Prindent Fatheres

Son Eastland Son Sternies

REMARKS BY SENATOR HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

arlo Swanson

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE RURAL REHABILITATION CORPORATIONS

CAPITOL HILL QUALITY COURTS

WASHINGTON, D. C.

OCTOBER 16, 1973

Don Kiffey -Ha- H.D. Barney makeskey ague - its time has come!

OUR RURAL REHABILITATION CORPORATIONS, GOING BACK TO
THE GREAT DEPRESSION, REPRESENT ONE OF THE FIRST DECISIVE
RESPONSES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN PROVIDING ASSISTANCE
TO FARMERS AND RURAL RESIDENTS.

HAVING UNDERGONE SEVERAL REORGANIZATIONS, INCLUDING A
TRANSFER OF ASSETS IN TRUST TO THE OLD RESETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATIONSTRATION, AND A SUBSEQUENT RETURN OF THESE ASSETS

OVER AN EXTENDED PERIOD TO STATE GROUPS, THESE STATE

CORPORATIONS HAVE PERFORMED VITAL SERVICES IN PROVIDING

FUNDS FOR FARM OWNERSHIP LOANS, FOR LOANS TO STUDENTS FROM

FARM FAMILIES TO PURSUE A HIGHER EDUCATION, AND FOR OTHER

IMPORTANT RURAL REHABILITATION PURPOSES.

(Senator: FYI -- The reallocation of trust funds to state corporations, under the Trust Liquidation Act passed by the 81st Congress, has been administered by the Farmers Home Administration. But the use of these funds has been limited to the purposes under the original charter and as approved by the Secretary of Agriculture -- in accordance with subtitles A and B of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act.

The President's Budget for fiscal 1974 stated that the face value of returned corporation assets totalled \$39.5 million. The entire assets of 25 state corporations have been returned to those states. A partial return of assets has been made to 15 states for rural rehabilitation purposes agreed upon by each state corporation and the federal government, while the total assets of two state corporations are being administered by the FmHA. While it was estimated that some \$5.5 million in assets would be transferred to the states during the year, retained earnings by the federal government would amount to over \$5.9 million.)

HAVE BEEN CONCERNED ABOUT CONTINUED INTERFERENCE IN

THE DETERMINATION OF THE MOST EFFECTIVE USE OF TRUST FUND

ASSETS AND INCOME BY STATE RURAL REHABILITATION CORPORATIONS.

IN MINNESOTA, FOR EXAMPLE, THE STATE LEGISLATURE HAS

PASSED LEGISLATION TO ENABLE THE USE OF SOME OF THESE FUNDS

FOR EXPANDED SOYBEAN RESEARCH, THEREBY PROTECTING AND

PROMOTING A VITAL RESOURCE IN OUR RURAL ECONOMY, BUT THE USE

OF FUNDS FOR THIS PURPOSE HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED AT THE FEDERAL

LEVEL, BECAUSE IT DOES NOT FALL WITHIN THE GUIDELINES OF THE

ORIGINAL CHARTER.

I BELIEVE OUR STATES SHOULD BE GIVEN THE RESPONSIBILITY

TO MAKE THEIR OWN DECISIONS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST ON HOW THESE

FUNDS CAN BEST BE USED FOR RURAL REHABILITATION AND RURAL

DEVELOPMENT PURPOSES.

Major new avenues are now open to State Rural Rehabilitation

Corporations to accomplish tasks of vital importance to rural

AMERICA.

AFTER AN INTENSIVE LEGISLATIVE EFFORT, WE HAVE SUCCEEDED

Tural develop

IN GETTING RURAL DEVELOPMENT OFFICIALLY UNDERWAY FINALLY

RURAL DEVELOPMENT ACT REGULATIONS HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED, AND

THE FISCAL 1974 APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,

INCLUDING FUNDS FOR THE FURTHER IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS ACT,

HAVE NOW CLEARED THE CONGRESS.

Specifically, in addition to various revolving and

INSURANCE FUND AUTHORITIES, THIS APPROPRIATIONS BILL, NOW

AWAITING THE PRESIDENT'S SIGNATURE, CONTINS SOME \$394.5 MILLION

IN NEW OBLIGATIONAL AUTHORITY FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS.

