

NATIONAL CONVENTION OF SERVICE EMPLOYEES

INTERNATIONAL UNION

REMARKS BY SENATOR HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

JACK TARR HOTEL  
SANFRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

MONDAY, MAY 29, 1972

THIS IS ONE OF MY FAVORITE UNIONS:

--IT WAS THE FIRST UNION TO ENDORSE ME FOR  
PRESIDENT IN 1968, IT WAS RIGHT THEN, I HOPE YOU  
WILL BE RIGHT WITH ME NOW.

--IT REPRESENTS HARD WORKING PEOPLE, PROUD  
PEOPLE, THEY DO GOOD AND IMPORTANT WORK.

--THIS UNION HAS FOUGHT FOR THE LITTLE GUY--FOR  
THE BLACKS, THE CHICANOS, THE LOW AND MODERATE INCOME  
FAMILIES, THESE HAVE BEEN IMPORTANT BATTLES.

--AND I HAVE BEEN FIGHTING THEM TOO, I'VE SPENT  
MY LIFE FIGHTING FOR THE LITTLE GUY:

--ON TAX REFORM: I'VE STOOD UP AGAIN AND AGAIN  
AGAINST THE BIG BOYS, I'VE FOUGHT FOR TAX FAIRNESS, FOR

TAX JUSTICE.

--ON JOBS: I'VE PROPOSED A COMPREHENSIVE  
PROGRAM OF ONE MILLION JOBS, I WANT TO GET AMERICANS  
BACK TO WORK.

--ON INFLATION: I'VE FOUGHT THE BATTLE TO GET  
THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION TO REVERSE ITS UNFAIR DECISION  
TO PUT WAGE CONTROLS ON LOW INCOME WORKERS.

THIS PUTS THE BURDEN OF INFLATION CONTROL ON THE  
LITTLE GUY. I HAVE SAID TIME AND AGAIN, THE CONTROLS ARE  
UNFAIR. THEY PENALIZE THE LITTLE MAN WHILE THE SALARIES  
OF THE PRIVILEGED FEW AND THE BIG BUSINESS EXECUTIVES GO  
UP AND UP.

HHH SUPPORT FOR THE GOALS OF THIS UNION:

--YOU WANT ECONOMIC JUSTICE, I WANT ECONOMIC JUSTICE.

--YOU WANT A GOOD MINIMUM WAGE LAW, I WANT A SOUND MINIMUM WAGE LAW, I AM OPPOSED TO THE NIXON EFFORTS TO WATER DOWN THE MINIMUM WAGE, REPUBLICANS NEVER HAVE LIKED THE MINIMUM WAGE, BUT, THEY CERTAINLY LIKE THE MAXIMUM PROFIT.

--YOU WANT YOUR MEMBERS TO BE COMPLETELY COVERED BY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION.

THAT IS WHAT I WANT, AND, I HAVE PROPOSED AN EXPANDED PROGRAM OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION, WITH CHANGES IN ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS, WITH CHANGES IN BENEFITS, AND WITH AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF COVERAGE.

--YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS,  
WITH RECOGNIZED BARGAINING STATUS -- WITH COVERAGE FOR  
STATE AND LOCAL EMPLOYEES.

--YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS. THEY  
WANT GOOD CONTRACTS, CONTRACTS THAT WILL ALLOW THEM TO GET  
AHEAD, TO SAVE SOME MONEY, TO ADVANCE, THAT IS WHAT I  
WANT. THAT IS THE KIND OF BATTLE I WANT TO HELP YOU FIGHT.

AND, AS YOU HELPED ME IN 1968, I NEED YOUR HELP  
IN 1972.

THE VOTERS OF THIS STATE HAVE AN IMPORTANT CHOICE  
TO MAKE ON JUNE 6 WHEN THEY VOTE IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY.

IT WILL BE A CHOICE BETWEEN TWO MEN WHO DIFFER ON  
THE BEST WAY TO PUT THIS NATION BACK TO WORK AND GET OUR

COUNTRY AND STATE OF CALIFORNIA BACK ON ITS FEET.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS THE DIFFERENCE  
BETWEEN HAVING A JOB AND LOOKING FOR WORK.

RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE 510,000 CALIFORNIANS WITHOUT  
JOBS -- THAT'S 6.3 PERCENT UNEMPLOYMENT.

IN THE FACE OF ~~SO~~UCH HIGH AND TRAGIC UNEMPLOYMENT,  
SENATOR McGOVERN HAS PROPOSED MASSIVE CUTBACKS IN AEROSPACE  
AND DEFENSE SPENDING WHICH WILL CAUSE THOUSANDS OF  
CALIFORNIANS TO LOSE THEIR JOBS.

AND THOSE THROWN OUT OF WORK, WON'T JUST BE THE  
SKILLED AEROSPACE ENGINEER OR THE DEFENSE WORKER.

NO, THE JOBS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE WHO WORK IN  
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITIES AND CITIES WILL BE IN DANGER.

AND WHAT IS SENATOR McGOVERN'S ANSWER TO THE  
FORCED UNEMPLOYMENT WHICH WILL BE A RESULT OF HIS NEW  
PROGRAMS?

HE ADMITS FREELY THAT CALIFORNIA WORKERS "MIGHT  
BE OUT OF WORK FOR AS MUCH AS A YEAR". HE SAID THIS LAST  
WEEK IN A TV INTERVIEW.

I'M ALARMED AND DISTURBED WHEN A DEMOCRAT IS  
WILLING TO ADMIT THAT HIS PROGRAMS WILL FORCE WORKING  
FAMILIES TO BE JOBLESS FOR A YEAR.

THIS IS CALLOUS, THIS IS WRONG.

SENATOR McGOVERN SEEMS MORE WILLING TO PROVIDE  
CALIFORNIANS WITH COMPENSATION FOR BEING THROWN OUT OF  
WORK AS A RESULT OF HIS PROPOSALS THAN HE IS ANXIOUS TO  
INCOME,  
PROVIDE PEOPLE WITH JOBS WHICH BRING DIGNITY AND DECENCY  
^

TO THEIR LIVES,

I WANT TO CUT WASTE AND FAT FROM OUR DEFENSE BUDGET, BUT I WOULD ALSO PROTECT THE JOBS OF WORKERS NO MATTER WHERE THEY WORK. JOBS CAN BE PROTECTED WITH REALISTIC PROGRAMS THAT DO NOT HAVE A YEAR OF BUILT-IN UNEMPLOYMENT.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ONE SENATOR WHO HAS SUPPORTED UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION AND ANOTHER WHO SAID NO TO THE WORKERS OF CALIFORNIA.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GETTING JOBS FOR THOSE WHO CAN AND WANT TO WORK AND McGOVERN WHO WANTS TO GIVE EVERYONE, INCLUDING MILLIONAIRES LIKE HOWARD HUGHES, A WELFARE CHECK.



HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS THE DIFFERENCE  
BETWEEN GIVING THE ELDERLY OF THIS COUNTRY A 25% INCREASE  
IN SOCIAL SECURITY OR USING THE SOCIAL SECURITY FUND TO  
PAY FOR WELFARE COSTS.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS A QUESTION OF  
BEATING RICHARD NIXON, ENDING THE REPUBLICAN RECESSION,  
AND GETTING THIS COUNTRY MOVING AGAIN -- OR SUFFERING  
THROUGH FOUR MORE YEARS OF LOW WAGES, FROZEN WAGES,  
SKYROCKETING PRICES, BONANZAS FOR THE GIAN CORPORATIONS  
UNDER A REPUBLICAN ADMINISTRATION.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS A QUESTION OF WHO  
HAS THE KNOW-HOW AND EXPERIENCE TO LEAD THIS NATION.

I THINK I'M THAT MAN

I CAN BEAT RICHARD NIXON.

I WANT TO GUARANTEE A JOB FOR EVERY AMERICAN.

I WANT TO GET THIS NATION MOVING. AND, I WANT  
YOU TO JOIN WITH ME.

HUBERT HUMPHREY SPEECH AT SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL  
UNION AT SAN FRANCISCO MAY 29, 1972

I HAVE A SPECIAL AFFECTION FOR THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL  
UNION.

