.

15

### (Applause)

Mr. Alexander, as you know, resigned rather than submit to dismissal under these most unfortunate circumstances, and as one of the principal authors of this equal employment, I want to commend him for his responsible action. I think that the advocates of law and order in this society should recognize the severe damage that is wrought by efforts to influence or intimidate public officials exercising their statutory duties in a responsible and effective manner. That is law and order.

The time, I think, has arrived for those groups

(Applause)

like the Anti-Defamation League to demand and continue to demand publicly that our Civil Rights Law be enforced to the letter. To have disparity between words and deeds will only encourage those elements in our society which seek to perpetuate racist policies in jobs, housing or education, public services generally.

7 Any other course for the Federal government than 8 to enforce the law can only deal a devious blow to this 9 Nation's efforts to root out bigotry and bigotism. So I 10 say the fight is still on and while it is a little quieter 11 in Washington, the countryside is in ferment, in trouble and 19 there are voices being raised of people who want action.

Let us listen to them rather than wait until disorder is the pattern of the day.

Now, in the course of the Presidential campaign another issue arose of overriding importance and it is tied to the first, the issue of controlling the strategic arms race spiral which threatens the survival of all of mankind.

I made those two issues knowing that neither one of them is very popular.

This is my first chance to talk to you since then. I did not delude myself for a moment in believing by going to the countryside, speaking of desegregation and one being asked would you slow it down or would you speed it up, I knew just as well as you know people in this room

vt

1

13

that to go to the countryside as to Czechoslovakia and to talk about arms control and say we must negotiate with the Soviet Union, I knew that wasn't particularly popular.

vt

19

CONCERNMENT OF CONCERNMENT

In fact, I read the polls. My poll wasn't too good and the issues on which I was basing the campaign didn't seem to be too popular. But, ladies and gentlemen, we have to face up to them.

The Congress and the American people, and I want to put the emphasis on the first, Congress and the American people are now faced with a basic decision. Will we accede to the backlog request of the military for an entirely new generation of offensive and defensive strategic nuclear weapons, a shopping list that is as long as your arm or longer or will be attempt, at least try to negotiate with the Soviet Union an end to the nuclear arms race and thereby hopefully open the door for a far greater mobilization of America's resources in the cause of humanity both at home and abroad.

You are going to have to make some decision. An 80 \$80 billion defense budget stands there facing you and the only way I know you are going to get additional funds for the things that you think need to be done in this country is to see if you cannot take some money out of that defense budget without sacrificing security or to raise taxes. And I think we had better take a look at the first alternative.

The opening skirmish in this struggle of National priorities will be fought over the money for the initial deployment of the safeguard and anti-ballistic missiles system. I know this is an issue in which there is great controversy.

ve

21

23

24

IT OLEXA REPROTTERS, INC.

I say to my friends, when you ask for more money for cities, for housing, for education, health, you have to ask where are you going to get it. It isn't unlimited.

And when we debate the ABM, I think we ought to know that we are really debating a part of the whole equation of military spending. It is symbolic, it is important. I think it's deployment is a bad decision, you know what my view is, I felt so in the Johnson administration as well as in this administration.

I spoke on it in the campaign, I have not traveled under false colors. I said yesterday on the television that there are four factors in a defense budget that you have to consider.

What are your fine policy commitments?

Again, what contingencies do you plan?

What size of forces in nature of forces are needed to meet these contingencies, and,

Finally, what kind of weapons do you need for the forces?

The ABM refers to the last of the four, what kind

1 of weapons do you need. But weaponry relates to the size of 2 your forces and the size of your forces relates to the 3 contingencies that you may expect and the contingencies 4 relate to the scope of your foreign policy.

55

We need a complete and total reassessment of our national commitments and I call upon the Congress of the United States, with the backing of the American people, not to enter into any preconceived judgment but rather than just to debate the anti-ballistic missile system, whether it is reliable, whether it will work, whether we ought to have it, whether it costs too much that we take a good hard look at the whole subject of our defense budget.

It hasn't been created by mean and nasty men. There is no conspiracy here. I do not believe in the conspiracy theory of government. I do happen to believe, however, that like many other things it can tend to grow.

We have to ask ourselves do we really need 15
We have to ask ourselves do we really need 15
attack carrier forces. I don't know, maybe we do, maybe we
don't. Maybe we once did, do we need it now? Business does
this all the time.

You see, government and universities are going to have to examine long established policies and traditions. Or both of them are going to be in serious trouble.

26 One thing is certain, the process of shifting 25 significant sums of Federal money from the works of war to the

vî

ILLUNE REPORTERS IN

works of peace will not be easy, even when the war in Vietnam is over.

vt

ALCO LOCAL REPORTERS, INC.