I URGE IMMEDIATE APPROVAL BY THE PRESIDENT.

WITH THE ENACTMENT OF THE RURAL DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 1972,

CONGRESS MOVED TOWARD NATIONAL OBJECTIVES WHICH I HAVE

REPEATEDLY EMPHASIZED --

-- FIRST, TO ENCOURAGE THE PEOPLE IN RURAL AMERICA TO

REMAIN THERE IF THEY CHOOSE TO DO SO, THROUGH THE PROVISION

OF NEW ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES;

-- AND SECOND, TO SHARE THE NATION'S RESOURCES AND

ECONOMIC PRODUCTS AMONG ALL REGIONS AND PEOPLE OF AMERICA.

IN SHORT, WE CAN NOW WORK TOGETHER TO HELP THE PEOPLE OF
RURAL AMERICA TO SHAPE AND ACHIEVE THE KIND OF FUTURE THEY WANT

FOR THEMSELVES.

THROUGH PROVIDING FOR NEW SYSTEMS OF COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING

AND OF LOANS FOR BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL LOCATION, AND

ASSISTANCE TO COMMUNITIES TO ACQUIRE AND DEVELOP LAND AND PLAN

AND PROVIDE ESSENTIAL COMMUNITY FACILITIES Y WE CAN MOVE

TOWARD ESTABLISHING THE BALANCED NATIONAL GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

THAT MUST NOW HAVE AN URGENT PRIORITY IN OUR LAND.

AND THROUGH PROVIDING INCREASED ASSISTANCE FOR RURAL

HOUSING AND FOR FARMING OPERATIONS, WE CAN HELP FAMILIES TO

REMAIN ON THE LAND AND PROSPER.

FINALLY, UNDER FURTHER PROVISIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL

PROTECTION, WE CAN HELP ASSURE THAT THE VITAL RESOURCES OF

RURAL AMERICA ARE PROTECTED AND INTELLIGENTLY UTILIZED.

REHABILITATION CORPORATIONS SHOULD HELP TAKE THE LEAD IN DEVELOPING PLANS FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT.

IN MY JUDGMENT, THE RESOURCES OF STATE RURAL REHABILITATION

CORPORATIONS COULD WISELY BE APPLIED AS PART OF STATE

COMMITMENTS TO RURAL DEVELOPMENT. SUCH FUNDS CAN BE USED

EFFECTIVELY IN MATCHING FEDERAL MONIES FUR RURAL DEVELOPMENT

PROJECTS. THEY CAN BE INVESTED AS SEED MONEY TO STIMULATE

LOCAL FINANCIAL PARTICIPATION IN SIMILAR PROJECTS, WHICH CAN

ALSO BE FACILITATED UNDER THE RURAL DEVELOPMENT BANK ACT

(S.1698), WHICH I INTRODUCED IN MAY OF THIS YEAR.

THE STATE OF THE USE BASE THE GALES THAT HE EXPENSE AND

THE SIMPLE FACT IS THAT THE MONIES THAT WE EXPEND AND INVEST IN RURAL AMERICA IN JOBS, IN INDUSTRIAL GROWTH, IN HOUSING, IN WATER AND SEWER FACILITIES, IN ELECTRICITY AND TELEPHONES, IN SCHOOLS AND HOSPITALS, ALL OF THOSE THINGS ADD TO THE WEALTH AND STRENGTH OF THIS NATION. WHAT WE DO --OR FAIL TO DO -- TODAY CLEARLY COMMITS AND FIXES FUTURE PATTERNS OF LIFE IN THIS COUNTRY.

THE PROGRAMS OF STATE RURAL REHABILITATION CORPORATIONS

-- DIRECTED TOWARD SERVING THE INTERESTS AND NEEDS OF FARMERS,

OF RURAL RESIDENTS, AND OF YOUTH -- CLEARLY INDICATE YOUR

COMMITMENT TO ACHIEVING A STRONG FUTURE FOR RURAL AMERICA.

I URGE YOU TO MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE NEW

OPPORTUNITIES OPEN TO YOU TO HELP ACCOMPLISH THIS PRIORITY GOAL.

#

Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