--IT WAS THE FIRST UNION TO ENDORSE ME FOR PRESIDENT IN 1968.  
IT WAS RIGHT THEN. I HOPE YOU WILL BE RIGHT WITH ME NOW.

--IT REPRESENTS HARD WORKING PEOPLE. PROUD PEOPLE. THEY DO  
GOOD AND IMPORTANT WORK.

--THIS UNION HAS FOUGHT FOR THE LITTLE GUY--FOR THE BLACKS,  
THE CHICANOS, THE LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES. THESE HAVE BEEN  
IMPORTANT BATTLES.

--AND I HAVE BEEN FIGHTING THEM TOO. I HAVE SPENT MY LIFE  
FIGHTING FOR THE LITTLE GUY;

--ON TAX REFORM--I'VE STOOD UP AGAIN AND AGAIN AGAINST THE  
BIG BOYS. I'VE FOUGHT FOR TAX FAIRNESS. FOR TAX JUSTICE.

--ON JOBS--I'VE PROPOSED A COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM OF ONE  
MILLION JOBS. I WANT TO GET AMERICANS BACK TO WORK.

--ON INFLATION. I'VE FOUGHT THE BATTLE TO GET THE NIXON  
ADMINISTRATION TO REVERSE ITS UNFAIR DECISION TO PUT WAGE CONTROLS ON

LOW INCOME WORKERS.

THIS PUTS THE BURDEN OF INFLATION CONTROL ON THE LITTLE GUY. I HAVE SAID TIME AND AGAIN, THESE CONTROLS ARE WRONG. THEY PENALIZE THE LITTLE MAN WHILE THE SALARIES OF THE PRIVILEGED FEW AND BIG BUSINESS EXECUTIVES GO UP AND UP.

YOU WANT ECONOMIC JUSTICE. I WANT ECONOMIC JUSTICE.

YOU WANT A GOOD MINIMUM WAGE LAW. I WANT A SOUND MINIMUM WAGE LAW. I AM OPPOSED TO THE NIXON EFFORTS TO WATER DOWN THE MINIMUM WAGE. REPUBLICANS NEVER HAVE LIKE THE MINIMUM WAGE. BUT, THEY CERTAINLY LIKE THE MAXIMUM PROFIT.

YOU WANT YOUR MEMBERS TO BE COMPLETELY COVERED BY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION.

THAT IS WHAT I WANT. AND, I HAVE PROPOSED AN EXPANDED PROGRAM OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION, WITH CHANGES IN ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS, WITH CHANGES IN BENEFITS, AND WITH AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF COVERAGE.

YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS, WITH RECOGNIZED BARGAINING STATUS--WITH COVERAGE FOR STATE AND

LOCAL EMPLOYEES.

YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS. THEY WANT GOOD CONTRACTS, CONTRACTS THAT WILL ALLOW THEM TO GET AHEAD, TO SAVE SOME MONEY, TO ADVANCE. THAT IS WHAT I WANT. THAT IS THE KIND OF BATTLE I WANT TO HELP YOU FIGHT.

AND AS YOU HELPED ME IN 1968, I NEED YOUR HELP IN 1972.

THE VOTERS OF THIS STATE HAVE AN IMPORTANT CHOICE TO MAKE ON JUNE 6 WHEN THEY VOTE IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY.

IT WILL BE A CHOICE BETWEEN TWO MEN WHO DIFFER ON THE BEST WAY TO PUT THIS NATION BACK TO WORK AND GET OUR COUNTRY AND STATE OF CALIFORNIA BACK ON ITS FEET.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HAVING A JOB AND LOOKING FOR WORK.

RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE 510,000 CALIFORNIANS WITHOUT JOBS--THAT'S A 6.3 PERCENT UNEMPLOYMENT.

IN THE FACE OF SUCH HIGH AND TRAGIC UNEMPLOYMENT, SENATOR MCGOVERN HAS PROPOSED MASSIVE CUTBACKS IN AEROSPACE AND DEFENSE SPENDING WHICH WILL CAUSE THOUSANDS OF

CALIFORNIANS TO LOSE THEIR JOBS.

AND THOSE THROWN OUT OF WORK WON'T JUST BE THE SKILLED AEROSPACE ENGINEER OR THE DEFENSE WORKER.