The defense budget this year is up \$6 billion over any moneys that go to Vietnam. Take out the Vietnam money and the non-Vietnam expenditures are up \$6 billion. Yet when the budget cut came, \$3 billion came out of civilian programs, \$1 billion out of the defense budget.

Now, maybe that is right, I want a careful scrutiny of it. I think it is at the heart and core of much of our problem today.

11 Any positive advance in any form of arms control has been fought in the Congress. I think you remember one 13 when Adlai Stevenson recommended first a nuclear test ban 14 treaty and how he was ridiculed all across this country. There isn't any defense now, agreements reached with the Soviet Union or anybody else concerned in the freezing our 17 armaments of reduction of strategic weapons will be fought 1.8 over again and the purveyors of fear will be hard at work 19 upon us, but I say unless this battle is won the outcome of 20 subsequent endeavors to secure additional sources for the 21 cities where you live, and you can't run away any longer because the problems have moved to the suburbs, will largely 22 be decided in the negative, even before the issues get to 23 24 Congress. 24

I say our military budget will continue to expand,

our domestic allocations will be proportionately smaller and this is precisely the outcome of the recent but modest cutback from the Federal budget.

It isn't the amount, it is the proportion. When we made a cutback two years or a little over a year and a half ago and the Congress demanded a cutback of \$6 billion in expenditure, it was \$3 billion in defense and \$3 billion in the civilian. At least half and half. Now it is \$3 billion in civilian and \$1 billion in defense.

Ladies and gentlemen, while it is not something large in terms of the total budget, it indicates a trend and l I want to stop the trend before it becomes a habit because before it becomes a pattern.

(Applause)

Well, this is my concern. Do I think we can do it, yes, and I will tell you why.

The American people generally do what they have to do. I simply ask us to keep our perspective. It is so easy to become angry. It is so easy when you are insulted or falsely accused, and you are misunderstood, yes, even unappreciated to say, "Oh, I am going to kick the whole thing over, I don't care any longer."

I have said this in a moment of emotion, in a moment of anger, probably can't afford to do ft. I have been on college campuses where I have had youngsters say things to

vt

CT HED RAL REPORTERS, INC.

me where, under ordinary circumstances, it would drive a man away from the classroom. But you can't let that happen because then the forces of the rationality have won the struggle.

5 There is always a brute, a bully let loose in 6 practically every area of social organization. Sometimes 7 these bullies are on campus, sometimes they are in the 8 ghetto, sometimes they are in a white sheet, sometimes they 9 are in the Congress, sometimes they are in the club or a 10 social organization.

I simply say that a democracy cannot afford to be governed by the attitudes and the actions of bullies. I think what we have to have is a reasoned approach, yes, but one with action behind it.

I hope that this great organization will not only 15 rely upon its studies, but that you will be action oriented, 16 unafraid to challenge government, unafraid as you always 17 have been to challenge any organization in the country and 18 to demand one thing above all, that those great reports 19 placed before the public and lost in the headlines, reports like the Kerner Commission, the Commission on Violence, the 21 Commission on Rural Poverty, the Commission on Health, the Commission on Automation, just a few of the commissions that 23 have represented the finest talent in the country, that have 24 had the resources of the Federal Government and entire 25.

Vt

American community at its disposal, that those reports will not become documents for the archives but marching orders for the soldiers of peace.

I would like to make sure that an organization hike ADL take a look at those records and keep them on the desk and has a check list and checks it up all the way to the President and says what are we doing about these things. Because nothing is worse to know what is wrong and to know that you can do something about it and not do something about it.

The worst sin of all is indifference and indifference compounded by apathy and cynicism and is unforgiveable.

Thank goodness the Anti-Defamation League has never been guilty of those things. So I charge you today and say let's move mountains if need be, let's not let the militants who feel they need to destroy in order to achieve, let's not let them have their way but let's have those of us who believe even the democratic processes and who believe in social action be sure it is the right way.

Thank you very much.

(Standing ovation.)

MR. SCHARY: Thank you very, very much, sir. As I indicated to you before, our speaker has told us that it does make a difference to him which road we take and I think

21

22

58-A

pointed out the correct signpost for this group of people. I thank you very, very much on behalf of the entire League for coming here and I hope that you will always remember that when you want to have a platform or an audience that responds to you, just whistle.

59

#### (Applause)

And now, ladies and gentlemen, again my thanks for <sup>8</sup> coming here and those of you who are involved in our <sup>9</sup> commission meetings please return immediately to the Empire <sup>10</sup> Room, we have work to do.

We are delighted you were here, we hope you enjoyed the lunch and I know darn well you enjoyed our speaker.

(Luncheon session concluded at 2:40 o'clock, p.m.)

6

15

19

4-22-69

NYT

## **HUMPHREY SCORES RIGHTS 'DISPARITY**

Charges Administration With **Restricting Critical Aid** 

By IRVING SPIEGEL

By IRVING SPIEGEL Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, April 21— Former Vice President Huberi H. Humphrey, in his strongest criticism to date of the Nixon Administration, questioned to-day its "basic commitment" to civil rights and the eradication of poverty. He accused the Administra-tion of "a confusing and often contradictory performance" in enforcing "existing civil rights statutes" and a "failure to grasp the urgency of present circumstances" in restricting expenditures for "critical" do-mestic programs. He addressed the 58th an-nual meeting of the Anti-defamation League of B'nai B'rith at the Shoreham Hotel. Mr. Humphrey said the Ad-ministration was inconsistent and showed a "marked dis-parity between words and deeds" when the Pentagon re-fused to demand equal hiring and housing practices by three textile companies while at the same time the Justice Depart-ment sued a textile mill for identical violations of Federal law.

identical violations of Federal law. The reference was to a suit started by the Justice Depart-ment on April 8 against Cannon Mills. On the same day, the N.A.A.C.P. Legal Defense Fund, and Education, Inc., went into Federal court because the Pen-tagon had refused to demand equal hiring and housing prac-tices from three of its suppli-ers, Dan River Mills, Burling-ton Mills and the J. P. Stevens Company. ompany.

### Employers Harassed

Employers Harassed Mr. Humphrey also deplored the resignation of Clifford Alex-ander as chairman of the equal Employment Opportunity Com-mission. He said the Administra tion announced its intention "to fire Clifford Alexander the day after Minority LEeader Dirksen threatened Mr. Alexander with replacement for allegedly har-rassing employers who are vio-lating the Civil Rights Act on 1964."

rassing energy lating the Civil Rignes en-1964." "As one of the principal au-thors of this legislation," Mr. Humphrey said, "I want to commend Mr. Alexander for his faithful and fair conduct of his responsibilities. And I think the vocal advocates of in this society of his responsibilities. And I think the vocal advocates of law and order in this society should recognize the severe damage that is wrought by such efforts to intimidate public of-ficials who are only exercising their statutory duties in a re-sponsible and effective mantheir stat sponsible

Mr. Humphrey said that the Nixon Administration was "pro-foundly mistaken in believing that gradualism and patience are sufficient in these days of crisis." He said that not promis-ing more than could be del-ivered was "wise and prudent up to a point," but warned against permitting prudence to become "rationalization for do-ing less than the absolute maxi-mum of what we are capable of doing."

port and recommendations. On the first anniversary of this report, Urban America and the Urban Coalition released a study, One Year Later, which evaluated our progress to date in meeting the goals of the Kerner Commission.

This appraisal stated that:

"-Poverty remains a pervasive fact of life ... and the continuing disparity between this poverty and the general afiluence remains a source of allenation and discontent.

"—Ghetto schools continue to fail. The small amount of progress that has been made has been counterbalanced by a growing atmosphere of hostility and conflict in many cities.

"-At present, there are no programs that seriously threaten the continued existence of the slums."

And as the Kerner report had warned a year earlier, the study concluded: "For a year later, we are a year closer to being two societies, black and white, increasingly separate and scarcely less equal."

I was distressed at the meager attention given this important evaluation when it was released six weeks ago. For this report outlined, as did the Kerner Commission before it, the specific actions which must now be taken in America to reverse this alarming pattern of social disintegration.

We must understand the dimensions of our basic challenge: to achieve not only equity in opportunity but equity in results. And equity in results refers to very concrete goals the right to a job at decent pay, the right to an adequate income if one cannot work, the right to an education which releases rather than destroys human creativity, the right to decent housing in safe neighborhoods, the right to good health care and sufficient nutrition.

The accumulated wrongs and deprivations of generations cannot be swept away overnight. But this obvious fact must not become an excuse for making anything less than the maximum effort to do as much as we can-mow.

We hear a lot these days about not promising more than we can deliver—about not raising peoples' expectations over society's capacity to remedy long-standing injustices. All of this is wise and prudent talk . . . up to a point.

Up to the point of permitting such sentiments to become rationalizations for doing less than the absolute maximum of what we are capable of doing.

Up to the point that this approach suggests to the American people that these terribly urgent and difficult problems can be solved without considerable sacrifice and commitment on their part.