NO, THE JOBS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE WHO WORK IN CALIFORNIA COMMUNITIES AND CITIES WILL BE IN DANGER.

AND WHAT IS SENATOR MCGOVERN'S ANSWER TO THE FORCED UNEMPLOYMENT WHICH WILL BE A RESULT OF HIS NEW PROGRAMS?

HE ADMITS FREELY THAT CALIFORNIA WORKERS 'MIGHT BE OUT OF WORK FOR AS MUCH AS A YEAR.' HE SAID THIS LAST WEEK IN A TV INTERVIEW.

I'M ALARMED AND DISTURBED WHEN A DEMOCRAT IS WILLING TO ADMIT THAT HIS PROGRAMS WILL FORCE WORKING FAMILIES TO BE JOBLESS FOR A YEAR.

THIS IS CALLOUS. THIS IS WRONG.

SENATOR MCGOVERN SEEMS MORE WILLING TO PROVIDE CALIFORNIANS WITH COMPENSATION FOR BEING THROWN OUT OF WORK AS A RESULT OF HIS PROPOSALS THAN HE IS ANXIOUS TO PROVIDE PEOPLE WITH JOBS WHICH BRING DIGNITY AND DECENCY TO THEIR LIVES.

I WANT TO CUT WASTE AND FAT FROM OUR DEFENSE BUDGET, BUT I WOULD ALSO PROTECT THE JOBS OF WORKERS, NO MATTER WHERE THEY WORK. JOBS CAN BE PROTECTED WITH REALISTIC PROGRAMS THAT DO NOT HAVE A YEAR OF BUILT-IN UNEMPLOYMENT.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN , IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ONE SENATOR WHO HAS SUPPORTED UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION AND ANOTHER WHO SAID NO TO THE WORKERS OF CALIFORNIA.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GETTING JOBS FOR THOSE WHO CAN AND WANT TO WORK AND MCGOVERN WHO WANTS TO GIVE EVERYONE, INCLUDING MILLIONAIRES LIKE HOWARD HUGHES , A WELFARE CHECK.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GIVING THE ELDERLY OF THIS COUNTRY A 25 PERCENT INCREASE IN SOCIAL SECURITY OR USING THE SOCIAL SECURITY FUND TO PAY FOR WELFARE COSTS.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS A QUESTION OF BEATING RICHARD NIXON, ENDING THE REPUBLICAN RECESSION, AND GETTING THIS COUNTRY MOVING AGAIN--OR SUFFERING THROUGH FOUR MORE YEARS OF LOW WAGES, FROZEN WAGES, SKYROCKETING PRICES,

BONANZAS FOR THE GIANT CORPORATIONS UNDER A REPUBLICAN  
ADMINISTRATION.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS A QUESTION  
OF WHO HAS THE KNOW-HOW AND EXPERIENCE TO LEAD THIS NATION.

I THINK I'M THAT MAN.

I CAN BEAT RICHARD NIXON.

I WANT TO GUARANTEE A JOB FOR EVERY  
AMERICAN.

I WANT TO GET THIS NATION MOVING. AND,  
I WANT YOU TO JOIN WITH ME.



HUBERT HUMPHREY SPEECH AT SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL  
UNION AT SAN FRANCISCO MAY 29, 1972

I HAVE A SPECIAL AFFECTION FOR THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL  
UNION.

*It was the first union to endorse me for president in 1968.*  
IT WAS THE FIRST UNION TO ENDORSE ME FOR PRESIDENT IN 1968.

IT WAS RIGHT THEN. I HOPE YOU WILL BE RIGHT WITH ME NOW.

*your union*  
REPRESENTS HARD WORKING PEOPLE. PROUD PEOPLE. THEY DO  
GOOD AND IMPORTANT WORK.

--THIS UNION HAS FOUGHT FOR THE LITTLE GUY--FOR THE BLACKS,  
THE CHICANOS, THE LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES. THESE HAVE BEEN  
IMPORTANT BATTLES. *- R. J. ...*

--AND I HAVE BEEN FIGHTING THEM TOO! I HAVE SPENT MY LIFE  
FIGHTING FOR THE LITTLE GUY; *+ R. J. ...*

ON TAX REFORM--I'VE STOOD UP AGAIN AND AGAIN AGAINST THE  
BIG BOYS. I'VE FOUGHT FOR TAX FAIRNESS. FOR TAX JUSTICE. *R. J. ...*

ON JOBS--I'VE PROPOSED A COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM OF ONE  
MILLION JOBS. I WANT TO GET AMERICANS BACK TO WORK. *R. J. ...*

ON INFLATION. I'VE FOUGHT THE BATTLE TO GET THE NIXON  
ADMINISTRATION TO REVERSE ITS UNFAIR DECISION TO PUT WAGE CONTROLS ON

LOW INCOME WORKERS.

~~Ret from the State!~~

THIS PUTS THE BURDEN OF INFLATION CONTROL ON

THE LITTLE GUY; I HAVE SAID TIME AND AGAIN, THESE CONTROLS ARE

*under*  
~~WRONG~~

THEY PENALIZE THE LITTLE MAN WHILE THE SALARIES OF THE

PRIVILEGED FEW AND BIG BUSINESS EXECUTIVES GO UP AND UP.

YOU WANT ECONOMIC JUSTICE. I WANT ECONOMIC

JUSTICE.

~~Ret from the State!~~

*Minimum Wage*

YOU WANT A GOOD MINIMUM WAGE LAW. I WANT A

SOUND MINIMUM WAGE LAW. I AM OPPOSED TO THE NIXON EFFORTS TO WATER

DOWN THE MINIMUM WAGE. REPUBLICANS NEVER HAVE LIKE THE MINIMUM WAGE.

BUT, THEY CERTAINLY LIKE THE MAXIMUM PROFIT.

~~Ret from the State!~~

YOU WANT YOUR MEMBERS TO BE COMPLETELY COVERED

BY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION.

*and so do I.*

THAT IS WHAT I WANT.

AND, I HAVE PROPOSED ~~AN~~ *and Passed*

AN EXPANDED PROGRAM OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION, WITH CHANGES IN

ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS, WITH CHANGES IN BENEFITS, AND WITH AN

EXTENDED PERIOD OF COVERAGE.

~~Ret from the State!~~

YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS,

WITH RECOGNIZED BARGAINING STATUS--WITH COVERAGE FOR STATE AND

LOCAL EMPLOYEES.

<sup>-3-</sup>  
~~I do not - I've backed~~  
~~you on right for that you~~

YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS.  
 THEY WANT GOOD CONTRACTS, CONTRACTS THAT WILL ALLOW THEM TO GET  
AHEAD TO SAVE SOME MONEY TO ADVANCE. THAT IS WHAT I WANT. THAT  
 IS THE KIND OF BATTLE I WANT TO HELP YOU FIGHT.

AND AS YOU HELPED ME IN 1968, I NEED YOUR  
HELP IN 1972.

THE VOTERS OF THIS STATE HAVE AN IMPORTANT  
 CHOICE TO MAKE ON JUNE 6 WHEN THEY VOTE IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY.

IT WILL BE A CHOICE BETWEEN TWO MEN WHO DIFFER  
 ON THE BEST WAY TO PUT THIS NATION BACK TO WORK AND GET OUR COUNTRY  
 AND STATE OF CALIFORNIA BACK ON ITS FEET.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE  
BETWEEN HAVING A JOB AND LOOKING FOR WORK.!

RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE 510,000 CALIFORNIANS  
 WITHOUT JOBS--THAT'S <sup>over 6%</sup> ~~26.3~~ PERCENT UNEMPLOYMENT.

IN THE FACE OF SUCH HIGH AND TRAGIC  
 UNEMPLOYMENT, SENATOR MCGOVERN HAS PROPOSED MASSIVE CUTBACKS IN  
Weakness Security and  
 AEROSPACE AND DEFENSE SPENDING WHICH WILL CAUSE THOUSANDS OF

CALIFORNIANS TO LOSE THEIR JOBS.