And up to the point that we believe our basic error has been in saying to the American people that *all* should share equitably in the wealth and opportunity of this nation... and forgetting that, in fact, our basic error has been the protracted failure to mobilize the resources and energies of this country to reach this goal.

Let's face one fact squarely: television and advertising will do the job of raising peoples' expectations, regardless of what the government may do or what our political leaders may say. But it is the special responsibility of government and our political leadership to make the decisions and generate the support and confidence that will get this job done.

On the basis of the Administration's reductions of the federal budget in critical domestic areas—cilies, education, health care, the Job Corps and the poverty program—I can only conclude that they fail to grasp the urgency of our present circumstances'. . . and that they are profoundly mistaken in believing that gradualism and patience are sufficient in these days of crisis.

10 13

REMARKS OF THE HONORABLE HUBERT H. HUM-PHREY BEFORE ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE OF B'NAI B'RITH, WASHINGTON, D.C., APRIL 21, 1969

Last September I addressed the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith in the opening speech of my campaign for the Presidency of the United States.

In this speech I discussed what I considered to be one of the two principal issues of the campaign—the issue of racial justice in America.

I asked this question: Shall we—as a nation—move forward toward one society of opportunity and justice... or shall we abandon this commitment out of fear and prejudice and move instead toward a fractured and separated society—black against white, rich against poor, comfortable against the left-out?

The campaign has ended. The Presidency has changed hands. But this question still prevails . . . with an intensity even greater than when I raised the issue last September.

A little more than a year ago, the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders—the Kerner Commission—released its historic re-

i Kern

### S4710

The Administration's confusing and often contradictory performance over the enforcement of existing civil rights statutes, moreover, can only create the most serious doubts about their basic commitment to healing the divisions and eradicating the inequities of this society.

To illustrate briefly:

The same day that the NAACP Legal Defense Fund went into Federal Court over the Pentagon's refusal to demand equal hiring and housing practices by three textile companies, the Justice Department sued a textile mill for precisely these violations of federal law.

And the Administration announced its intention to fire Clifford Alexander, the Chairman of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the day after Minority Leader Dirksen threatened Alexander with replacement for allegedly "harrassing" employers who are violating the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

As you know, Mr. Alexander has now resigned his position as Chairman, rather than submit to dismissal under these most unfortunate circumstances.

As one of the principal authors of this legislation. I want to commend Mr. Alexander for his faithful and fair conduct of his responsibilities. And I think the vocal advocates of law and order in this society should recognize the severe damage that is wrought by such efforts to intimidate public officials who are only exercising their statutory duties in a responsible and effective manner.

The inconsistency of this record--the marked disparity between words and deeds-will only encourage those elements in our society which seek to perpetuate racist policles in jobs, housing, education and public services generally.

The time has arrived for those groups like the Anti-Defamation League to demand publicly that our civil rights laws be enforced to the letter.

Any other course by the federal government can only deal a grievous blow to this nation's efforts to root out the bigotry and racism which increasingly threaten to divide this land and people.

In the course of the Presidential campaign another issue arose of overriding importance: the issue of controlling the strategic arms spiral which threatens the survival of all mankind.

Congress and the American people are now faced with a basic decision: Will we accede to the backlogged requests of the military for an entirely new generation of offensive and defensive strategic nuclear weapons... or will we attempt to negotiate with the Soviet Union an end to the nuclear arms race and thereby open the door for a far greater mobilization of America's resources in the cause of humanity, both at home and abroad?

The opening skirmish in this struggle over national priorities will be fought over money for the initial deployment of the Safeguard anti-ballistic missile system. But this debate is only indicative of the more fundamental decisions that must be reached in the coming year over military spending

ing year over military spending. One thing is certain: the process of shifting significant sums of federal money from works of war to works of peace will not be easy.

Every positive advance in nuclear arms control has been opposed by powerful members of Congrèss and segments of the military and defense establishment. Any meaningful agreements reached with the Soviets concerning the freezing or reduction of our strategic weapons will almost certainly be fought once again. But unless this battle is won, the outcome of subsequent encounters to secure additional resources for citles, for education, for health care, for jobs and housing, and related activities will be largely decided—in the negative—even before the issues get to Congress.

Our military budgets will continue to expand; our domestic allocations will become proportionately smaller. This is precisely the outcome of President Nixon's recent cutbacks in the federal budget.

We now have the chance to reverse this traditional pattern if we focus our efforts on ending the insanity of the strategic nuclear arms race, while we begin simultaneously the difficult job of securing these resources for urgent domestic needs.

This is our opportunity in this moment of crisis and challenge... Can we now summon the courage and faith so clearly demanded by the times?

1.10

# Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