AND THOSE THROWN OUT OF WORK WON'T JUST BE THE  
SKILLED AEROSPACE ENGINEER OR THE DEFENSE WORKER,

NO, THE JOBS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE WHO  
WORK IN CALIFORNIA COMMUNITIES AND CITIES WILL BE IN DANGER,

AND WHAT IS SENATOR MCGOVERN'S ANSWER  
TO THE FORCED UNEMPLOYMENT WHICH WILL BE A RESULT OF HIS NEW politics  
PROGRAMS?

WELL, HE ADMITS FREELY THAT CALIFORNIA WORKERS  
'MIGHT BE OUT OF WORK FOR AS MUCH AS A YEAR,' and even  
HE SAID THIS  
LAST WEEK IN A TV INTERVIEW: longer.

I'M ALARMED AND DISTURBED WHEN A DEMOCRAT  
IS WILLING TO ADMIT THAT HIS Politics PROGRAMS WILL FORCE WORKING FAMILIES  
TO BE JOBLESS FOR A YEAR. or longer.

THIS IS CALLOUS. THIS IS WRONG.!!

SENATOR MCGOVERN SEEMS MORE WILLING TO  
and providing unemployment compensation  
PROVIDE CALIFORNIANS WITH COMPENSATION FOR BEING THROWN OUT OF  
is not the answer. People  
WORK AS A RESULT OF HIS PROPOSALS THAN HE IS ANXIOUS TO PROVIDE  
want  
PEOPLE WITH JOBS WHICH BRING DIGNITY AND DECENCY TO THEIR LIVES.



000237

*have that program - ✓ jobs opportunities -*  
*(neton 11B/111 on funds - ✓ Public works -*  
*no 2001011 Plan - ✓ Housing - cities.*

I WANT TO CUT WASTE AND FAT FROM OUR

DEFENSE BUDGET. BUT I WOULD ALSO PROTECT THE JOBS OF WORKERS,  
NO MATTER WHERE THEY WORK. JOBS CAN BE PROTECTED WITH REALISTIC  
PROGRAMS THAT DO NOT HAVE A YEAR OF BUILT-IN UNEMPLOYMENT.

↳ HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN, IT IS THE DIFFERENCE  
BETWEEN ONE SENATOR WHO HAS SUPPORTED UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION  
AND ANOTHER WHO SAID NO TO THE WORKERS OF CALIFORNIA.

↳ HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE  
BETWEEN GETTING JOBS FOR THOSE WHO CAN AND WANT TO WORK AND  
MCGOVERN WHO WANTS TO GIVE EVERYONE, INCLUDING MILLIONAIRES  
LIKE HOWARD HUGHES, A WELFARE CHECK. *1000 + no work requirement*

*Providing*  
HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE  
BETWEEN ~~GIVING~~ *as McGovern proposes* THE ELDERLY OF THIS COUNTRY A 25 PERCENT INCREASE  
IN SOCIAL SECURITY OR USING THE SOCIAL SECURITY FUND TO PAY FOR  
WELFARE COSTS. *That fund belongs to those who have paid in.*

↳ HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS A QUESTION OF  
BEATING RICHARD NIXON, ENDING THE REPUBLICAN RECESSION, AND  
GETTING THIS COUNTRY MOVING AGAIN--OR SUFFERING THROUGH FOUR  
MORE YEARS OF LOW WAGES, FROZEN WAGES, SKYROCKETING PRICES, *rising*  
*unemployment, Tax increases.*

*and for*

BONANZAS FOR THE GIANT CORPORATIONS UNDER A REPUBLICAN  
ADMINISTRATION.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS A QUESTION  
OF WHO HAS THE KNOW-HOW AND EXPERIENCE TO LEAD THIS NATION.

⌞ I THINK I'M THAT MAN.

⌞ I CAN BEAT RICHARD NIXON.

⌞ ~~I WANT TO GUARANTEE A JOB FOR EVERY~~

AMERICAN.

⌞ ~~I can~~  
~~I WANT TO~~ GET THIS NATION MOVING. AND,

I WANT YOU TO JOIN WITH ME *to get the job done.*

*If you want jobs for people  
in California, then put  
the right man on the ~~job~~  
big job in Washington--  
the man who has been  
right with you ~~all the time~~  
~~all the time~~*



# Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.



[www.mnhs.org](http://www.mnhs.org)